



Canadian ADHD Practice Guidelines (CAP-Guidelines)

Third Edition

CADDRA Information

Current contact details for the Canadian ADHD Resource Alliance (CADDRA) and information on ordering copies of the Canadian ADHD Practice Guidelines are available on the CADDRA website: www.caddra.ca

Guidelines Citation

The correct citation for this document is: Canadian Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Resource Alliance (CADDRA): Canadian ADHD Practice Guidelines, Third Edition, Toronto ON; CADDRA, 2011.

French Edition

This document is also available in French under the title: Lignes directrices canadiennes pour le TDAH, troisième édition, 2011.

Feedback

Reader suggestions can be provided through a feedback form on our website (www.caddra.ca) or email feedback@caddra.ca

Liability

While great effort has been taken to assure the accuracy of the information, the CAP-Guidelines Committee, CADDRA and its members, designer, printer and others contributing to the preparation of this document cannot accept liability for errors, omissions or any consequences arising from the use of the information. Since this document is not intended to replace other prescribing information, physicians are urged to consult the manufacturers' and other available drug information literature before prescribing.

Please Note:

The CADDRA Canadian ADHD Practice Guidelines (CAP-G) is an active document that will be revised online as new information becomes available. The CADDRA website (www.caddra.ca) will always have the latest version of the Guidelines available free to download and print. This third edition is in binder format to facilitate the replacement of pages with updated versions. Updated documents will be sent out to CADDRA members at periodic intervals. Extra support documents are also accessible to members online.

ISBN: 978-0-9738168-2-2

© 2010 Canadian Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Resource Alliance (CADDRA)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CAP-G Committee Disclosures	ii
CAP-G Committee & Editorial Consultants	iii
Preface and Acknowledgement	iv
CADDRA Principles	vi
Chapter 1: Diagnosis and Overview of Visits	1
Chapter 2: Differential Diagnosis and Comorbid Disorders	9
Chapter 3: Specific Issues in Management of ADHD in Children	21
Chapter 4: Specific Issues in Management of ADHD in Adolescents	26
Chapter 5: Specific Issues in Management of ADHD in Adults	29
Chapter 6: Psychosocial Interventions and Treatments	39
Supporting Documents	
A: School Letters	45
B: Cognitive Behaviour Therapy	47
C: Driving Risk	49
Chapter 7: Pharmacological Treatment of ADHD	55
Supporting Documents	
Canadian Medication Chart (in binder front pocket)	
A: Medication Tables per Age Group	61
B: Specific Information on Medications	67
C: Side Effects Management	72
D: Cardiovascular Risks	75
E: Additional Medication Information	78

CHILD/ADOLESCENT TOOLKIT		ADULT TOOLKIT	
Assessment and Follow-Up Forms		Assessment and Follow-Up Forms	
CADDRA ADHD Assessment Form	87	CADDRA ADHD Assessment Form	87
Weiss Symptom Record (WSR)	99	Weiss Symptom Record (WSR)	99
ADHD Checklist	105	ADHD Checklist	105
SNAP-IV-26	107	Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS)	109
Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale – Parent Report (WFIRS-P)	115	Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale – Self Report (WFIRS-S)	112
CADDRA Teacher Assessment Form	117	Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale – Parent Report (WFIRS-P)	115
CADDRA Clinician ADHD Baseline/Follow-Up Form	120	CADDRA Clinician ADHD Baseline/Follow-Up Form	120
CADDRA Patient ADHD Medication Form	121	CADDRA Patient ADHD Medication Form	121
Handouts		Handouts	
CADDRA ADHD Information and Resources	125	CADDRA ADHD Information and Resources	125
CADDRA Child Assessment Instructions	129	CADDRA Adult Assessment Instructions	132
CADDRA Adolescent Assessment Instructions	130		
CADDRA Teachers Instructions	131		

References	133
Index	144

DISCLOSURE INFORMATION: CADDRA GUIDELINES COMMITTEE

Canadian ADHD Practice Guidelines (CAP-Guidelines)

Members of the CADDRA Guidelines Committee wish to make the following disclosures:

Dr. Geraldine Farrelly: Eli Lilly Canada Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board, Research Grants); Janssen-Ortho Inc (Speaker, Advisory Board); Purdue Pharma (Advisory Board); Shire BioChem Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board).

Dr Martin Gignac: Eli Lilly Canada Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board); Janssen-Ortho Inc (Speaker, Advisory Board); Purdue Pharma (Advisory Board); Shire BioChem Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board).

Dr Lily Hechtman: Eli Lilly Canada Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board, Research Grants); GlaxoSmithKlein (Speaker, Advisory Board, Research Grants); Janssen-Ortho Inc (Speaker, Advisory Board, Research Grants); Purdue Pharma (Speaker, Advisory Board, Research Grants); Shire BioChem Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board, Research Grants).

Dr. Umesh Jain: Eli Lilly Canada Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board); Janssen-Ortho Inc (Speaker, Advisory Board); Purdue Pharma (Speaker, Advisory Board, Research Grants); Shire BioChem Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board); President, TotallyADD.com and Mental Central Inc.

Dr. Laurence Jerome: Janssen-Ortho Inc (Speaker, Advisory Board); Shire BioChem Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board).

Dr. Diane McIntosh: Eli Lilly Canada Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board, Research Grants); Janssen-Ortho Inc (Speaker, Advisory Board, Research Grants); Shire BioChem Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board, Research Grants); Lundbeck, Astra Zeneca, Servier, Sanofi Aventis, Bristol Myers Squibb, Pfizer (Speaker, Advisory Board or Research Grants).

Dr. Simon-Pierre Proulx: Eli Lilly Canada Inc. (Speaker); Janssen-Ortho Inc (Speaker, Advisory Board); Purdue Pharma (Speaker); Shire BioChem Inc. (Speaker).

Dr. Declan Quinn: Eli Lilly Canada Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board, Research Grants); Janssen-Ortho Inc (Speaker, Advisory Board); Purdue Pharma (Advisory Board); Shire BioChem Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board).

Dr. Joseph Sadek: Eli Lilly Canada Inc. (Speaker, Research Grants); Janssen-Ortho Inc (Speaker, Research Grants); Purdue Pharma (Speaker, Research Grants); Shire BioChem Inc. (Speaker, Research Grants).

Dr. Derryck Smith: Eli Lilly Canada Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board); Janssen-Ortho Inc (Speaker, Advisory Board); Purdue Pharma (Speaker, Advisory Board); Shire BioChem Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board).

Dr. Annick Vincent: Eli Lilly Canada Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board); Janssen-Ortho Inc (Speaker, Advisory Board); Purdue Pharma (Speaker, Advisory Board); Shire BioChem Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board, Research Grants); Biovail (Speaker).

Dr. Margaret Weiss: Eli Lilly Canada Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board, Research Grants); Janssen-Ortho Inc (Speaker, Advisory Board, Research Grants); Purdue Pharma (Speaker, Advisory Board, Research Grants); Shire BioChem Inc. (Speaker, Advisory Board, Research Grants).

EDITORIAL BOARD – CADDRA GUIDELINES COMMITTEE

Canadian ADHD Practice Guidelines (CAP-Guidelines)

The Canadian ADHD Practice Guidelines is dedicated to children, adolescents and adults with ADHD and their families

3rd Edition Editors Dr. Margaret Weiss and Dr. Annick Vincent
Supervising Editor Dr. Umesh Jain
Editorial Consultants Heidi Bernhardt, Executive Director, CADDRA
Niamh McGarry, Web and Project Manager, CADDRA

Editorial Board – CAP-G Committee

Lily Hechtman MD, FRCPC, Professor, Psychiatry & Paediatrics, McGill University, Montreal, QC
Geraldine Farrelly MD, FRCPC, DCH, DObst, Clinical Associate Professor, Paediatrics & Psychiatry, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB
Martin Gignac MD, FRCPC, Clinical Assistant Professor, Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC
Umesh Jain MD, FRCPC, DABPN, PhD, MEd, Associate Professor, Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON
Laurence Jerome MB, ChB, MSc, FRCPsych, FRCPC, Adjunct Professor, Psychiatry, The University of Western Ontario, London, ON
Diane McIntosh MD, FRCPC, Clinical Assistant Professor, Psychiatry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC
Simon-Pierre Proulx MD, Groupe de médecins de famille, Loretteville, Québec, QC
Declan Quinn MD, FRCPC, Professor, Psychiatry, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK
Joseph Sadek MD, FRCPC, DABPN, MBA, Assistant Professor, Psychiatry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS
Derryck Smith MD, FRCPC, Clinical Professor, Psychiatry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC
Annick Vincent MD, FRCPC, Centre Médical l'Hôpital, Clinique FOCUS, Saint-Augustin-de-Desmaures
Clinical Professor, Psychiatry, Laval University, Quebec, QC
Margaret Weiss PhD, MD, FRCPC, Clinical Professor, Psychiatry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC

External Reviewers

Thomas E. Brown Ph.D., Associate Director, Yale Clinic for Attention and Related Disorders, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT
Peter S. Jensen MD, President & CEO, The Reach Institute, NY, Professor of Psychiatry, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN
Sarah Shea MD, FRCPC, Associate Professor, Paediatrics, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS
John Yaremko MD, FRCPC, Assistant Professor, Paediatrics, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec

Additional Contributors

Rosemary Tannock, PhD, Professor of Psychiatry, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON
Rosalia Yoon, PhD candidate, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Toronto, Ontario (contributor of ADHD and sleep section, Chapter 2)
Michael Zwiers Ph.D. Psychologist & Manager, Clinical Services and **Krista Forand**, Intake Clinician, Calgary Learning Centre, Calgary, AB (contributors to Chapter 6)

Special thanks to all the clinicians who helped develop the new Guidelines, particularly the family practitioners and paediatricians who provided feedback on the new CADDRA ADHD Assessment Toolkit.

PREFACE AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Who is CADDRA? The Canadian Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Resource Alliance (CADDRA) is a national, independent, not-for-profit association whose members are drawn from family practice, paediatrics, psychiatry (child, adolescent and adult), psychology and other health professions. We hope to support individuals with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and their families and provide ongoing support to those who are delivering care for ADHD in their communities across Canada.

The evolution of the 3rd Edition. This third edition of the CADDRA Canadian ADHD Practice Guidelines (CAP-G or Guidelines) evolved from earlier editions of the CAP-G published in 2006¹ and 2008². The Guidelines were developed to help Canadian physicians diagnose and treat ADHD across the lifespan. Many ADHD specialists and general physicians contributed to its writing. The Editorial Committee is made up of the CADDRA Executive Board. All contributing authors are experts selected on the basis of their contributions to treatment, education and research in the area of ADHD and represent several different disciplines from across Canada.

These Guidelines are unique (even from other Guidelines or Toolkits in the world) in that they:

- a) have been produced by a multidisciplinary team
- b) have been translated into French and English (including additional online material)
- c) are specific to Canadian practice
- d) include the entire lifespan of this disorder
- e) speak to diagnosis and treatment in real-life conditions of practice where resources are limited
- f) use paper and fonts that make for high resolution photocopies and are formatted so that they can be easily downloaded from the CADDRA website
- g) recognize that ADHD is a disorder which will require treatment using a shared care model between specialists and primary care practitioners
- h) stipulate both what can be handled in primary care and recommend when referral to specialists may be required
- i) express our belief that the best care comes from optimizing care for each individual. We do not prioritize medications on a hierarchy or algorithm that can be considered appropriate for all patients
- j) inform physicians but also empower patients to make informed choices in a collaborative process of care.

Features of the 3rd Edition

- a) The current CAP-G is an **active document** that will be revised online as new information is obtained, and reprinted as required.
- b) The **binder format** will allow the clinician to add and remove sections as revisions are made and for purposes of photocopying. The binder can also be used to file additional CADDRA information and newsletters.
- c) The final drafts were sent for **independent review** by clinicians across the country and in the US.
- d) The **authors were not paid** in any way for their contribution to the CAP-G. Declarations of conflicts of interests for each author are found on page ii.
- e) The Guidelines receives **no financial grants from industry**. The cost of production is recouped entirely from sales.

- f) The rating scales and tools developed by CADDRA are free and were created with the express purpose of being **user-friendly** in order to allow assessment of ADHD in primary care to become both a rigorous and efficient process. Written permission for use of the additional scales has been obtained.
- g) There is a new section on **psychosocial treatments**.
- h) The **medication sections** have been updated and regrouped.
- i) The Guidelines are part of a **comprehensive educational program** to help clinicians reach the highest standards of clinical care.
- j) These Guidelines are available free of charge on the CADDRA website, **www.caddra.ca**.

The Guidelines should be used by clinicians for interactive instruction on the diagnosis and treatment of ADHD across the lifespan. The website allows clinicians and their patients to download information, diagnostic instruments, forms and scales – all of which have been selected based on their validity, reliability and accessibility. References and an index of terms are included at the end of the document.

Evidenced-based versus Consensus-based. The CAP-Guidelines Committee has reviewed the other ADHD guidelines and consensus statements in current use. There are many, including the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Guidelines³, the American Academy of Pediatrics Guidelines⁴, the Texas Children’s Medication Algorithm Project⁵, the American Psychiatric Association Diagnostic and Statistical Manual – Fourth Edition- Text Revision⁶, the European Treatment Guidelines⁷, the National Institute of Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) Guidelines⁸ and the British Association for Psychopharmacology Guidelines⁹. While there is a high degree of consensus among these publications, there are also very significant international differences. The most obvious difference is seen in the recent NICE Guidelines⁸, which recommend medication treatment only in more severe cases, whereas the American practice is almost the opposite: start with medication and then see what else is necessary. In addition, the use of different diagnostic instruments, such as ICD-10 or DSM-IV, has also led to differences in what population is being referenced in a particular Guideline. The Canadian practice is holistic-based care, individualized to the patient, with medications as part of the treatment agenda.

The editors have been careful to identify which facts are consensus-based . This information is noted in the text. Evidence-based (EB) data is cited in the literature detailed in the reference section. CB data was produced, as it suggests, by a consensus of the experts within the CADDRA Board after careful and rigorous consideration of the current facts. CB decisions have been made if there was no current EB data available to deal with a specific clinical issue or where the EB data may have been impractical in the Canadian environment.

It is clear that service delivery for ADHD is only feasible if it is within the scope of primary care practice. There is no country in the world with a sufficient number of specialists to provide diagnosis and treatment for what is one of the most common disorders of childhood and affects 4.4% of adults¹⁰. There are few if any guidelines available to assist physicians treating adults with a childhood history of ADHD. By providing these Guidelines, in English and in French, it is our hope that this impaired and treatable population will be appropriately serviced in the public healthcare system.

CADDRA GUIDING PRINCIPLES

Vision

To improve the quality of life for patients and their families living with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) while maximizing their potential across the lifespan.

Mandate

CADDRA is a national Canadian alliance of professionals working in the area of ADHD and dedicated to world class education, training and advocacy.

Mission (Objectives)

- To take a leadership role in disseminating information on ADHD in Canada
- To develop and update the Canadian ADHD Practice Guidelines (CAP-Guidelines)
- To facilitate development and implementation of training standards in the care of ADHD patients
- To share information among all stakeholder groups. To advocate to governments, teaching environments, employment organizations or others who interface with ADHD patients.

CADDRA GUIDELINES – CORE PRINCIPLES

Principles for Assessment and Diagnosis

1. The clinician must be accredited by his or her regional and national associations
2. The clinician has to be adequately trained in order to understand the developmental context of ADHD
3. The diagnosis needs to reflect an understanding of multi-systemic issues that relate to ADHD (e.g. the educational/vocational, psychosocial, psychiatric and the medical interfaces)
4. Every patient deserves to be seen in a place of safety that promotes the therapeutic alliance with the clinician
5. There should be no cost for distributing or scoring any of the materials from the CAP-G so that there is universal access to the best assessment materials
6. Symptoms and functional impairment must be recorded using valid, reliable and sensitive rating scales to evaluate symptom frequency, severity, and outcome
7. The clinician must document all relevant findings in a timely manner both for purposes of outcome but also for review
8. The results of the assessment should be communicated to the patient and their family with clarity and compassion.

Principles for Intervention

The five tiers of holistic-based care

ADHD is a chronic medical condition and requires long-term planning. It must include regular contact with the patient and the family about progress and performance. The family doctor, along with the pediatrician and child psychiatrist (in the case of children and adolescents) or the psychiatrist (when dealing with adults), are key professionals. Treatment should be multi-modal; there is no one treatment for ADHD (including medication) that has been demonstrated to assure a good long-term outcome in isolation²⁰⁹.

1. Adequate education of patients and their families

Psychoeducation must be the first intervention. The more educated the family and the patient are, the better are their choices and the response to treatment. An integrated approach to ADHD education includes information on interventions related to:

- a) support for families and their advocacy of ADHD
- b) psychosocial and medical treatments
- c) patient, parent and school management, and
- d) occupational/educational accommodations.

2. Behavioural and/or occupational interventions

The core strategy is to develop better habits that, ultimately, may lead to coping strategies that minimize the patient's impairments. ADHD patients may take longer to integrate such habits into their lives. The therapeutic alliance between patient and clinician is necessary and an optimistic attitude can facilitate this process.

3. Psychological treatment

ADHD patients are at significant risk of being targets of intentional and unintentional conflict. There is a direct effect on their self-esteem and on the well-being of their families. They require a positive environment, sensitivity and understanding. Interventions may include individual and/or family support, counselling and therapy to help minimize damage to self esteem from such experiences. Cognitive behavioural psychotherapy has been demonstrated to be a useful adjunctive treatment for adolescents and adults, though evidence in children is still controversial.

4. Educational accommodations

ADHD should be classified as a developmental neuropsychiatric disorder and the patient should have access to educational accommodations where necessary. ADHD should be protected by the same type of legislation available in the United States, where every child is entitled to the education that meets his or her needs. By contrast, ADHD alone does not qualify a student for an "exceptional" educational designation in some Canadian provinces. This must change.

5. Medical management (as a way to facilitate the other interventions)

ADHD is a medical condition that requires an understanding of the medical options. Every patient should have access to the best medications available, regardless of their financial situation. Each patient must be treated uniquely. There is no one medication that is suitable for every ADHD patient. The guiding principle of medication management is to start low and go slow for most patients, though weight-based guidelines may be used as a way of estimating dose during the initial prescription.

Principles of Informed Consent

Ensure that the patient and family have had an adequate opportunity to educate themselves and then ask relevant questions regarding the disorder and its treatment. The following 'Principles of Informed Consent' should be reviewed.

Patients and their families need to be educated as follows:

1. They need to understand the proposed treatment plan
2. There must be a discussion of the risks and benefits of the prescribed treatment
3. Information on alternatives to treatment must be provided
4. There needs to be discussion regarding potential risks of no treatment.

A collaborative and long-term relationship between physician and patient is critical. Many doctors and patients associate the basis of their relationship with the prescription. When the medication is discontinued, so is the doctor. Instead, the hope would be that the basis of the relationship is long-term treatment of the disorder that respects the concerns of the child, adult or family in order to maintain the therapeutic alliance.

Principles of Advocacy

Patients and their families must be empowered. Facilitate this process by participating in advocacy campaigns that advance patient care. These will be posted on the **www.caddra.ca** and **www.caddac.ca** websites.

ABBREVIATIONS

ADHD	Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder	GAD	Generalized Anxiety Disorder
ADHD-C	ADHD, Predominantly Combined Subtype	HAM-A	The Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale
ADHD-HI	ADHD, Predominantly Hyperactive-Impulsive Subtype	HAM-D	The Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression
ADHD-I	ADHD, Predominantly Inattentive Subtype	IH	Idiopathic Hypersomnia
AMP	Amphetamines	IPT	Interpersonal Psychotherapy
ASD	Autism Spectrum Disorder	JDQ	Jerome Driving Questionnaire
ASPD	Antisocial Personality Disorder	LD	Learning Disability
ASRS	Adult Self Report Scale	MD	Major Depression
ATX	Atomoxetine Hydrochloride	MPH	Methylphenidate
BAD	Bipolar Affective Disorder	NICE	National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence
BD	Bipolar Disorder	 OCD	Obsessive Compulsive Disorder
BPD	Borderline Personality Disorder	ODD	Oppositional Defiant Disorder
CAAT	CADDRA ADHD Assessment Toolkit	PD	Personality Disorder
CADDRA	Canadian Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Resource Alliance	PDD	Pervasive Developmental Disorder
CADDAC	Centre for ADHD/ADD Advocacy, Canada	PLMS	Periodic Limb Movements in Sleep
CAP-G	Canadian ADHD Practice Guidelines	RLS	Restless Legs Syndrome
CB	Consensus Based	S-ADHD	Secondary Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder
CBT	Cognitive Behaviour Therapy	SDB	Sleep Disordered Breathing
CD	Conduct Disorder	SUD	Substance Use Disorder
CV	Cardiovascular	TS	Tourette's Syndrome
DCD	Developmental Coordination Disorder	WISC	Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children
DEX	Dextro-amphetamine	WFIRS-P	Weiss Functional Impairment Scale – Parent Report
DSM-IV-TR	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition, text revision	WFIRS-S	Weiss Functional Impairment Scale – Self Report
EDS	Excessive Daytime Sleeping	WSR	Weiss Symptom Record
EB	Evidence Based	Y-BOCS	Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale

CHAPTER 1: DIAGNOSIS AND OVERVIEW OF VISITS

The Management of Uncomplicated ADHD From Diagnosis to Treatment

We are well aware that a busy family or primary paediatric practice may not have the luxury of time to carry out the longer assessment typical of a specialist paediatric or psychiatric visit. With this in mind, we have piloted this visit schedule with family doctors and community paediatricians in Canada for feedback and we are confident it is user-friendly, although remuneration schedules in some regions may make this schedule difficult to implement. We feel the comprehensive nature of the assessment adds credibility to the diagnosis. The work can be done in multiple visits that are as effective – if not more – than a single, longer one.

In Canada, paediatricians and family physicians are on the front line in screening for, assessing, and initiating treatment for ADHD in children, while adult psychiatrists and family doctors assess and manage adults with ADHD. However, since ADHD is the most common childhood psychiatric disorder¹¹, adequate levels of service delivery for ADHD are only going to be feasible when it becomes a disorder that primary care providers are trained to deal with, and when they can access specialist care when needed.

This outline is organized around proposed assessment and treatment visits. Physicians can use this as a guide. The objective of our summary is to allow physicians to know how to screen for ADHD across the lifespan, to conduct a reasonable assessment in simpler cases, and to know when to refer.

In complicated cases of ADHD (ADHD Complex) – where there are comorbid conditions, differential diagnosis and management with often multiple interventions and multiple medications – assessment and treatment of ADHD may be more difficult. It is the view of the CAP-G Committee that in such cases the patient should be referred to a specialist. However, once the patient is assessed and treatment initiated, it is reasonable for follow-up to be conducted by family doctors and primary care pediatricians.

CADDRA ADHD Assessment Toolkit (CAAT) – Overview

The CADDRA ADHD Assessment Toolkit is broken into CAAT Forms and CAAT Handouts sections (see index at the start of each). The required assessment templates, questionnaires and handouts are within each section. These tools are free to download from www.caddra.ca and print or duplicate as long they are not altered and the CADDRA logo and the appropriate credits remain intact. This toolkit is designed to support clinicians familiar with ADHD. Clinicians not familiar with ADHD are urged to attend training programs (including those hosted by CADDRA) or to go to the website for online training programs when they become available. Further information can be obtained from www.caddra.ca.

The assessment templates can be photocopied and used as follows:

1. The CADDRA ADHD Assessment Form and the CADDRA Patient ADHD Medication Form (to record somatic symptoms present prior to treatment) allow the doctor to document his/her findings during the interview itself and therefore provide a permanent record of the history and the supporting information for diagnosis. Should a report ever be required, the CADDRA ADHD Assessment Form allows for easy review/dictation in a logical format, even when the interview itself ran in different directions.
2. Rating scales and questionnaires can be used as an efficient way to obtain information from the patient and collateral sources. They are NOT diagnostic. They remain a part of the medical record and document change over time. It is important to remember that these tools measure the presence of symptoms but not their cause. *Clinical judgement is mandatory for the interpretation of the results.*

Reasons For Assessment or Referral

Patients may come to you or are referred for a wide variety of reasons:

1. someone close to the patient has learned about ADHD and recognizes traits in the patient (e.g. a relative, teacher, employer, colleague or friend)
2. the patient (typically an adolescent or an adult) has learned about ADHD and recognizes the relevant symptoms
3. a relative has already been diagnosed with ADHD and this triggers an awareness of ADHD within the patient (e.g. a child is diagnosed and one or both the parents think they may also have ADHD)
4. there are functional difficulties that the patient presents with (such as behavioural or attention problems, academic issues, difficulty with paperwork, time management, driving, smoking or marital problems) and the clinician postulates ADHD as a possible explanation.

Some physicians may be wary of patients self referring with a possible ADHD diagnosis. They may suspect that the patient is looking for drugs, adaptations or an explanation/excuse for other problems. Clinical experience indicates this is an infrequent occurrence.

Practice Point: *Keep in mind that self-referral neither guarantees nor eliminates a diagnosis of ADHD.*

VISIT 1: SCREENING VISIT AND/OR TELEPHONE SCREEN

Presenting Complaint and Documentation Initiation

Review with the parents/patient their concerns, the reason for referral and the parents'/patient's hopes for the assessment.

Practice Point: *Simple questions to ask (any one should trigger concern). With an adult, clarify if the symptoms have been present since they were young.*

1. *Do you find it harder to focus, organize yourself, manage time and complete paperwork than most people?*
2. *Do you get into trouble for doing impulsive things you wish you had not?*
3. *Do you find you are always on the go, or that you are constantly restless or looking for something exciting to do?*
4. *Do you find it really difficult to get motivated by boring things, though it is easier to do the things you enjoy?*
5. *Do people complain that you are annoying or are easily annoyed, unreliable or difficult to deal with?*

If there is any suspicion of ADHD, begin to complete the CADDRA ADHD Assessment Form. Physicians may be somewhat reluctant to complete the semi-structured interview and scales we have provided for assessment since it is their usual practice to take notes as they go. They may feel patients will find this process tedious or that it interferes with their ability to “create a relationship”. We would suggest that patients are more likely to be pleased to know their doctor is conducting a full and systematic evaluation. The interview is designed to document all necessary information and it can be inserted directly into your medical records to document care.

Practice Point: Make sure you review the patient's strengths NOT just his or her areas of weakness. This establishes a rapport with a child, adolescent or adult and their family that makes future visits easier and can aid intervention planning. A useful rule of thumb is to ensure that each interview ends with a statement about the courage and coping skills that the patient and/or family have used to work with difficult circumstances, outlining and affirming the importance and value of these efforts.

ACTION  **At the END of the Screening Visit:**

1. give the patient the relevant inventories necessary for the next visit (see age group below)
2. ask the patient to bring all documentation from their past (e.g. school report cards, assessments, etc.)
3. obtain written consent to release information for institutional documentation
4. book the next appointment.

It is recommended that physicians complete an assessment form (A), a screener (S) and at least one rating scale (R). For children, the CADDRA Teacher Assessment Form (T) is also suggested; for adults, a collateral rating scale is useful. Follow-up forms (F) are also recommended, but a baseline of the chosen forms must be carried out initially.

Children and Adolescents (age 6 to 18):

1. CADDRA Information and Resources (Handout)
2. ADHD Checklist **(R) (F)**
3. Weiss Symptom Record (WSR) **(S)** for parents, teachers and adolescents in high school
4. Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale for Parents (WFIRS-P) **(R)**
5. CADDRA Teacher Assessment Form **(T)** to be completed by the teacher who knows the patient best
6. SNAP-IV-26 **(R)**
7. CADDRA Child or Adolescent Assessment Instructions
8. CADDRA Teacher Instructions.

Adults:

1. CADDRA Information and Resources (Handout)
2. ADHD Checklist **(R) (F)** to document child symptoms by patient and other, can also be used to document current symptoms
3. Adult ADHD Symptom Rating Scale (ASRS) **(R)**
4. Weiss Symptom Record (WSR) **(S)** for the patient and their significant other, close friend or parent
5. Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale – Self Report (WFIRS-S) **(R)**
6. CADDRA Adult Assessment Instructions

Practice Point: Adults are not very good at bringing back forms so it might be better for them to fill out the relevant questionnaires in the office before they leave.

VISIT 2: MEDICAL HISTORY AND PHYSICAL EXAM

Objectives for this Visit

1. collect the documentation from past records
2. obtain the relevant questionnaires for scoring before visit 3
3. determine if there is any missing information from the previous session
4. continue the **CADDRA ADHD Assessment Form** to:
 - complete the physical examination (or document that a physical examination by a colleague was completed)
 - ensure that there are no other medical causes of the symptoms of ADHD
 - review the possible medical consequences of having ADHD (e.g. accidents, sleep, poor nutrition)
 - ensure that there are no medical contraindications to the use of medications for the impairing ADHD symptoms.

Practice Point: *If there are any signs or symptoms of a physical illness that may be a factor in explaining the clinical symptoms, this takes precedence in the evaluation. Only when these factors are ruled out should the following steps be taken.*

ACTION



At the END of this Visit:

1. review the list of documents required. Remind the patient of what is missing and give them a list
2. order any relevant clinical tests based on the physical findings to rule out medical causes and risk factors
3. obtain written consent to release information for institutional documentation (if more required)
4. make referrals for medical assessments if necessary (e.g. occupational therapist if there are coordination problems; speech and language therapist for expressive or receptive language problems)
5. book the next appointment and, if patient is an adult, arrange to obtain information from a collateral source that knows the patient's early childhood experiences (such as parents, if possible).

Practice Point: *If parents strongly object to involving a child's school, the physician should let the parent know that without an understanding of whether there are ADHD difficulties in the classroom he/she will only be able to provide a limited assessment. We have not encountered any problems with regard to schools refusing to complete the forms and have designed them to be as efficient as possible for the teacher. If this issue were to arise, it would be important to provide the parent with your telephone number and request that the parent ask the teacher or principal to call so that the matter can be discussed.*

VISIT 3: ADHD INTERVIEW (Over several visits if needed)

Practice Point: Begin the interview by talking about the patient's strengths that were uncovered in the first session. The patient may not show clinical symptoms in your office setting.

If there are obvious symptoms of motor hyperactivity, impulsivity and inattention, it suggests that the symptoms are more severe. Part of an ADHD assessment is observing not only the nature of the impairment and symptoms but the triggers that allow them to become apparent.

The Objectives for this Visit(s)

- Do a complete review of the childhood developmental history for adults and a review for children/adolescents, determining that relevant symptoms were there before the age of seven
- Assess whether there are any life events that were of emotional concern in childhood (e.g., abuse, deaths, major changes)

Practice Point: ADHD is a biologically-based disorder. Try to separate out symptoms caused by psychosocial stressors. This can be very difficult, particularly when the patient has suffered significant loss or trauma.

- Obtain collateral information from the patient's mother/father or from a close relative that knows the patient's childhood story

Practice Point: Some parents tend to dismiss problems in their adult children but will be able to tell stories about their behaviour if asked. It is also useful to establish the patient's temperament as a child.

- Review the CAAT Rating Scales: ADHD Checklist, Weiss Symptom Record, WFIRS-P, the CADDRA Teacher Assessment Form (for children/adolescents) and WFIRS-S (for adults)

Practice Point: It is useful to make your clinical impression BEFORE you look at the results of the questionnaires. Then see if the data from the questionnaires supports or refutes your conclusions.

ACTION At the END of the Interview Section:

1. make necessary referrals for specialty assessment (e.g. to a psychologist; for an adult, to a psychiatrist or neurologist; for a child/adolescent to a developmental paediatrician, child and adolescent psychiatrist or paediatric neurologist)
2. make any necessary referrals based upon clinical findings
3. request a psychoeducational assessment if indicated (see Chapter 6; Supporting Documents 6A)
4. continue to emphasize the need for them to learn about ADHD and ensure they are aware of the relevant websites for more information

5. provide them with any handouts from the toolkit or supporting documents
6. arrange for the feedback and treatment appointment.

Practice Point: *Some students will be able to access psychoeducational assessment through their school system. For patients who can afford a private assessment, it is useful to have a list of local psychologists who offer assessment of learning and support needs in the context of ADHD, including strategies for successful accommodations at school. Sometimes local colleges and universities offer psychometric assessments at a reduced rate as they need subjects for their psychology interns. This may help to reduce the cost.*

VISIT 4: FEEDBACK AND TREATMENT RECOMMENDATIONS

Only proceed to feedback and treatment if the patient:

- has well documented evidence of impairment
- meets the thresholds for ADHD on the assessment batteries
- shows no other medical problems that would contraindicate further treatment
- has uncomplicated ADHD, i.e., no comorbid disorders (except Oppositional Defiant Disorder)
- is motivated to learn about ADHD (adult) or has parent(s)/guardian(s) that are motivated.

If the patient does not meet this threshold then:

- backtrack to see where the problem may have arisen and clarify using appropriate interventions
- pursue referrals to ADHD specialists.

Feedback of the Diagnosis

1. Review the threshold rating scales to determine if they meet criteria for ADHD. Look for consistency between the rating scales and between observer comments
2. Review the developmental history, identifying impairments which are often associated with ADHD, symptoms noted clinically and on the Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale (WFIRS)
3. For children/adolescents review the CADDRA Teacher Assessment Form
4. Review all other documentation, such as report cards and prior assessments, to determine if there is consistency
5. Give feedback related to the interview and collateral sources
7. Based on the findings above, present the diagnosis and any other concerns that might be relevant.

Dispelling Myths

Many patients come into an assessment for ADHD with false information or beliefs. Examples are:

- I am just lazy and looking for an excuse
- I don't want to take medication that could change my personality
- I am not the one with the problem, my spouse/employer/parent/teacher/school system is the problem
- I had it as a child but it went away
- I don't have all of the clinical symptoms

- ADHD is just a current fad
- and more

Practice Point: *This is a diagnosis that arouses a lot of emotion. It is very important to ensure that the patient and their family's concerns are heard and not dismissed. This is a collaborative process. This is even truer when there are differences between the patient and the person who initiated the referral. When there are conflicts, it is useful to focus on the person's strengths and to avoid blaming. Often the negative emotion emanates from fear and the loss of control. Empowerment is healing.*

Feedback of the Treatment Plan¹²

1. Ask the patients for their feelings, questions and reactions
2. Explain the impact of the diagnosis in school/vocational settings. E.g. Documentation on the official diagnosis may be critical in order to receive various benefits (e.g. special funding) and accommodations
3. Review the areas of impairment, trying to narrow down the major symptom that is troubling the individual
4. Explain the multimodal treatment agenda:
 - The need for more education
 - Psychosocial treatments explaining the behavioural–lifestyle agenda, the school/vocational accommodations required, and the psychological interventions to deal with self-esteem and life stressors
 - Medication agenda – using medications to support the psychosocial agenda.
5. Relay the diagnosis through your report to stakeholders (with the patient’s consent)
6. Arrange for follow-up, referrals, consultations, laboratory work or other interventions as needed.

ACTION



At the END of this Visit:

1. give the patient the necessary handouts related to the treatment plan for them to review, including the psychosocial and medical treatment information
2. make the necessary referrals for the psychosocial agenda or schedule the patient with you if you are the provider
3. book the next appointment for the medication discussion.

VISIT 5: MEDICAL TREATMENT AND ADVOCACY

Objectives for this Visit (Go to Chapter 7 for a detailed review of medications)

1. Discuss the medical treatment options
2. Select the initial medication and review the dosing strategy. *Begin with the minimum dose recommended in these Guidelines and increase slowly* in order to assure the optimum comfort on medication.

Practice Point: Sometimes the medical treatment is in response to a short-term emergency (e.g. aggression) but the long-term objective is improving functioning with a better quality of life and long-term maintenance.

ACTION  **At the END of this Visit provide:**

- a prescription if clinically indicated and the patient is ready and requests to start medication
- the 26 item ADHD Checklist (to be completed by patient, teacher or significant other for the period during which the patient is on optimal medication)
- the CADDRA Patient ADHD Medication Form should be filled out by the patient or parent(s) before medication is started and then regularly (see Practice Point) based on current symptoms
- an appointment for follow-up regarding the medication effects. Remind the patient/parent(s)/guardian(s) that they are to bring the CADDRA Patient ADHD Medication Forms, the ADHD Checklist and, where relevant, the CADDRA Teacher Assessment Form to each visit.

Practice Point: While adjusting the medication, we suggest the patient or parent completes the CADDRA Patient ADHD Medication Form every Wednesday and Saturday evening based on the day's symptoms. Collecting information in the middle of the week and at the weekend gives a better view of everyday symptom control and medication tolerability.

Follow-up Visits

- Follow-up every three to four weeks is necessary until medication is optimized. A telephone call or secured email communication may be sufficient in the interim to ensure the patient has access to the doctor in case of questions related to efficacy or side effects
- Once an optimal dose has been determined, the ideal medication follow-up would be every three months for more complex cases and every six months for stable patients.

Drug "Holidays"

- Non-stimulant medications are usually given continuously as they rely on a blood level being sustained to establish treatment efficacy as mentioned in product monographs. However, for all medications, a trial of dose reduction or discontinuation is necessary at some point to determine if they are needed, and what positive and negative effect they are having
- Drug "holidays" for children with ADHD have been controversial. It has been argued that the risks of medication discontinuation exceed any potential benefits. More recently, the finding from the Multimodal Treatment of ADHD¹³ study (that intermittent use of medication diminishes loss of height and weight) again brought the topic to the forefront, with physicians asking if drug "holidays" may have the same effect. Drug "holidays" have been described as having other important advantages. For example, they ensure that the patient and physician continue to monitor benefits and risks of medication or continued need for medication. In the event of deterioration, medication can be restarted. For children and adolescents, drug "holidays" may have an educational function in allowing them to be able to report subtle psychiatric side effects or to recognize beneficial effects they were not aware of. It is also not currently known if time off medication may minimize tolerance, dose increases, or total lifetime dose of exposure. At this time, there is no data to provide a definite recommendation on drug "holidays" and our consensus  recommendation is that the risks, benefits and alternative coping strategies be discussed with each family and that an individualized approach be taken.

CHAPTER 2: DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS AND COMORBID DISORDERS

Introduction

Making an ADHD diagnosis is as much about inclusion as it is about excluding the other disorders that might overlap with ADHD or look like ADHD; the differential diagnosis. **Consider a second opinion or referral to a speciality ADHD centre if the patient has a clinical history that is complex or if you are contemplating medication treatment beyond those recommended in these Guidelines¹⁴.**

Disorder-based Differentiation

Differential diagnoses are disorders that mimic ADHD while comorbid disorders are disorders that occur together with ADHD (either causally-related or independent and concurrent with ADHD). A careful assessment of other possible diagnoses should be undertaken at the time of evaluation.

Differential Disorders	Example of common medical conditions to consider	Points on history/testing
Respiratory problems	Sleep apnea	Clinical: Snoring, daytime fatigue, hypertension, headaches, polysomnography
Sleep disorders	Narcolepsy	Excessive daytime sleepiness, cataplexy, hypnagogic hallucination and sleep paralysis
Sensory deficits	Myopia, hearing impairment	Ophthalmology, audiology
Hormonal dysregulation	Thyroid	TSH
Metabolic disorder	Diabetes	Fasting glucose
Haematological disorder	Anaemia	CBC and differential
Substance abuse/dependence	Alcohol, cannabis or any illicit drug dependences	CAGE questionnaire (cut down, annoying, guilt, eye opening), urine toxicology
Neurological disorders	Concussion	History of trauma, headache, confusion, syncope
Other cognitive disorders	Learning disorder	Psychological testing/cognitive battery
Psychiatric disorders	Major depression Generalized anxiety Bipolar Disorder	Depressed mood, anhedonia, worthlessness, suicidal ideation Continuous worries with somatic symptoms Grandiosity, psychosis, periodicity

A thorough history and full functional review accompanied by a physical examination will often confirm underlying physical conditions. In certain instances, laboratory work up will be needed in order to eliminate a suspected pathology. However, most individuals with ADHD do not need laboratory investigations. Some special investigations may be relevant: polysomnography, electroencephalogram or brain imaging. Psychological testing, like WISC-IV (in children) or the WAIS (in adults), is imperative as it addresses any learning issues and helps to ascertain specific components of cognitive functioning that have overlaps with executive functioning (e.g. working memory and processing speed). Other tests, like personality assessment or projective testing, are useful indicators to establish personality traits and assessing contact with reality.

Comorbidities¹⁵⁻¹⁷

Most individuals with ADHD have co-occurring conditions which often complicate the clinical pictures of ADHD and have to be dealt with concomitantly. Eighty-seven per cent of ADHD children have at least one comorbid condition. Sixty-seven per cent of ADHD children have at least two comorbidities. Seventy-seven per cent of ADHD adults meet criteria for a comorbid condition. Comorbidity contributes to the failure to diagnose ADHD in adults. The most common comorbidities identified in the Multimodal Treatment Study of ADHD¹⁸ and in other comorbidity studies have been remarkably consistent.

Follow-up studies of children with ADHD and comorbidity show they have a poorer outcome than children with just ADHD as evidenced by significantly greater social, emotional and psychological difficulties¹⁹. ADHD subtypes and associated comorbid disorders change over time and by developmental stage. The most common comorbid disorders in early childhood are oppositional defiant disorder (ODD), language disorders and enuresis. In up to 70% of children with ADHD, there are either general learning disabilities or specific learning disabilities²⁰. ADHD is two to three times more common in children with developmental disabilities or borderline IQ and mental retardation. In the mid-school-age years, symptoms of anxiety or tic spectrum disorders may also be observed. Mood disorders tend to be more observable by early adolescence²¹⁻²³.

We will briefly describe the key comorbidities and the auxiliary treatments they require. The DSM-IV-TR criteria for each diagnosis are listed in the Weiss Symptom Record and will not be reiterated here. An important clinical note is that outcome is generally determined by the most serious comorbid condition. Very little systematic research exists on sequencing of treatment for comorbidities, and this is generally handled on a case-by-case basis.

ADHD and Learning Disorders

ADHD and learning disabilities (LDs) often coexist and can mimic each other. Teachers and parents often express concerns about a child's level of productivity and may label this child 'lazy' or 'unmotivated'. Children with ADHD frequently fall below control groups on standardized achievement tests^{24, 25}. The comorbidity rates of LD with ADHD vary greatly depending on how the term LD is understood. If it is defined as a specific learning disability in reading, arithmetic or spelling relative to intelligence and achievement at or below a 7% level, then the comorbidity rate ranges from 19% to 26%. If the term LD is defined as a significant difference between intelligence and achievement, the comorbidity rate increases to 53%²⁶. As many as 80% of children with ADHD may have a LD if defined as the student functioning two grades below grade level²⁶. Children with ADHD may also have speech and language difficulties.

Children with ADHD often have weaknesses in the cognitive areas of executive functioning like organization, planning, self-directed activity, working memory and processing speed²⁷. While there is considerable overlap between ADHD and problems with executive function, children with ADHD may have a range of skills in these areas¹⁹. Although children with ADHD have a high rate of specific learning disabilities, such as coordination disorders, disorder of written expression, dysgraphia, dyslexia or dyscalculia¹⁸, it is just as important to understand that a child who cannot follow instructions, listen, or stay on task is going to be learning-disabled in the global sense of underachieving as compared to his/her potential. Many children with ADHD have difficulty with written output, and compensate for this in later years by keyboarding. Children who had difficulty with developmental coordination disorder may find forms of exercise such as walking beneficial in adulthood because they do not require extensive coordination or balance. They may, however, still continue to have difficulty with various tasks and be referred to as "clumsy".

When psychoeducational assessments are done, emphasis should be placed on identifying such weaknesses as well as assessing the child's abilities in written output and auditory processing. If LDs are documented, it will assist the teacher and school to know what type of educational adaptations are most likely to be

effective. It will also help the child, adult or parent differentiate their overall level of intelligence from specific deficits that can be remediated, thus improving self esteem. The physician diagnosing the child or adolescent with ADHD has a responsibility to aid the individual in accessing appropriate classroom accommodations to help them cope with their weaknesses. Templates that can be used as a guide for writing letters requesting school accommodations are found in Chapter 6, supporting document 6A. In recent years, schools have been much more willing and skilled in providing appropriate adaptations for children with ADHD, executive dysfunction, written output problems and learning disabilities. These adaptations should be understood as “levelling the playing field for these children” and not perceived as an indication of academic incompetence. This is true from kindergarten through university.

Practice Point: *The templates for requesting psychoeducational testing and accommodations can be downloaded from the CADDRA ADHD Assessment Toolkit and printed on your letterhead. You can personalize and adapt them to suit your needs.*

A latent learning disability may become more overt during adulthood when executive functions become more important for independent learning. In adults, as in younger children, ADHD can occur along with specific problems in reading, math or with written expression. These can usually be identified by assessing whether these difficulties have caused problems in school and continue to cause more or less residual difficulty. What is more complex is the differential between a primary attention problem (ADHD-inattentive subtype) and various processing disorders, executive function problems secondary to organic conditions (e.g., head injury, exposure to toxins, drug abuse), or language deficits. The childhood history should be positive in ADHD. It is important to determine if the patient is inattentive only in the area in which learning deficits present a challenge, if the problems followed an accident involving a concussion or brain injury, or follow a period of heavy drug use.

Educational accommodations are a right (recognized in the Ontario Human Rights document, “Guidelines in Accessible Education”²⁸). Although some school boards across Canada do not currently recognize ADHD as qualifying a student as a ‘special needs student’, this perspective is changing. Both CADDRA, the national physician’s ADHD alliance, and CADDAC, the national parent and patient support and advocacy network, will be advocating to the Ministries of Education for standardized educational accommodations across Canada. CADDRA and CADDAC believe that all neurobiological and mental health disorders need to be recognized by educational institutions in order for individuals to receive the necessary multimodal care.

ADHD and Oppositional-Defiant Disorder (ODD)

Behavioural problems (including ODD, aggression and delinquency) account for most of the comorbidity in children with ADHD. The presence of comorbid ODD with ADHD is likely to generate substantial impairment and would be expected to result in increased referrals for treatment²⁹. Between 25-75% of adolescents with ADD may have concurrent ODD³⁰. Distinguishing between normal adolescent self-assertion and ODD may not always be easy. Among adults with ADHD, 12% meet diagnostic criteria for continued ODD, though likely now referred to as passive-aggressive personality traits.

The most common reason for ODD is parental vulnerability resulting in insecurity of the child who responds with a need to control. This manifests by active confrontation of authority they perceive as being weak. The treatment for psychosocial-based ODD is to reestablish the generational boundaries using positive parenting techniques. However, in patients with comorbid ODD with ADHD, it is advisable that the first step is optimization of pharmacotherapy of ADHD followed by augmentation with psychosocial treatment, including parent and other behavioural treatments. It is important to distinguish ODD from CD. Children with ODD have recurring negativistic, defiant, hostile and disobedient behaviour, especially toward

authority figures, whereas those with CD repeatedly violate the basic rights of others or age-appropriate societal norms, as defined by a pattern of repeated aggression, lying, stealing, and truancy³¹. The onset of both disorders is usually prepubertal, thus making early identification, diagnosis, and treatment crucial. ODD is a prodromal to conduct disorder in some cases but an unlikely outcome in more than 50% of the cases. Many children with ADHD and ODD do not evolve into CD³².

ADHD and Conduct Disorder (CD)/Aggression

CD comorbid with ADHD is a severe, persistent condition that has an earlier age at onset and is frequently preceded by ODD, therefore it is important to distinguish between the two disorders^{32, 33}. CD is not always pre-pubertal onset; another group of children have adolescent-limited CD. Co-occurrence of ADHD and CD in adolescents is often a precursor of antisocial behaviours; nicotine use; substance use or abuse; anxiety or depression; and development of antisocial personality disorder as adults^{34, 35}.

Pharmacotherapy for patients with ADHD, CD and aggression may be useful (stimulant and non stimulant medication). Although medications are usually effective in reducing the symptoms of ADHD and impulsive aggression^{18, 36}, these patients typically benefit from multimodal treatment³⁷. Medications initially should treat the most severe underlying disorder, after which targeting specific symptoms is appropriate. Some of these patients show aggression before and during the course of treatment, making it imperative to document their aggressive behaviours before the introduction of medications and to make these behaviours an explicit target of treatment. Clinicians should assess treatment tolerability and efficiency if patients show aggression after starting medication for ADHD.

Conduct problems are generally reduced by all effective ADHD treatments (stimulant and non stimulant medication and psychosocial treatment^{36, 38}). However, treatment of the ADHD may not be sufficient to resolve all symptoms. Higher doses of medication with a multimodal treatment approach should be attempted. Psychosocial treatment, individual, family interventions and medication optimization and, sometimes, a trial of a mood stabilizer or an atypical anti-psychotic may be beneficial^{30, 39}.

Research shows that ADHD and CD represent two complex and distinct entities that are often associated. Children with these conditions without comorbidity present with different core symptoms and perform differently on objective measures of ADHD symptoms. Children with these comorbidities show the poorest outcome within each individual group⁴⁰.

Researchers have attempted to understand the reasons for the high comorbidity between ADHD and CD. They have suggested several reasons for this:

- that one disorder is a precursor to another
- one disorder is a risk factor for developmental of the other
- the disorders share the same related risk factors, or
- there is a common underlying symptomatic basis for one or more of these behaviours^{41,42}.

ADHD and Borderline Personality Disorder (BPD)⁴³

BPD may occur in either gender. It is advised that the individual should be over 16 before a formal diagnosis of BPD is applied due to prevailing biases associated with this disorder. While patients with BPD are often impulsive, labile and have difficulties with executive function, the presence of rage, emptiness, planned manipulative behaviours, primitive defence mechanisms, deliberate self-destructive actions, abandonment anxiety and suicide attempts differentiate the two disorders. While patients with BPD may have ADHD, the BPD is the more severe disorder and more likely to impact outcome. Treatment

of ADHD in the context of BPD, especially with short-duration stimulants, should be undertaken with caution. However, effective treatment of underlying ADHD can improve active participation in psychosocial treatments. Patients with BPD who have clear evidence of ADHD in childhood often expect that treatment of the ADHD in adulthood will resolve the personality issues. In these cases, it is important to explain the treatment limitations of ADHD medications. This will reduce the risk that patients will react with feelings of abandonment, rage, disappointment, devaluation or feel that they have been rejected.

ADHD and Antisocial Personality Disorder (ASPD)⁴³

Some children with ADHD and conduct disorder go on to have ASPD after the age of 18 (the age criterion is required), and show an absence of remorse, compassion and conscience. Since some patients with ASPD may be psychopathic and also drug-seeking, it is important to screen for cruelty, aggression, problems with the law and stealing. Treatment of ADHD in the context of ASPD may not lead to significant functional improvement in the patient's actual well-being but may improve the extent of their impulsivity⁴⁵. Whether or not they are less impulsive, less hyperactive and more focused may or may not improve their functioning if symptomatic improvement is directed to antisocial activities rather than improved interpersonal relationships and life skills.

ADHD and Anxiety⁴³

The natural course of ADHD moves towards an internalization of the symptoms. As a result, the emergence of anxiety may be a natural extension of ADHD. Individuals with the Inattentive Subtype have a stronger propensity for anxiety as they typically have internalizing temperaments. This is particularly true in females who may be highly sensitive and have more Inattentive Subtype symptoms. However, having ADHD also exposes the individual to considerable negative situations and anxiety may be a compensation for environmental insults (i.e. in order to avoid conflict situations due to their impulsiveness, they use anxiety to create excessive internal control). Once anxiety develops, attention can be severely compromised. As a result, there are patients with comorbid anxiety and inattention which results in significant damage to their self esteem, lack of academic success and other types of impairment. There are many forms of anxiety within the DSM-IV-TR but they all have some components in common:

- a) the cognitive message always begins with the words "what if..." which is a need to anticipate a negative outcome before it has happened
- b) a tendency to hold on to beliefs, thoughts, belongings and emotions (i.e. not being able to easily "let go")
- c) is likely related to heightened noradrenaline activity, and
- d) the behaviour leads to impairment in functioning.

As many as 33% of children¹⁸ with ADHD have comorbid anxiety and that number increases to as many as 50% of adults⁵. Once the specific type of anxiety is identified the treatments are generally as follows: 

- Behavioural intervention: Relaxation therapy, yoga, meditation, exercise, simplifying their environment by throwing things out, delegating anxious activities, improved organization skills etc. are all useful interventions
- Psychological therapy: Cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) and individual therapy focusing on the specific anxiety disorder
- Medical treatment: If ADHD exists with anxiety, treat the ADHD first. There may be a risk of increasing anxiety in the short term so it is important to start very slowly and increase the doses gradually. If the anxiety becomes too intense, then the ADHD medication should be reduced or withdrawn and the anxiety should be treated until the symptoms are tolerable. Then the ADHD medication should be

restarted. The most common medication used for anxiety is a serotonin-based medication. Any of the ADHD medications can be successfully used when anxiety is comorbid although atomoxetine has been found to be specifically helpful in management of anxiety with attention disorder^{21, 22}. Due to 2D6 inhibition, atomoxetine should be used with caution if combined with fluoxetine or paroxetine for example.

There are anxious patients in whom problems concentrating, restlessness and other aspects of dysregulation are caused by a primary anxiety disorder and not ADHD.

- Check for other signs of anxiety and family history of anxiety
- Check to see if the patient has symptoms of ADHD not typical for anxiety, such as stimulus-seeking behaviour, disinhibition or difficulty with organization and time management
- Determine if symptoms have developed de novo as a result of new onset anxiety or a particular stressor.

ADHD and Major Depression⁴³ (MD)

There is considerable overlap between MD and ADHD. MD patients (without ADHD) may still have transitional inattention, short-term memory problems, irritability, impulsivity, trouble sleeping, trouble concentrating, restlessness and being fidgety. However, the differential with ADHD is based on two factors. Primary MD typically has consistent negative mood and anhedonia. A drop in mood is qualitatively different from the lifelong deficits in maintaining focus or motivation that are typical in ADHD. There is a difference between poor concentration in the presence of depression and deficits in organization, impulsivity and lifelong difficulty with forced effort and listening even when happy.

Patients with primary ADHD often have to deal with failure and may become demoralized, depressed or dysthymic as a result. In that case, they will present with both disorders. Patients with ADHD may look like they have a mood disorder when they do not. Lack of motivation may mimic anhedonia, chronic difficulty going to sleep and restless sleep may mimic insomnia secondary to MD. ADHD patients typically have dysregulated mood, are reactive and sometimes irritable, but it is not typical for ADHD in the absence of a mood disorder to be associated with entrenched, depressed affect. On the contrary, many ADHD individuals maintain reasonable mood despite chronic rejection and difficulties with relationships and life skills. Some patients with ADHD are negative or chronically irritable (“life is a bore” or “I’ve never felt well”) in the absence of major neurovegetative features. The most appropriate designation for this particular attribute would be a dysthymic disorder since these symptoms are not included in the diagnostic criteria for ADHD itself. Antidepressants can be helpful in some cases.

It is not uncommon for ADHD and depression to coexist. It may be helpful to try to determine if the patient is depressed secondary to ADHD or vice-versa. Depression or more commonly dysphoric symptoms are also possible due to the withdrawal effects of the medications used to treat ADHD . Different guidelines differ on sequence of treatment, but clinically the “primary” disorder - meaning the more severe, early onset and pervasive disorder - is usually treated first. When initiating treatment with stimulants in a patient with untreated melancholic depression, worsening of already impaired sleep and appetite issues may be a problem.

When the depression is associated with problems in the psychosocial environment, treatment strategies including individual (e.g. CBT) and family therapy are primarily indicated⁵. However, pharmacological treatment is a useful intervention in the adolescent and adult age group. The evidence for successful treatment of childhood depression with medications is mixed. Fluoxetine and fluvoxamine have been indicated for depression in children. Stimulant medications have a mild antidepressant effect but may produce a dysphoric look in 30% of patients, even though the patient is not clinically depressed or reports

depression. Adjustment of dose may improve the dysphoric symptoms; failing that, switching to a different ADHD medication may be successful. Treatment of the most disabling condition should be undertaken first. This is particularly true in the presence of suicide risk. If the MD continues to be impairing or worsens, referral is recommended. All of the drugs used to treat ADHD have potential antidepressant effect or can cause mood symptoms particularly in the rebound of their use ... *If suicide risk is imminent, an immediate referral or intervention must be carried out. Suicide risk should be assessed in the follow-up visits as well.*

ADHD and Bipolar Spectrum Disorder

In most cases of bipolar disorder (BD) in children or adolescents, ADHD is comorbid⁴⁴. Most children with ADHD, however, do not go on to have BD. A patient with ADHD who is currently depressed may be misperceived as having BD based on the contrast to his/her reported usual state of high energy. However, any patient who experiences a new and acute onset of increased energy, irritability, grandiosity and decreased need to sleep is, by definition, suffering a hypomanic/manic episode since ADHD is developmental and chronic.

Some patients have an early onset form of BD characterized by severe mood swings, anger outbursts, irritability, distractibility, hyperactivity and impulsive self-destructive behaviour. A differentiating feature includes the symptoms of grandiosity, euphoria and periodicity. Family history of BD is important. Children of bipolar parents are more likely to have ADHD (8-10%), rather than BD (5%)⁴⁵. Other differentiating features include: discrete cyclical symptoms of emotional lability in BD as opposed to continuous symptoms in ADHD; greater likelihood of psychosis or grandiose perceptions in BD; and possible depression and sleepiness after rage episodes in BD as opposed to baseline recovery in ADHD-based rages. There is a group of patients in which ADHD is complicated by severe mood dysregulation which may not be episodic, may have existed developmentally, and is severely impairing. The debate as to what to call this disorder requires further empirical research. Early follow-up studies of this population suggest that emergence of BD in patients previously diagnosed as ADHD is a significant concern.

Ⓞ In adolescence and adulthood, BD should be considered as the primary diagnosis if there are prominent, episodic, distinct, cycling mood symptoms. Lithium carbonate or anticonvulsants with mood regulating effects can be considered for patients with bipolar affective disorders (BAD), as well as atypical neuroleptics⁴⁶. Treatment of BD or BD + ADHD should be referred to experts.

ADHD and Pervasive Developmental Disorder (PDD)^{15, 47-50}

When symptoms of PDD are present, this is the primary diagnosis and takes precedence over ADHD in terms of treatment intervention strategies Ⓞ. While the DSM-IV Criterion E suggests that PDD is an exclusionary diagnosis, the CAP-Guidelines Committee note that ADHD and PDD do coexist in some patients. Evidence of possible PDD includes late onset of language, prominent social disabilities and excessive and unusual preoccupations in particular areas of interest. Severe ADHD symptoms in the presence of PDD require referral⁶.

According to the literature:

- until recently, ADHD was not recognized in persons with autism spectrum disorder but researchers and clinicians have now recognized the importance of attending to both syndromes when both are present and clinically impairing
- up to 58% of the individuals diagnosed with autism and 85% of those diagnosed with Asperger's syndrome tend to meet full criteria for ADHD as well²⁴
- attentional impairments in autism tend to be more of the "not listening" and "difficulty shifting focus" type than of "the short attention span" and "excessive distractibility" type

- medications used to treat ADHD can help alleviate ADHD impairments in the majority of patients with comorbid ADHD and autism spectrum disorder, though the effect is somewhat less than in those presenting with ADHD alone
 - in people presenting with ADHD and ASD, side effects such as dysphoria are more common⁵¹
- ✓ **dosage titration in this population should be done at a slower rate to minimize adverse effects.**

ADHD and Addictions

Substance Use/Abuse (SUD)^{24, 52-56}

ADHD patients are at significant risk of using illicit substances, particularly nicotine, cocaine and cannabis, and of starting at an earlier age than the general population⁵⁴. Concurrent disorders with ADHD, like CD and BD, increase the likelihood of SUD⁵⁵. While ADHD patients do self-medicate with substances, it is important to dispel their belief that the use of illicit substances has a positive therapeutic benefit. SUD is a diagnosis in its own right, and data to date does not demonstrate that treatment of ADHD in this population will eliminate the substance abuse⁵⁶. A history of substance abuse should be explored with the individual in private.

Practice point: *With adolescents, ask whether their friends use drugs or alcohol first. A positive response suggests they are likely a high risk candidate. Where substance abuse exists, there continues to be controversy about the timing of ADHD pharmacological treatment. Though the CAP-G Committee feels it is important to treat the SUD first, it is recognized that ADHD treatment might be required concurrently.*

Patients with ADHD have a two-fold risk for substance abuse and dependence, including daily marijuana use, alcoholism, smoking and other drugs⁵⁷. On the other hand, it is also true that patients with these substance abuse/dependence problems present with attention, behaviour and self-control symptoms that mimic ADHD. For this reason, we do not recommend making a diagnosis of ADHD in the face of current substance abuse or dependence, even when childhood history is positive. The primary diagnosis in this circumstance is the substance problem and diagnosis of ADHD should be deferred until the patient is in recovery. Treatment of ADHD in patients who use marijuana without dependence or abuse is controversial and the risks and benefits of doing this have not been studied. Marijuana smoking (to calm themselves or facilitate sleep) is extremely common in this population. No treatment carries risk in itself and that treatment may minimize self-medication. Marijuana may be laced with substances that are more dangerous and it makes little sense to use a medication to help a patient focus when they are self-medicating with a substance that impairs attention skills in the long term.

According to current literature:

- methylphenidate does not have the same abuse liability as cocaine does due to slower dissociation from the site of action, slower uptake into the striatum, and slower binding and dissociation with the dopamine transporter protein relative to cocaine
- in some studies, 11% of subjects with ADHD reported selling their medication and 22% reported misusing their medication compared with 5% of controls. The ADHD group at highest risk for diversion and misuse were those with SUD and CD. Immediate-release, but not extended-release, stimulants were diverted or misused
- patients with ADHD and SUD require multimodal intervention incorporating both addiction and mental health treatment.

ADHD and Other Addictions

The need for immediate feedback, the desire for reward and the enjoyment of risk all lead ADHD patients to be vulnerable to addictions. These may include not just substance abuse but also sports, shopping, sex, internet and gambling addictions. Therefore it is essential that an ADHD assessment screen for any addiction is begun with a broad question, followed by a more detailed evaluation, and that both disorders be treated (CB). There is no evidence that treatment of ADHD will treat the addiction, or that resolution of the addiction will lead to improvement in ADHD core symptoms.

ADHD and Enuresis

Enuresis treatment may be improved with medication initiation, particularly for daytime events. Nocturnal enuresis often requires separate management. The most effective intervention for the motivated child and family is the alarm-based training system. Medical treatment options may include the use of Desmopressin, DDAVP, imipramine and (recently determined) atomoxetine⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰.

ADHD and Tic Disorders⁶¹⁻⁶⁴

The most common tic is blinking. Tics present as either phonic or physical movements. Research on tic disorders and ADHD is complex and this may be a disorder where the population statistics do not always reflect the risk to the individual (CB). While stimulants do not cause tics, they may be implicated in uncovering a patient's propensity for them. There is some evidence that while atomoxetine may be associated with improvement in tics, it may also cause tic emergence. Some recent research studies suggest:

- patients with Tourette Syndrome (TS) co-occurring with ADHD may suffer from more impairment related to ADHD than tics
- treatment interventions for TS include education about tics and related disorders, clinical monitoring, pharmacological or psychological treatments and school interventions for kids as needed
- some studies indicate that methylphenidate is a safe and effective treatment for ADHD in most children with comorbid tic disorder
- the alpha-2-adrenergic agonists, Clonidine and Guanfacine, have shown promise in the treatment of tics, particularly in combination with ADHD.

ADHD and Epilepsy

Some studies have suggested a higher incidence of symptoms of ADHD in children with epilepsy. The five common epilepsy comorbid conditions are reduced bone health and fractures, stroke, depression, migraine and ADHD⁶⁵. Other research noted a strong trend toward a higher incidence of epilepsy among children with ADHD than among children without ADHD⁶⁶. Epilepsy in children with ADHD appears to be more severe than in those without. Finally, there appears to be a reluctance to diagnose and initiate treatment for ADHD in children with epilepsy⁶⁷.

Stimulant medications may lower seizure threshold, though current data suggests that this may not always be the case. Adult epilepsy patients received relief from sedation with MPH and showed an improved quality of life without significant alteration of seizure control⁶⁸. There are some studies that suggest drug interactions between methylphenidate and antiepileptic drugs⁶⁹ (CB). Until further replicated studies can be done, a conservative approach is still indicated.

ADHD and Brain Injury (any etiology)⁷⁰⁻⁷⁴

Individuals with ADHD of all ages are at risk for physical injuries because they are impulsive, hyperactive and inattentive (CB). Any injury to the brain, particularly to the frontal lobes, can produce a syndrome

known as Secondary-ADHD (S-ADHD). Trauma to the brain can also worsen the symptoms of pre-existing ADHD. Children and teens with ADHD are three times as likely to experience a moderate or severe brain injury than their peers without ADHD. Children and adolescents with a moderate or severe brain injury have a 20% chance of developing S-ADHD. The literature on adults is less clear. S-ADHD can be treated using the same principles and medications as ADHD, but the research literature supporting this is not as extensive or compelling as it is for ADHD.

Given that concussion and brain injury are relatively common experiences, it is recommended that all patients being assessed for ADHD be questioned as to whether they have ever had a concussion or brain injury in the past. It is generally accepted that the more severe the brain injury, the greater the likelihood of developing or worsening ADHD. This is the one instance in which a patient may present with de novo ADHD symptoms, having no past history of these types of symptoms before the injury. Motor vehicle accidents are a major cause of traumatic brain injury and patients with ADHD need to receive specific advice on driving only when medication is in effect (please see the section on ADHD and driving). The literature on non-traumatic acquired brain injury, such as fetal alcohol syndrome or stroke, is less clear, but many patients with ADHD symptoms may respond to standard treatments. Patients with brain injury may be more sensitive to medication and starting out with lower doses may be recommended. As with all patients, however, the best advice is to start low, go slow, but to persist with upper dosage adjustments until symptoms remit or side effects are evident or suggested maximum dosage is reached.

ADHD and Sleep Disorder

Twenty-five to fifty per cent of children and more than half of adults with ADHD reportedly suffer from sleep problems. Sleep plays a pivotal role in cognitive function, learning and memory consolidation. Sleep deprivation and disturbances of sleep architecture can result in symptoms varying in severity, from unrecognized deficits in cognitive performance to disabling sleepiness and/or fatigue that noticeably affect cognitive, emotional, and physical function, giving rise to, or exacerbating, ADHD symptoms⁷⁵.

However it is not clear whether sleep disturbances are intrinsic to ADHD or whether they occur as a result of an underlying primary sleep disorder. On the one hand, if sleep disturbances in ADHD are caused by an underlying primary sleep disorder, the extent to which ADHD-like symptomatology is attributable to the sleep disorder is not sufficiently studied. The manifestation of ADHD-like symptoms in primary sleep disorders such as sleep disordered breathing (SDB), periodic limb movements in sleep (PLMS) in restless legs syndrome (RLS), and disorders of excessive daytime sleepiness (EDS) such as narcolepsy and idiopathic hypersomnia (IH) have been documented⁷⁶. In light of the similarity of symptom presentations between ADHD and primary sleep disorders, it has been suggested that misdiagnosis may be an issue between these two disorders⁷⁷.

On the other hand, the association between sleep disturbances and ADHD-like symptomatology appears to extend to brain structure. Neuroimaging studies have shown similar metabolic changes in the prefrontal cortex (PFC) of patients with ADHD and sleep deprived subjects⁷⁸. Some areas of the brain that are affected in ADHD are the very same structures that are involved in the regulation of sleep. Activation of areas of the cortex by the midbrain and locus coeruleus is required for sustained attention and alertness⁷⁹. Attention and alertness, in turn, are properties that define the awake state. Cycling through the awake state and sleep state is an autonomically governed process that reflects changes in brain arousal. In ADHD, subjects appear to have problems with arousal, and deficits in cortical functioning have been reported . Thus, it has been proposed that sleep problems such as insomnia or hypersomnia result from abnormal cortical arousal and, therefore, sleep problems are intrinsic to ADHD⁸⁰.

ADHD and Obsessive Compulsive Disorder (OCD)⁸²⁻⁸⁶

Some studies suggest that one third of children and adolescents with OCD may have ADHD. Clinicians assessing patients for ADHD should routinely enquire about symptoms of OCD to establish the diagnosis of OCD. Treatment of both disorders should be carried simultaneously. Medications used to treat ADHD are not useful for treatment of OCD and medications used to treat OCD are not effective for treatment of ADHD. CBT is often effective in treatment of certain types of OCD.

ADHD and Developmental Coordination Disorder (DCD)

While there is no clear prevalence rate for the co-occurrence of these two disorders, evidence suggests that when ADHD and DCD occurred, there was a 58% rate of a poor outcome⁸⁷. Balance problems, dyslexia, and poor handwriting may be related to cerebellar dysfunction and may be associated with DCD. Occupational therapy assessment is warranted to provide recommendations. Having the child learn keyboarding can often be beneficial. Relevant software programs can also help to overcome problems (e.g. voice recognition, etc).

CHAPTER 3: SPECIFIC ISSUES IN THE MANAGEMENT OF CHILDREN WITH ADHD: INTERVENTION WITH PARENTS OF CHILDREN DIAGNOSED WITH ADHD

Objectives:

1. To inform families about the etiology, diagnosis and treatment of ADHD and empower them to help their child overcome the impairment associated with this potentially disabling condition
2. To assist families in accepting and understanding the diagnosis of ADHD and the treatment possibilities. This process takes place over time
3. To make families active participants in the planning of a therapeutic approach
4. To direct families to community supports and resources to enable them to continue to learn about the disorder and about how they can support the treatment at home.

Explaining ADHD

First and foremost, parents need to be informed that **ADHD is a neurobiological condition with a strong genetic etiology**, that it involves a number of different neurotransmitters, and affects certain areas of the brain. The importance of this discussion is to relate any pharmacological treatment that is instituted to the physiology of the condition as we understand it.

All symptoms of ADHD can be problems everyone has at times, but people with ADHD have more of these symptoms and more difficulty and impairment from them. This is not a disorder of willpower. Many people with ADHD have some domains of activity, such as sports, music, video games, art, mechanical activities, in which they can focus very well.

Connect the biological nature of ADHD to the behavioural presentation. ADHD affects behaviour, interpersonal relationships and academic output. It is important to dispel blame and to reassure the parents and the child that this is not anyone's fault.

Therapeutic approach – multimodal treatment agenda. All aspects of the child's life must be dealt with through a multimodal approach that addresses the social, emotional, behavioural and academic issues. Medications are an important aspect of treatment and assist the facilitation of changes in these areas.

The child will require **long-term care** as challenges may occur at the beginning of every school year, with transitions into adolescence and adulthood, and with any changes or stressors within the family. The parents must be ready for challenges that can affect their mental and physical health as well as the stability of their own relationship.

Treatment Options

Psychosocial Therapies: General Guidance

Environment

Promote safety in the home, especially for the hyperactive impulsive child. The first step is physical safety (i.e. safety-proofing, ample outdoor places that can be safely used and supervised, opportunities for physical movement). It is also necessary to create a calm, structured, positive approach to child-rearing which not only optimizes appropriate developmental progression but allows for a more acceptable response to limit-setting. Above all, it is crucial that parents retain a positive and enjoyable relationship with their

child that encourages his/her self-esteem. Thus, doing things that the child excels at or enjoys is very important. Parenting should include structure, guidance, but also fun. The school must create a similar environment and the parents must communicate this agenda.

Creation of structure helps to calm children as it gives those references of familiarity. Aspects of structure include: clarity of communication, routines, decrease in disruptive distractions and the promotion of organization regarding time (physical cues, clocks, schedules, calendars), space and activity. The creation of an optimal environment requires taking into account level of noise, proximity to visual irritations, sensitivities to environmental and physical irritations. Appropriate and consistent limit-setting, with age-relevant and suitable supports and consequences (positive and negative), is also paramount. Parents will need to advocate for the child with schools so that the appropriate supports are provided, resulting in a consistency in structure that moves seamlessly from school to home. One area of significant concern is unstructured free time at recess and lunch periods when the child with ADHD is more likely to get into trouble. This time is critical for these children to burn off excess energy. Since free time periods are essential for all kids, including those who have ADHD, the school *must* ensure the child is supervised during these times. Removing free time periods should not be used as a consequence for children with ADHD. It is helpful when the school provides structured activities.

Enhance Self Esteem

Building the child's confidence and sense of confidence, by discovering and reinforcing those things they enjoy and/or do well, is part of working with a child who has ADHD. A child may never be invited to birthday parties but may be remarkable with animals or relate well one-to-one with a grandparent. A child may have limited skills at seat work but may excel at taking mechanical things apart and putting them back together. A child may encounter problems by being dreamy in class, but carry an imaginative world in play that is to be greatly admired. The more the family finds and reinforces the child's strengths, the easier it is to handle the frustration of what remains difficult, and the greater the child will sense that he/she is a welcome member of his/her family. This is a key factor in developing resilience.

Specific Useful Interventions (see Chapter 6 on Psychosocial Interventions and Treatments for more details)

There are many associated problems with ADHD which must be treated in addition to the ADHD symptoms. The clinician must utilize the resources of the community to provide additional supports for the child and the family. This may be through referral to a psychologist, occupational therapist, social worker, educational aid, resource teacher, behavioural consultant etc. Communities vary with respect to the availability and organization of resources to support children with ADHD. Access to some of these resources may be dictated by a family's financial resources.

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Behavioural ^{88-93:} | Social skills training
Anger management
Parent training |
| Educational ^{94-96:} | Academic organizational and study skills
Specific academic remediation |
| Psychological: | Individual therapy such as cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) ^{97-104;}
interpersonal psychotherapy (IPT) ^{105, 106;} play therapy ^{107-109;}
art therapy ^{110;} supportive psychotherapy ^{111, 112;} family therapy ¹¹³ |
| Lifestyle: | Proper nutrition
Good sleep hygiene
Regular exercise ¹¹⁴⁻¹¹⁶
Extracurricular activities |

Medication

Parents need to be informed that while medication is helpful, it does not offer a “cure”. The clinician must discuss the issues of risks and benefits of various medication treatment options, including short and long-acting stimulants, as well as nonstimulant medication. Additionally, there should be a discussion of the expectation the parent has of the medication, dispelling of myths, review of non-treatment risks, long-term outcome and treatment alternatives, if any. The physician’s approach to the patient should be that he/she is an ally in trying to alleviate the impairment caused by a long-term developmental disorder and that medications facilitate the improvement of functions in many domains of life. Using the analogy of the treatment of asthma can be helpful. A puffer works fast and provides almost complete relief but does not address the core problems. Identifying the triggers (like a cat allergy), changing the person’s lifestyle, and improving factors that promote resilience is the route to, hopefully, reducing or eliminating long-term use of the puffer. Unfortunately, in asthma as in ADHD, it is often necessary to continue to use medications despite valiant efforts at changing the environment.

Parents and Home Situation

Comprehensive Family Review

In order to intervene effectively with parents, one needs to have a complete picture of each parent’s medical and psychiatric history, past and current level of functioning in various domains (occupational, academic, social and emotional) and their relationship as a couple. The family picture should also be extended to the strengths and weakness of the relationships between the parent(s) and child, siblings and other significant extended family members (grandparents, uncles/aunts, step-parents and step-siblings).

Parental Psychopathology

Possible psychopathology in parents or significant family members, which can impact the child and how he/she is treated, needs to be explored. Conditions such as maternal depression, anxiety, paternal substance abuse, ADHD and personality disorders need to be considered. It is necessary for the clinician to assess for any psychopathology using the appropriate clinical review and/or symptom-based questionnaire. The literature and research supports the fact that ADHD runs in families^{43, 117}. The ADHD Checklist can be used as a screening instrument for other family members since it is designed to be appropriate for any age group or informant. Parents, siblings and extended family members may have ADHD and therefore have problems with organization, consistency, impulsivity and emotional liability. In addition, having a child with a disability may increase the likelihood of substance abuse, depression and anxiety in the parents¹¹⁸. Parental psychopathology can have a significant impact on the parents’ ability to structure, monitor and generally help their child^{119, 120}. Identifying this psychopathology and referring the parents for appropriate treatment will improve the psychiatric state of the parents and their parenting ability, and thus be of great help to the child and his/her family. Parents who are poor role models, such as the father or mother who stays up at night playing computer games or who forgets to make lists, misses important appointments, medication, etc, are difficult to engage and often do not make the necessary lifestyle changes for success at home.

Environmental Stressors

Families have many internal and external challenges that they must contend with (medical problems, unemployment, poverty, trauma, single parenthood or marital discord etc.) and these must be taken into account when working with parents. Whenever possible, an attempt should be made to work with both parents so that the child receives the benefit of having the help of each parent and there is consistency in their approaches. Furthermore, sharing this responsibility helps to ensure that one parent does not become overwhelmed. Many children with ADHD live in divided families where the child goes back and forth between households. Children can live in homes without perfect consistency in routine but it is critical

that one parent does not undermine the other parent's efforts or integrity and that they work together. It is a great advantage to the child to ensure that the issue of diagnosis and medication is not the identified source of conflict between family members. If parents are in conflict about whether a child should have a particular treatment, we suggest that the clinician meet with both parents together and/or separately to be sure that they have all the relevant facts. Identifying and addressing concerns of each parent may reduce their conflict over issues central to treatment.

Child Management and Monitoring Skills

Parental Pathology Affecting Management and Monitoring¹¹⁹

If one or both parents have depression, ADHD, substance abuse, marital conflict (or other psychopathology), then their ability to carry out behavioural tasks and to record and monitor changes may be impaired. It is important for the parent(s) to be treated at the same time as the child. This “all in the family” intervention is good for the child as it shows that the parent can empathize with the child’s experiences. When parents learn skills to control their own lives, it is easier to institute structure in the child’s life.

Monitoring Change

Medication Management

Repeating rating scales, like the SNAP-IV 26, ADHD Checklist, CADDRA Teacher Assessment Form or WFIRS-P, is a very effective way to rate changes in impairing symptoms when the same person fills out the forms. These are most often used in determining the appropriate dose of the medication. The chosen rating scale can be done weekly during the dose titration period and every three months subsequently. Monitoring side effects, using the CADDRA Clinician Baseline/Follow-Up Form, could be done concurrently. All of this documentation will be helpful only if it is brought to the physician during the follow-up sessions.

Habit Development

- a) The Daily Positive Report Card is a useful communication strategy between the school and the home. No more than three specific and positive behaviours should be monitored for any given half an hour to one hour block. Frequent positive feedback from the teacher allows the child to work on specified behaviours until they become habits. The parents should reinforce the child’s efforts by rewarding the child based on the number of positive checks the teacher has given during the day. This is also a helpful way of determining medication effectiveness. The emphasis is on a positive attitude and simplicity.
- b) The White Board Reminder, placed in a strategic common place in the house like the kitchen, is a useful way for the family to know what is happening during the week (appointments and schedules) and gives some structure to the family. It also promotes family communication when the weekly agenda is reviewed once a week; best after Sunday dinner. This is also a time for the parents to remind the children of the successes of the past week and the things they must work on for the subsequent week.
- c) Homework Output using a Clock Timer allows the child to determine the efficiency of their homework effort. Dividing the tasks into “bite sized chunks” and using a clock timer both enhances the child’s competitive spirit (particularly where there is a reward for beating a previous effort) but also limits their frustration as they know that the time on task is limited by the clock. It is very important that the parent establish a regular time and place for the child to do his/her homework, which is best done while the medication is still effective (for most long-acting medications, the effects wear off before 6 pm). A homework tutor or facilitator can be very helpful. Children with ADHD who dread attention-demanding tasks may perceive homework as overwhelming. When homework is destroying a family, with little being accomplished, it should be dealt with as part of the school accommodations and taken out of the home situation. Many schools now post homework on the Internet (e.g. First Class) making it easier to know what needs to be done. In addition, having an alternate set of books at home may make it easier to have the necessary supplies always at hand.

Keep Regular Physician Follow-up Appointments

Patients, when stable, should be seen every six months (though more frequently if there are complicating factors) for:

1. review of the medications and monitoring of the child's height, weight, blood pressure and pulse as well as any pertinent medical areas on functional enquiry
2. a booster session related to parenting efforts and to promote a focus on the child's strengths
3. detection of any deterioration or change in the mental health of the family
4. an update of the school observations
5. an opportunity to inform the parents of any new advances or resources
6. the implementation of proactive approaches for any emerging problems (e.g. starting a new year, camp, Christmas break etc).

CHAPTER 4: SPECIFIC ISSUES IN THE MANAGEMENT OF ADOLESCENT ADHD

The Changing Picture of ADHD Through Adolescence

Different cohorts of patients may present at different ages since the symptoms of ADHD are uncovered or are most apparent when challenges emerge. A very bright student with ADHD may do adequately in elementary school even if “not reaching her/his potential”. However, when faced with multiple teachers, considerable homework, more than one project due, group work and an overload on working memory, ADHD that had been missed or well compensated by strategies may become quite apparent.

Even when secondary school teachers fill out the forms, they may still not provide evidence of ADHD symptoms that are clearly reported by the patient, parents and psychiatric testing. Sometimes we underestimate adolescent information; they can give good reports of symptoms not readily seen or recognized by parents or teachers, (spacing out in class though appearing to be listening, inability to recall what has just been read, difficulty in getting started on writing tasks, etc.).

Research has demonstrated that hyperactivity diminishes in adolescents over time, though it may still be represented by the patient being fidgety or impatient¹²¹⁻¹²³. Associated impulsivity may lead to significant consequences as adolescents are often in an experimentation mode and in situations that are riskier. Furthermore, difficulties with attention become more impairing as the individual ages. As the demands of attention increase dramatically with increased task complexity and decreased assistance from others, the existing impairment will become more evident^{124, 125}.

Adolescents may present more subtly than younger children. Boredom increases as a subjective complaint and school underachievement is often significant. This may lead to dropping out of school which is a major socioeconomic problem and a noted limitation to the success of an individual¹²⁶. Individuals with ADHD-inattentive subtype, as well as youth with high intelligence, tend to be diagnosed later, and more often in adolescence.

The Therapeutic Alliance

It is necessary to work with adolescents in a direct physician/patient relationship with an assurance of confidentiality. Physicians should not rely exclusively on the parents as an intermediary. It is therefore essential to use language that adolescents can understand. It is often best to spend the first session developing rapport even if the chief complaint is not fully addressed. An important first step is to have the patient list his/her personal strengths. This shows the adolescent that the interviewer is interested in their positive attributes and not just focused on their weaknesses. This is also helpful in establishing rapport. Immersing oneself in the adolescent culture (e.g. watching the typical shows on TV, knowing their common interests and keeping up with attitudes) helps to foster this therapeutic alliance. Adolescents should also be seen alone to obtain a history of risk factors such as driving, illegal activities, smoking, drug use, sexual activity, issues of bullying, sexual identity and family or interpersonal conflicts. An assessment of their peer relationships helps to understand their social development and to flag any risky behaviour. Peers tend to be in trouble together.

Data Collection and Monitoring

Adolescents with ADHD are not always the best historians given their self-centered perceptions and tendency to deflect blame onto others. Direct contact with the guidance counsellor or school psychologist may be helpful as they may be able to coordinate the collection of relevant questionnaires

and documentation from the other teachers. Sometimes these reports are completed by all teachers, sometimes by the guidance counsellor, sometimes by the teacher the student feels knows him/her best, and sometimes by a combination. Even though there tends to be considerable variability in performance in adolescents, serial ratings done by the same individual still provide a valid measure of treatment outcome from baseline.

Adherence

Adolescent adherence can be very poor with as many as 48-68% of adolescents stopping their medications¹²⁷, though the use of once-daily dosing improves adherence¹²⁸. Psychoeducation is a very useful tool to help ensure adherence by making them a partner in therapy. Knowledge of the patient's acceptance level of their diagnosis will help determine if intervention is required to address resistance. Other important factors that improve adherence include: family stability, self concept, the need for control, increased motivation, simplified regimens and low side effects¹²⁶. Taking medication is an attention demanding task, especially when taken multiple times a day. A useful strategy is to have the medication laid out in a pill box for the week with the adolescent responsible to take her/his medication with parental supervision. Eventual autonomy in medication management is the goal.

Practice Point: *First establish the likely dose the adolescent will need. Except for atomoxetine, there is no established weight-dose relationship. For titration, it is suggested to start low and build slowly up to the dosage that improves symptoms and functioning with a good side effect profile. Explain that dosages will need to be adjusted. This preempts treatment failure when the adolescent thinks the medication is not working because the dose is too low. Adolescents can be informed that initial doses are being held extremely low just to assure that if there is anxiety about taking medication, this can be distinguished from medication-related side effects.*

Safety Issues

Drug-drug interactions

It is best to ensure that sufficient time is given to educate the patient and gain their trust. Combining medications for ADHD with illicit drugs or alcohol could be dangerous as the potential for toxicity may be increased. Marijuana is associated with a decrease in motivation and increase in apathy^{129, 130}. Abstinence is recommended, though a harm reduction approach (limiting the amount of use or restricting the use to evenings therefore reducing drug-drug interactions) may be a useful option. Sometimes an adolescent may be using excessive coffee, energy drinks and colas. These can also create problems with ADHD medications as they all act as inotropic agonists to the heart. However, studies have suggested that the early use of ADHD medication may protect the patient from drug use in the future¹³¹.

Driving Risk

Driving assessment should be done (using the Jerome Driving Questionnaire in Chapter 6, Supporting Documents 6C) as driving problems are a significant risk for an adolescent and a major concern for parents. The evidence shows that effective medical treatment of ADHD has a carry-over effect into the evening which influences driving ability. It is important that all adolescents with ADHD have driver training and that their driving risks be minimized (e.g. curfews, absolutely no drugs or alcohol while driving, staying off major highways, etc.).

Sexual Risk

Adolescents are at significant risk of teenage pregnancy and unprotected sex. It is important that they

be educated to understand the risks through open dialogue and education. The use of birth control pills may be necessary.

Educational Issues

School Failure

ADHD adolescents are at significant risk of dropping out of school early, school failure, repeating grades and not achieving their academic potential. As a result, they may opt for post-secondary programs that are accessible rather than wanted due to their poor grades. The social distractions of high school are significant. The lack of organizational skill and time management begin to show. Individuals with ADHD do not usually ask for help though teachers may expect them to ask as a sign of their self-directedness and maturity. They may be helped with school accommodations, sensitivity by the administration, and assistive-organizational technologies.

Tutoring

Parents should not be involved in assisting the adolescent with ADHD with their homework as it leads to conflicts and feelings of resentment. Tutors should be employed who are there to help create structure, organization and task completion. Individuals with ADHD generally seem to respond better to one-on-one attention.

CHAPTER 5: SPECIFIC ISSUES IN THE MANAGEMENT OF ADHD IN ADULTS

1. The Clinical Presentation¹³²

General Information and Referral Patterns

Prevalence Rates: ADHD in adults is now well established as a recognized disorder²⁷. Genetic studies, imaging studies, clinical treatment trials and prospective follow-up studies have all established that for about 60% of children with ADHD, there will be continued impairment in adulthood¹³³. The National Co-morbidity Survey¹³⁴ established the prevalence of ADHD in adults as 4.4%. It is likely that the demand for service will continue to rise. However, at this point, less than 12% of patients have been able to obtain services even at the primary care level¹³⁴. Treatment of ADHD in adults therefore represents a significant healthcare need requiring physician education, establishment of services within the healthcare system, and appropriate research on treatment and service delivery. In the United Kingdom, the recent recognition of ADHD in adults by the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) Guideline on ADHD⁸ has resulted in the National Health Service beginning this process.

Recognition and Referral: People with this condition have always lived with their symptoms, which they may or may not have insight into, and which they may or may not identify as outside the norm. The most common occurrence that causes adults to seek out a referral is the diagnosis of their own child or someone they know well. With the proliferation of popular texts on the subject, media attention on the disorder, and online information, many patients now come to their doctors requesting a diagnostic assessment for ADHD. Patients may come to their doctors with a chief complaint that is *not* one of the symptoms in the DSM-IV-TR or with a symptom that is common to many disorders. Adults with ADHD may present with a primary complaint that is an associated symptom, such as procrastination, disorganization, lack of motivation, insomnia, rage attacks and/or labile mood. In this case, it is important to remember that while the clinician's focus is on assessment of ADHD as the primary disorder, the patient's focus is on the associated complaint. A complication in assessing adults with ADHD is the frequency of comorbidities and the need to conduct effective monitoring within a reasonable period of time and without extraordinary costs. The current recommendations attempt to meet this goal but we anticipate that this is a work in progress that will undergo revision with time. The latest version of the CADDRA Canadian ADHD Guidelines will always be online at www.caddra.ca.

Practice Point – Patients you might see in your practice

The Reluctant Patient: Some patients may not be at the assessment voluntarily. If the patient is there for forensic reasons or at the insistence of a family member, the first objective of the clinician has to be to establish a therapeutic alliance that addresses the patient's concerns and level of insight.

The Impatient Patient: Some patients have come looking for the "stamp of approval" from the clinician and want to get on with the medical treatment. In their mind, the history gathering is a waste of time since the diagnosis is confirmed either from their own reading or from a previous assessment. They may use a lot of medical terminology. It is still necessary for the clinician to go through the protocol and reiterate the need to consider lifestyle changes, not just medication. It is not unusual for a previous diagnosis to have missed comorbid illnesses.

The Agenda Patient: This is the patient who has a secondary gain from the diagnosis (e.g., looking for a defence avenue in a legal suit, school accommodations or work-related advantage). The diagnosis could still be correct but it is important to flush out any secondary agenda the patient may have directly and without judgement. The patient sometimes withholds the whole truth because of the fear of being scrutinized.

The Excessively Thankful Patient: This is a tricky one but be careful. The patient that puts you on a pedestal from the outset may be setting you up for failure. “Dr. X, I heard about you and I am so grateful to be in your mere presence because I know you are the only one who will help me.” They may be transferring their anger onto you from prior experiences with authority figures and your impotence is their way of relieving anxiety, also know as primary gain.

Practice Point – Dispelling the Common Myths¹⁵

Aren't ADHD symptoms just indications of poor coping? When ADHD screening is used, one quickly realizes that the patient is not simply coping poorly but is significantly impaired and has a high risk of developing secondary comorbid disorders such as anxiety and depression.

My patient is a professional. How could he/she have made it through a rigorous training program while living with ADHD? ADHD does NOT preclude successful educational or professional attainment. It is necessary to assess the impairment relative to potential, the possible use of excessive coping strategies, and to look at all aspects of functioning to determine whether ADHD has an impact. For some adults, even when they appear functional in their jobs, a closer inspection reveals that they are using strategies that compensate for their weaknesses. These strategies may be hazardous and result in the person becoming a workaholic, having poor employee-employer relations and lacking career progression. It can also cause great frustration and emotional distress in family members, business partners and others.

My patient has come to my office with a self-made diagnosis after reading about the symptoms. How can I separate out what is real from what they want to believe? Many popular publications and TV shows about ADHD use questionnaires that may be too vague and may be applicable to too many people in the population. That is not to say that the patient's self-assessment is wrong. But sometimes the self-diagnosis represents an underlying belief that there is a “miracle pill” that will make lifelong problems or more serious disorders go away. Spending the time to carefully evaluate and educate is necessary to ensure an appropriate diagnosis and treatment.

ADHD patients are demanding, always late, and difficult to deal with so I don't want to treat them! The clinician will miss an opportunity to treat a person who is very treatable and who may be presenting as above due to a lifelong history of disappointments with authority figures. Don't take it personally. They need empathic understanding.

Case Presentations

Physicians should have a high index of suspicion of possible ADHD in patients who have a lifelong history of problems with attention, disruptiveness or impulsive behaviour. These difficulties may become apparent during routine care in patients who demonstrate typical forms of impairment. Notable flags might include:

- organizational skill problems (e.g. missed appointments, poor time management, a desk that has a mountain of paper, unfinished projects, inability to comply with medication or follow instructions)
- an erratic work history (e.g. changed jobs frequently, fired due to lateness, forgetting appointments)

and/or being unprepared for meetings, difficulty delegating tasks, describing employers, employees, or clients as frustrated with them)

- anger control problems (e.g. argumentative behaviour with authority figures, being overly controlling as parents, fighting with their child's teachers, "wild-man" rage episodes)
- patients who are over-talkative, interrupt frequently or inappropriately (for example, talking loudly on a cell phone in the waiting room), run out to re-park the car, answer their phone during an exam
- marital problems (e.g. spouse complains he/she doesn't listen, makes impulsive remarks during arguments, forgets important events like birthdays and anniversaries, past relationship breakdowns)
- parenting problems (e.g. forgets to give child medication routinely, difficulty establishing and maintaining household routines such as bedtime and meals, difficulty getting child to school)
- money management problems (e.g. fails to do taxes, makes frequent overdrafts, runs out of money, buys things "on a whim" they can't afford)
- substance use or abuse (e.g. especially alcohol and marijuana), excessive caffeine or energy drink consumption
- addictions such as collecting/hoarding, compulsive shopping, sexual avoidance or addiction, overeating, compulsive exercising, gambling
- a history of missed appointments or being late for appointments
- frequent accidents, involvement in risk-taking or extreme sports
- problems with driving (e.g. speeding tickets, serious accidents, license revoked or, alternatively, choosing not to drive or driving too slowly in an attempt to compensate for attention problems).

Other common presentations that should be followed by screening include:

- a parent whose child(ren) has ADHD and who notes they have similar problems
- a college student who requires a diminished course load, is frustrated that it is taking a long time to get through school, or is returning to school and re-experiencing earlier problems
- an individual who was diagnosed in childhood and is still having problems
- a patient whose parent or spouse identifies them as being "just like" information they have been exposed to on ADHD.

2. Screening

Current Symptom Screen

Administer and Score the World Health Organization's Adult Self Report Scale (ASRS-V1.1, 18 item)

If the patient screens negative on this scale they are not likely to have ADHD. The threshold score is $\geq 4/6$ on Part A. If they screen positive, the clinician should screen for the other major DSM-IV-TR criteria and exclude other diagnoses that may appear similar to ADHD. *The ADHD Checklist can then be used for current symptoms.*

Developmental Screen

Did you have difficulty with these problems before you entered into puberty?

The patient must fulfil the diagnostic criterion that states the symptoms must be evident in childhood. Collateral information from a reliable source is often necessary. *The ADHD Checklist can then be used to retrospectively assess symptoms in childhood.*

Impairment Screen

Are these symptoms causing difficulty in your life right now?

Patients who have screened positive on the ASRS and describe the problems as long-standing and impairing should receive a full psychiatric assessment for ADHD. *Functional impairment can then be explored with the WFIRS-S.*

3. History and Physical Exam (Expansion of the Current Symptom Screen)

Practice Point: *ADHD is often associated with a particular cognitive style that is a variation of concreteness, over-inclusiveness and distractibility. This includes: talking excessively, getting stuck on relatively minor events (over-inclusive speech), inappropriately intense emotions, going on and on in response to open-ended questions (circumstantial speech), getting distracted by things in the office which interrupt their thought processes (tangential speech), and talking as if they are being understood without reading social cues that indicate otherwise¹³⁵⁻¹³⁷. If they seem to have pressured speech (talking so fast that the words sometimes seem intelligible) make sure it is not due to anxiety. If they seem to be jumping from idea to idea, it could be that anxiety is forcing them to try to get all the information in so their ideas are disjointed. Slow them down to see if this is really “loosening of associations” or a thought disorder.*

It is useful to speculate on what the childhood diagnosis would have been if you had seen them then, though the current diagnosis may be different. For example, they may have been ADHD predominantly combined subtype as a child but now only meet the criteria for ADHD inattentive subtype. This suggests that their core hyperactivity-impulsivity may have improved, been compensated for, or have changed in quality so that it is less obvious.

Collecting the Relevant Information

Combining symptom-based questionnaires with a thorough clinical history is the first step in evaluation of the diagnosis . The questionnaires should be administered first, but seen last, and *only* after the clinical opinion from the interview is made. It is important to be trained to see adults with ADHD and clinicians should be directed to the www.caddra.ca website for updates on training programs and, eventually, online training modules for members.

The CADDRA ADHD Assessment Form is available in the CADDRA ADHD Assessment Toolkit (CAAT) section of the Guidelines or can be downloaded and printed from the CADDRA website. It is a simpler and more user-friendly form than those in previous CADDRA Guideline editions. The clinician’s office stamp and patient reference details can be inserted at the top of the page. The form mimics the natural progression of the clinical interview in order to make information gathering and recording relatively easy. It can also be used to remind the clinician of important facts for dictation and communication.

Symptom-based Rating Scales The Toolkit scales include the ASRS, ADHD Checklist, Weiss Symptom Record (WSR) and the Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale (WFIRS). Other scales not in the Toolkit include the CAARS, BADDS and Adult BRIEF. These tools should *never* be used to establish the diagnosis as they have an inherent observer bias. If you want to have a particular diagnosis, you will score high and if you don’t, you will score low, consciously or unconsciously. Scales assist in the clinician’s history-taking and screen for relevant cases. They are also valid instruments in follow-up. When used serially and recorded by the same person, they reflect true symptom change. Rating scales other than those in the Toolkit also have the additional disadvantage that they are licensed or commercial products and expensive. Clinicians should try to get rating scales completed by additional informants, e.g. spouses, parents, adult siblings.

Physical Exam

Medical issues change in adulthood so a careful screen for hypertension, cardiovascular problems, early dementia, arthritis from previous injuries, obesity, poor dental hygiene, glaucoma, traumatic brain injuries and past injuries for accidents is crucial¹³⁸⁻¹⁴¹. ADHD patients have double the medical morbidity in comparison to the rest of the population¹⁴².

Practice Point: *The physical examination, if not done by the treating physician, must still be documented. This is important from practical, clinical and medico-legal points of view. The attending physician should still do a functional enquiry.*

4. Childhood History of ADHD – (Expansion of the Developmental Screen)

Adult ADHD Developmental History

One of the diagnostic criteria for ADHD in DSM-IV-TR is that onset is prior to the age of seven. This is an issue because an adult patient may not be able to reliably recollect whether or not he or she had symptoms as a young child. The DSM-IV-TR criterion has been criticized for other reasons as well¹⁴³. Adults may not have access to collateral sources that can verify their symptoms before the age of seven. The primary school curriculum is largely focused on skill development so that an individual of very high intelligence who is not disruptive may not show impairment until he/she is older. Clinicians with a long experience of evaluating adults have often commented on the unique stamp that ADHD puts on the developmental history of a patient from childhood through to the time of assessment with regard to the singular quality of the impairments.

However, a good clinical history should demonstrate that the patient had evidence of similar problems throughout the lifecycle and that these were most prominent in situations that required attention. ADHD is a developmental disorder which does not have an acute onset. The ADHD Checklist can be used to retrospectively assess symptoms in childhood. It can be completed by the adult and whenever possible by someone who knew him/her well in childhood, for example the parents of the adult.

5. Impairment – (Expansion of the Impairment Screen)

Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale Self-Report (WFIRS-S)

The clinician can obtain a sense of the areas in which the patient has functional impairment by reviewing the WFIRS-S. Items that are circled within the “most of the time” and “all of the time” sections can be discussed in more detail when later completing the assessment form to determine the nature of the impairment and how it relates to ADHD symptoms. Identifying aspects of a patient's life that are impaired will help guide discussions about therapeutic interventions.

6. History of Past Psychiatric Health and Medications

While the current symptoms, the developmental history, and the history of impairment are the critical findings for screening, they are not sufficient to make a diagnosis.

Past Psychiatric History

A careful history of the problem(s), intervention and response is needed.

- Misinformed therapists in the past may have interpreted ADHD behaviours dynamically, further complicating the problem. This is not unusual in couple therapy where a patient's undiagnosed ADHD

symptoms may be misinterpreted as unconscious hostility or passive-aggressive behaviour.

- A careful past psychiatric history helps to sort out the sequence of onset of symptoms, which may be helpful in differentiating between primary and secondary disorders.
- Review of past problems permits the clinician to assess the patient's capacity for psychological mindedness and the interpretive framework they use to explain past illness. Depending on which intervention they have received, it is also possible to obtain insight into whether they are likely to respond to problem-solving approaches, interpersonal interventions, cognitive behavioural techniques, behaviour therapy or restructuring of the demands of their environment.

Medication History

While many patients are treatment-naïve, more often than not a patient has already tried various antidepressants and other psychotropic medications. It is not unusual that a patient may have tried his/her child's medication to determine whether it will work for him/her.

Practice Point: *Get the telephone number(s) of the patient's pharmacist(s) and get a printout of his/her medication history. Ask the patient to bring pill bottles in or have the family physician that does the medical exam document the medications. Consider a urine drug test for patients where there is any reason to suspect drug abuse or drug-seeking behaviour.*

Document what medication the patient has taken, the duration of treatment, their response and any side effects, particularly ones that were unexpected or reflective of toxicity. Assess the patient's level of insight by comparing his/her report to that of the collateral informant. Assess for tolerance to medication by observing dose response over time and impact of drug holidays.

7. Family History

Background

Evaluation of family background provides the clinician with a sense of the person's upbringing. Families do not cause ADHD, but ADHD combined with family dysfunction is more disabling and increases impairment and risk.

Practice Point: *Be sure the interview is both sensitive to the patient's culture and non-judgemental.*

Family Psychiatric History

This history is significant in a disorder where heredity is related in about 80% of cases¹⁴⁴. Ask if either parent, a sibling, or any of their children have a confirmed history of ADHD; learning problems; tics or Tourette's syndrome; depression; anxiety; anger problems; difficulty with the law; drug or alcohol problems; psychotic illness; personality problems; suicide; or needed to take medication for emotional illness. The patient may speculate on a relative's illness and the reliability of these speculations needs to be evaluated clinically . If there is not a family history, it seriously undermines the strength of the diagnosis.

8. Screening For Comorbid Disorders

Refer to Chapter 2 on *Differential Diagnosis and Comorbid Disorders* for clinical information. The Weiss Symptom Record can be used as a means to clarify comorbid symptoms. While not diagnostic, it is helpful to the clinician to differentiate associated disorders. Additional rating scales can be used to proceed from

the screener (e.g. The Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HAM-D)¹⁴⁵, The Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A)¹⁴⁶, Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (Y-BOCS)¹⁴⁷ – these scales are not provided in this document).

9. Feedback

Diagnosis

The patient who meets all of the criteria below has ADHD:

1. **meets symptom criteria** on the DSM-IV-TR rating scales on self-report and/or collateral report and clinician interview. Some patients lack insight and do not self-report symptoms but have clear evidence of symptoms on clinical interview. Other patients have excellent insight but their collateral informant does not know them well enough to identify a problem
2. has a developmental history consistent with ADHD and **childhood symptoms of ADHD**
3. shows a past and current pattern of **functional impairment** consistent with ADHD
4. has **no other Axis I or Axis II disorder that can explain** the symptoms.

The following should NOT be used to dismiss a diagnosis of ADHD:

1. the clinician does not observe hyperactivity in the office
2. the patient reports a great deal of problems with organization, time management and executive function but is reliable in keeping appointments, filling out forms and paying for treatment
3. the patient comes in saying they have read about ADHD and thinks they have this problem
4. there is no family history
5. the spouse or parent suggests symptoms of ADHD which the patient dismisses
6. the patient is well educated or employed in a high level position
7. the patient is very bright, and early school report cards do not describe problems with attention or behaviour. For some, increased autonomy and challenge lead to evidence of impairment in later years. Other patients may, on further exploration, give a very convincing account of unusual coping strategies such as excess time on homework or increased need for assistance
8. the patient was clearly hyperactive, impulsive and inattentive when younger but currently only has difficulty with a few residual symptoms. In some, limited impairment is still clinically significant
9. the patient does not remember or denies symptoms in childhood, and school report cards are not available. Usually a careful developmental history will reveal evidence of the impact of the disorder, even if the patient did not have insight, either at the time or now, into the symptoms that provoked these consequences.

Some associated features may contribute to confidence in the diagnosis:

1. typical associated symptoms include procrastination, oppositional attitudes, difficulty with time, insomnia, reactivity, underachievement relative to potential, variable performance, temper outbursts
2. pattern of impairment is consistent with the sorts of impairments known to characterize the disorder such as problems with listening in class, working efficiently, paying bills, completing taxes, driving, smoking, etc.
3. positive family psychiatric history
4. typical comorbidities: these patients may have poor auditory processing, poor written output, poor reading comprehension, abuse of substances (e.g., marijuana, cocaine, nicotine or caffeine) and mood lability. Typical comorbid problems in childhood include ear infections, enuresis, learning disabilities, oppositional defiant disorder, tourette's syndrome or tics

5. the pattern of developmental challenges matches the typical course of ADHD. For example, someone may have problems with circle time as a toddler, difficulty with homework in grade three, poor choice of friends in middle school, skipping and acting out in high school, car accidents, impulsive financial decisions in adulthood, be attractive to others but have trouble keeping friends, be self-employed in a high energy job, and be accident-prone as a child and adult.

10. Treatment Considerations – Uncomplicated Adult ADHD

ADHD is not unlike other chronic developmental disorders in that treatment needs to be multimodal and the patient will require support and follow-up over time. Ongoing education regarding strategies for coping, in addition to medication, permits the patient to obtain developmental and functional gains that would not otherwise have been possible.

A typical sequence of interventions would be:

Psychoeducation¹⁴⁸⁻¹⁵⁰

The symptoms of ADHD relevant to this patient and the way in which these contribute to functional impairment are reviewed, with discussion of examples of how similar symptoms in the past impacted on the patient's capacity to cope with developmental challenges.

Behavioural Intervention and Goal Setting

The patient's original goals are reviewed and additional treatment planning is initiated. This might include short-term counselling. It might include problem-solving around residual deficits with executive function or activities of daily living. Improved insight into the relationship between ADHD and actual functioning often leads patients to make significant life changes to decrease their stress. For example, a student realized he was not yet ready to cope with college and decided to get a job as a mechanic (something he loved) and to take night courses for a year. A bank teller changed jobs and became a waitress and hairdresser, two positions that she could function in easily. A father realized he found watching his son's baseball games very boring, which was leading to friction between them. Since they both loved to ski, he became his son's ski instructor instead.

Assistive and Organizational Technologies

Various hardware and software are available to diminish a patient's reliance on working memory, to compensate for poor handwriting, and improve time management. These include, but are not exclusively limited to:

- Dragon Naturally Speaking® (voice-recognition software) www.ddwin.com
- Kidspiration® & Inspiration® (learning, communication & organization skills) www.inspiration.com
- Kurzweil 3000® (scanning and reading software) www.kurzweilededu.com
- Word Q® (writing software and word prediction) www.wordq.com
- EZ Keys® (alternative to Word Q®) www.words-plus.com/website/products/soft/ezkeys.htm
- Write Out Loud® (talking word processor) www.writersblocks.com

For adults who have not learned to type, any common typing program, like Mavis Beacon Teaches Typing®, should be utilized to increase typing proficiency. Programmable watches, electronic PDA organizers and combination phones (Palm Pilot®, Blackberry®) are also very useful in integrating many of the organization tasks and often have the advantage that they can be synchronized with desktop computers. These

devices can also be used as electronic reminders or cuing devices to help with remembering medications and appointments.

Medication Trial Efficacy/Safety

When appropriate, trial of any of the first line medications listed in Chapter 7 is initiated followed by a review of symptoms, management of adherence, observation for any negative psychiatric side effects such as anger or dysphoria, and the use of symptom ratings by the patient and collateral informant looking for improvement. Choice of medication is determined by issues such as:

- cost of medications
- the time of day of impairment (of most concern)
- tolerance of adverse events (such as insomnia)
- risk of substance abuse
- comorbid disorders
- capacity for adherence
- urgency of response
- and the patient's choice upon reviewing the risks and benefits of each medication option.

Optimization of Treatment

Ⓞ Some symptom reduction will occur with medication intervention but true optimal treatment must include lifestyle changes. Optimal treatment is reached when the patient's level of impairment is brought within the normal range and remission of symptoms occurs.

Follow-up

Most patients should receive regular follow-up by their community physician who will: a) adjust and maintain optimal medication effect; b) maintain the patient's motivation and refer for additional treatments when needed.

CHAPTER 6: PSYCHOSOCIAL INTERVENTIONS AND TREATMENTS

Introduction

ADHD is a chronic neurobiological disorder that impacts all aspects of the individual's daily life across the lifespan, including social and emotional functioning, academic/work-related success, relationships, marriage, family life and physical health. Therefore, a **comprehensive, collaborative, multimodal approach** tailored to meet the unique needs of each individual is not only important but essential.

The primary care provider is in the unique position of being able to follow an individual with ADHD across the lifespan. **Establishing trust and rapport** from the onset with the patient and family is crucial to optimal care and is vital for treatment success. The partnership that develops between the patient, the family and the primary care provider is the cornerstone of successful management and coordination of care. For the adolescent with ADHD, privacy concerns are heightened and more time to establish rapport may be required before the adolescent is willing to engage in changing their lifestyle.

Be aware of **gender differences**^{151, 152}. Females are more likely to have inattentive-type ADHD. Girls can be more anxious and motivated to please others, especially at a younger age. On the surface they appear to be coping, but their underlying impairments can be hidden or ignored. As a result, ADHD may be undiagnosed or under-treated.

The family, school/college/workplace, the physician and other professionals are all critical parts of the treatment team that supports the individual with ADHD. Being **active participants in all aspects of treatment**, including decision-making, is the cornerstone of care, ensuring open communication and improved adherence at all ages and stages of life.

Psychosocial Intervention Overview

Research has shown that combined therapy using medication plus psychosocial interventions (multimodal) is the most effective way to deal with the core symptoms of ADHD and the resulting impairments^{103, 153-161}. These interventions can be broken into four main categories:

- a. Psychoeducation^{96, 162, 163} is most relevant for individuals eight years and older and is designed to empower the patient and his/her supports with knowledge about the disorder, its impacts and how to function optimally while having ADHD. These approaches can also include strategy instruction, self-talk and organizational skill development. Topics might include information on sleep management, anger, organizational skills, etc.
- b. Behavioural interventions⁸⁸⁻⁹³ can be implemented at any age. These include the thoughtful application of rewards, consequences, response cost, point systems, token economies (in group settings such as classrooms), environmental management, ADHD coaching and lifestyle change (diet, exercise, sleep).
- c. Social interventions¹⁶⁴⁻¹⁷⁵ are useful across the lifespan and include social skills training, anger management, supervised recreation and parent training.
- d. Psychotherapy^{97-99, 101-110, 144, 176} for adolescent and adult ADHD with/without comorbid conditions (such as poor self esteem, depression and anxiety) includes: self-talk, cognitive behavioural therapy, interpersonal therapy, family therapy, expressive arts therapy, play therapy and supportive counselling (typically for adjustment problems and less severe emotional concerns).
- e. Educational/vocational accommodations include academic remediation, specialized educational placements and workplace interventions.

Individuals with ADHD function best in a consistent, structured, predictable environment where rules, goals, expectations, consequences and incentives are visibly posted in a prominent location for all involved to follow routinely. These should be simple, clear, and few in number. Immediate consequences and positive reinforcement are best, and close monitoring of successes and failures is essential to ensure a positive outcome.

Specific Psychosocial Interventions

The following guidelines can be readily incorporated into daily office practice and can help the physician provide some of the necessary psychosocial supports required by individuals with ADHD and their families. These supports may not otherwise be available elsewhere in the community or may be inaccessible because of cost or lengthy wait lists. The following techniques stem from evidence-based research.

Physicians may choose to start with one or two areas of concern, select a few strategies from the list, and then model the desired behaviour and state the expected outcome to the patient or their caregivers. It is critical to assign the individual homework in order to practice these skills.

As a physician, remember that some techniques work some of the time and others most of the time. Find out which is most effective for your patient and their family. Patience, consistency and understanding are fundamental elements of successful treatment and the key to future success, happiness and fulfillment in life for the individual with ADHD, no matter what their age.

Psychoeducation Interventions^{43, 96, 111, 177-192}

CB Education should start with questions about what the patient and family already know or think they know about ADHD and about people they are acquainted with who have it. Educate the individual with ADHD regarding the diagnosis, assessment, possible investigations/tests and myths. Explain the treatment options in detail, including pharmacotherapy and psychosocial interventions and the risks and benefits of each, as well as the importance of using both in combination. Give handouts on ADHD, medications, websites, books, videos, community resources, support groups, parent training and social skills, as well as strategies for successful management. A useful website for adults to learn more about their condition is www.totallyadd.com

Practice Point: Common Reasons Why Physicians Avoid Psychoeducation

1. *"I don't have the time." Materials downloaded from websites or copied from the CAP-Guidelines can assist the physician when explaining about ADHD.*

2. *"I want to do group-based education but I don't have the space." Convert your waiting room to a group room after the last appointment of your day. It will likely hold ten or more people. You can then give a session on specific topics like behavioural interventions or medications to several people, making the dissemination of information more efficient. Attendees with common concerns will also be able to support each other.*

3. *"I expect that if they have a question they will ask me." ADHD patients often need their questions drawn out so don't make the assumption that they actually have the information that they require.*

Behaviour Management

Identify goals and target behaviours to change: There are many symptoms within ADHD but the behavioural task is to pick specific symptoms (no more than one or two at a time) to work on. Goals must be tailored to meet the individual's needs and be appropriate for different ages and stages of development. Goals may change over time as circumstances change.

Examples include:

1. preschooler with temper tantrums – respond positively to desired behaviour and use ignoring and good time out techniques to respond to unwanted behaviour (e.g. 1-2-3 technique)
2. school age – use lists and agendas¹⁵⁰, teacher reminders, use positive incentives
3. adult – keep items like cell phones and keys in a designated, visible location. Post lists as reminders to check for necessary items each morning before leaving home.

Structure the day and the environment: Once habits are reinforced consistently, they may become automatic. Promote routine, consistency and follow-through as much as possible, especially for morning activities, after school/work and bedtime. Post rules/checklists, which should be clear, few in number and placed in obvious locations (fridge, bedroom, office etc.). Use sheet protectors and dry-erase markers so that the lists can be checked off and reused. Habits take a little longer to develop in ADHD patients so incentive strategies are often necessary.

Help select positive incentives to promote desired behaviours:

1. These incentives must be appropriate for age, developmental stage and economic ability. They must fit with the family's beliefs/cultural systems. They should include activities the individual can do and *items* that they can earn (e.g. stickers, toys for younger children, tokens, video games, TV, favorite meals, etc.)^{47, 193, 194}. For younger children, the rewards should ideally be frequent, small, tangible and immediate. For older patients, natural consequences can be highly motivating (e.g. keys to the car for the adolescent, allowances, better curfew times, special outings etc.).
2. These incentives need to be changed often to keep their interest as individuals with ADHD have a need for novelty. Variable reinforcements can be even more powerful (e.g. "When you do..., then you can choose from the mystery prize box").
3. Use positive incentives while avoiding negative threats e.g. "When you do...then you will receive..." (something positive). This promotes a natural work ethic that also enhances self-esteem and pride in achievement. Impulsive behaviour is often a lifelong theme. Children with ADHD can be demanding and they need to know that they can earn their desired reward by working for it. Work first, fun later! One needs to use similar techniques with the adult with ADHD who may still lack frustration-tolerance and patience to wait for rewards.

Parent training^{181, 182, 195}: Children with ADHD can be challenging and may irritate authority figures. Research shows that they can be stressful for parents¹⁹⁶. They draw negative attention to themselves. Positive parenting approaches and maintenance of generational boundaries are essential. Information for parents is available online in the parent section of the CADDRA website (www.caddra.ca) and on the CADDAC website (www.caddac.ca). Parents should also be directed to local mental health agencies which often have parenting programs.

Help them use agendas, organize, keep appointments and be on time: Encourage proper use of calendars (month-at-a-glance calendars are best), checklists, agendas, electronic devices, sticky notes, whiteboards, colored folders, timers etc.

ADHD Coaches: While there is no coach accreditation body in Canada, there are many individuals who call themselves ADHD coaches. They are typically occupational therapists, social workers or health care providers. Some of these individuals have ADHD themselves and likely understand the suffering that happens but caution should be exercised if the coach exceeds his/her competence and training. A coach's role is to help the patient be accountable on specific behavioural agendas through weekly follow-up meetings and reminders. Their role is to assist the patient make fundamental lifestyle changes by promoting good habits. If used, they should be part of a treatment team which includes a physician (who handles the medication) and a psychologist/social worker who can provide individual therapy, as well as other relevant professionals.

Promote healthy lifestyle changes¹⁸⁵: Individuals with ADHD often struggle with their own daily physical needs (e.g. sleep, meals, personal hygiene, house cleaning) and must create a balanced lifestyle by developing regular habits and routines. The physician can instruct a patient to: make self-care a priority; promote exercise on a regular basis (such as brisk walks, weight training, bike rides, sports, etc.) as this decreases stress and frustration, improves focus and cognitive clarity, increases endorphins, improves mood and restores a sense of well being¹¹⁴⁻¹¹⁶. Consistent sleep hygiene and good nutrition are essential ingredients for a healthy lifestyle.

Social Interventions

CB Showcase the patient's strengths and talents: The physician can point out a particular attribute identified in the office and encourage increased development of skills in the area. Gardner's Multiple Intelligences¹⁹⁷ is a useful framework as it focuses on non-traditional aspects of ability (i.e. artwork, dance, music, sports, chess, etc.).

Model some socially-appropriate skills in the office¹⁹⁸: For example, model how to properly greet peers and others on the playground, at college or in the workplace, or how to manage a difficult co-worker, boss etc. Assign homework and have them practice those skills.

Anger management: This is often a major problem for someone with ADHD, and presents across all ages. Appropriate conflict resolution strategies must be put into place. Creating an environment of safety is the first priority and sometimes social service or enforcement agencies must be involved. However, if involved, there is an opportunity to create an emotional contract which can be of benefit to the family.

Social skills training: Many children with ADHD have social awkwardness. They want to have friends but may annoy their friends by their silly, immature and self-centered behaviour. Sometimes they miss social cues or misunderstand social conventions like when to ask to join in or when not to interrupt. It is important to note that there is a spectrum of impairment in social skills. Some levels of impairment may be due just to ADHD, but for others there may be sufficient impairment in social skills and related problems to warrant an evaluation for a possible Asperger's diagnosis. Making friends is an important skill set that both the school and parents can facilitate. Good friendships can be a protective factor in reducing some of the negative outcomes associated with ADHD¹⁹⁹. When social problems continue into adulthood, the ADHD individual may find themselves isolated or overlooked for promotion. Local adult ADHD support groups can often help.

Psychotherapy

Emphasize the positive during the visit: A simple word of encouragement, praise or recognition¹¹¹ from the physician for appropriate behaviour observed or reported during the appointment can ameliorate the constant stream of complaints from home, school, college, partner and parents. Others (parents,

significant others) can improve their relationship with the patient by transferring this approach to many different situations.

Boost self-esteem: Encourage verbal and tangible recognition for accomplishments. For youth, have parents, teachers and coaches give certificates, medals, plaques, stickers and check marks. Use a point system or tokens. For adolescents, place the individual in a leadership role which will promote continued motivation and build skills. For teenagers and adults, encourage them to reflect on accomplishments, possessions, talents, skills, traits, social memberships etc. Humour is a very effective means of helping them keep life in perspective.

Relaxation therapies: When individuals with ADHD are overwhelmed, they have a low tolerance for frustration and can experience angry outbursts (i.e. “have a short fuse”). Physical activity and breaks can help decrease stress and diffuse frustration. Relaxation techniques, such as meditation, deep breathing exercises, yoga or music can also be helpful, although research is limited and findings are mixed.

Cognitive behavioural intervention (CBT): *Further explanation in supporting document 6B.* CBT is a well-established type of psychotherapy that challenges the person’s underlying negative thinking and beliefs in favour of a new thinking construct. It is widely used for mood and anxiety disorders and recent research shows its value in adults with ADHD^{97, 98, 171, 173-175}. It can also be of benefit to adolescents but the evidence is not as robust, probably as CBT requires motivation and commitment to change and that might be lacking in the adolescent. While CBT is used in children with anxiety disorders, it has not been used successfully in children with ADHD^{148, 200}.

Supportive psychotherapy: It is best to pick a specific symptom to work on but the intent is to help provide a perspective that the individual with ADHD may not have, as well as encouragement and problem-solving strategies.

Family therapy: As ADHD is a highly heritable disorder⁴³, there are often negative interpersonal dynamics between the parents and conflicts with the children. As a result, there is often a need to address family issues, lack of structure and the conflicts that exist. The central goal of family therapy is to reduce the level of negative emotions and to address the family’s approach to problem-solving and conflict resolution.

Educational/Vocational Interventions²⁰¹⁻²⁰³

Individuals with ADHD suffer significant deficits in executive functioning (time management, organization, etc.) which can cause marked impairment at school/college and work. This places the individual with ADHD at a significant disadvantage for completing tasks, projects and tests on time. Their daily performance may be negatively affected and future achievements seriously compromised due to careless errors, misread questions, late assignments or completed assignments not handed in, etc. Teachers can access help and advice on how to deal with these issues through the www.teachadhd.ca website.

Make classroom recommendations: CADDAC (www.caddac.ca), a sister organization to CADDRA, hosts a comprehensive guide to classroom accommodations on its website. The Calgary Learning Centre (www.calgarylearningcentre.com) also provides online resources. Classroom adjustments can include having the student seated away from distractions (pencil sharpeners, windows, doors, pets etc.) and beside good role models, if possible. Allow movement breaks (i.e. allow the student to clean the whiteboard, collect papers, run errands etc.). Appropriate fidget toys such as bracelets, special cushions, chewing gum, and the use of headphones to decrease distractions while doing desk work can be useful in certain cases.

Structure the environment: A firm, organized, yet flexible teaching style is a good fit for a student with

ADHD. Have reminder lists posted on or inside desks and lockers. Have teachers or homework buddies check agendas and ensure that proper homework materials go home. Have an extra set of textbooks at home if possible. Allow the student to submit work to the teacher after deadlines.

Vocational testing: Adolescents will often benefit from vocational testing by grade 11 so they can understand that school is a stepping stone to a future career of one's choice. Some adolescents with ADHD start losing interest in school and there is a high drop out rate. They also have difficulties, particularly in their first year, at college or university due to the lack of structure and accountability. The physician is often required to send a letter to support accommodations that these individuals require. (See Chapter 6, support document 6A for a template).

Workplace issues: In adulthood, announcing an ADHD diagnosis at work may limit one's chances of promotion, but the diagnosis can be helpful to get accommodations rather than risk the alternative of being fired. Employers have a duty to provide accommodations for this condition. Some examples of accommodations include the use of headphones to limit external noise, increased frequency of meetings with their immediate supervisor to evaluate progress and voice dictation software.

Monitoring Strategies

There are many simple ways to monitor response to medication and treatment, including questionnaires, agendas, charts, daily report cards^{43, 96}, exam results, workplace reviews, parental and spousal updates. These methods provide excellent feedback regarding progress, behaviours, social skills, and medication successes or failures. Daily report cards designed to target specific goals for the student with ADHD can work well and promote compliance and communication with parents. Additionally, they offer parents the opportunity to give positive incentives at home. They are also excellent for monitoring medication responses during trials.

Promote Advocacy and Self-Advocacy

Human rights legislation requires that individuals with disabilities be accommodated in school and the workplace. Unfortunately, these accommodations are not typically offered without specific advocacy. Letters written by the physician for schools/colleges/workplaces outlining these impairments and prescribing special accommodations (such as taking tests in less distracting environments, having extra time for projects/tests, use of computers and electronic organizers) are invaluable and significantly contribute to the success of individuals with ADHD. Teach self-advocacy skills⁹⁶.



Patient Name: _____

Date of Birth: _____

Physician Name: _____

MRN/File No: _____

Date: _____

REQUEST FOR PSYCHOEDUCATIONAL TESTING

Date: _____

Name and address of School or Institution:

Dear Principal:

Re: Name of Student: _____
Name of Parent: _____
Date of Birth: (dd/mm/yr) _____
Grade/Teacher: _____ / _____

This child is being assessed for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD). In accordance with Best Practice Guidelines for ADHD¹, a psychological evaluation is indicated when there is also concern about academic functioning.

While it is understood that a psychoeducational assessment puts a high financial burden on the school board and/or community's resources, it is an important part of the overall assessment. As you will understand, a psychoeducational assessment is necessary to ascertain general intellectual ability, help confirm the absence or presence of specific learning disabilities, obtain a more comprehensive picture of impairments, and guide the treatment plan. The minimal assessment required will include the full Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (Fourth Edition) and a standardized measure of individual achievement in areas of literacy and numeracy. Standardized testing for executive functioning and working memory difficulties may also be required. Other tests may be conducted as indicated by the psychologist

I appreciate your diligence in this matter and would appreciate receiving the results of the assessment as soon as possible. Should you have any further questions or concerns, you may contact me for information.

Yours truly,

Signature _____

Telephone No. _____

Print name _____

Fax No. _____

¹ (As specified in the Canadian ADHD Resource Alliance (CADDRA) Practice Guidelines; by the American Academy of Pediatrics; American Academy for Child and Adolescent Psychiatry; the United Kingdom's National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) Guidelines; and Australian ADHD Guidelines)



Patient Name:

Date of Birth:

Physician Name:

MRN/File No:

Date:

CADDRA EDUCATIONAL ACCOMMODATION LETTER TEMPLATE

This document can also be copied or downloaded from www.caddra.ca and can be used as a template when requesting educational accommodations for a patient.

Date: _____

Name and address of School or Institution:

Dear _____,

I am writing to inform you that your student, _____, has been diagnosed with ADHD. This diagnosis was based on information from *clinical diagnostic interview, standardized behaviour rating scales, psychoeducational assessment and* _____.

I am requesting that a meeting be held to discuss this student's cognitive, academic and mental health profile, as I believe that _____ should have an Education Plan developed to ensure that his/her needs are met as he/she proceeds through his/her educational program. At this time, it is essential that accommodations be put in place to ensure that this student is able to successfully access the school curriculum. These accommodations will be critical in assisting the student with their special learning needs and help him/her compensate for his/her impairments which include: difficulty maintaining necessary levels of attention, distractibility, impairments in executive functioning, poor working memory, problem solving, mental arithmetic calculation, writing notes while listening to the teacher, slow processing speed as outlined in the WISC-IV PSI.

Learning disabilities outside of the parameters of ADHD may be outlined in the psychoeducational report. From my clinical evaluation, I recommend the following accommodations (from the Canadian ADHD Resource Alliance (CADDRA) list of usual accommodations for ADHD) be implemented, with the understanding that additional accommodations may be decided on by the school and put in place in collaboration with the student's parents.

- Direct instruction, repetition and frequent clarification to assist with attentional difficulties
- Preferential seating to help alleviate distractibility
- Additional time for assignments, class work, tests/exams and flexibility of due dates
- Testing should be done on the computer or orally where necessary (with the use of spellcheck, if applicable)
- A quiet environment to write tests and complete assignments to assist with external distraction
- Copying written text from the blackboard or otherwise to be kept at a minimum
- Lengthy assignments to be given in written format for easy referral
- Copies of overheads, PowerPoint presentations, classmate's notes and teacher's notes required
- Flexibility in scheduling of tests/exams is essential if student is easily overwhelmed
- Listening to headsets during individual class work time
- Should not be unduly penalized for grammar or spelling
- Should be allowed to clarify questions on tests and assignments
- Will require more frequent breaks
- Will need assistance on assignments including: breaking assignments into manageable chunks; time management; procrastination; reviewing due dates and reviewing assignments to ensure that instructions are clearly understood
- A scribe should be provided.

Thank you for your kind attention to this matter. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



COGNITIVE BEHAVIOUR THERAPY (CBT)

Historical Roots and Rationale

Cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT) has its roots in the 1960s with the pivotal work by Albert Ellis and Aaron T. Beck and it quickly spread for a number of reasons:

- a) it could be manualized (which meant that it could be actually experimented to determine its effectiveness)
- b) the application had a common sense understanding of negative thinking which resonated strongly with mainstream therapists who were looking for something that was not so deeply theoretical as, for example, psychoanalysis, and
- c) it could be done by non-psychologists and highly trained professionals.

It is not surprising that research has focused on the utility of CBT as a possible treatment option for ADHD because:

- a) ADHD children draw negative attention to themselves by their behaviour
- b) the disorder internalizes through adolescence and the most common presentation in adulthood is as a mood and anxiety disorder
- c) the internal dialogue of the ADHD individual tends to be self-deprecating and self-sabotaging.

Literature on CBT in ADHD

Many years ago in a review Dr. Howard Abikoff and his co-authors⁹⁹ clearly showed that cognitive behaviour therapy was not very effective for children with ADHD. Lack of motivation and maturity were cited as possible reasons for this. Recently, a number of researchers have shown that cognitive behaviour therapy for adults with ADHD can be effective with or without medication. Dr. Steven Safren and his team¹⁰⁰ demonstrated that adults with ADHD that present with difficulties, even when taking medications, see their condition improved by individual CBT^{244, 245}. Their approach is manualised (therapist and patient guides available). Since then, Dr. Mary Solanto and her group¹⁰¹ reported on the efficacy of a 12-week metacognitive behavioural therapy intervention which focused mainly on time management and organizational skills in adults with ADHD. Dr. Anthony Rostain¹⁰² and colleagues reported on the usefulness of a cognitive behaviour therapy intervention which focused on both cognitive time management and organizational skills as well as emotional lability, impulsivity, relationship problems and self esteem in adults with ADHD.

Dr. Lily Hechtman¹⁰³ and colleagues in Montreal have presented preliminary results for adults with ADHD who are randomly assigned to stimulant medication only, cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT) only, and the combined intervention of CBT and stimulant medication. The cognitive behaviour therapy is presented in 12 weekly group sessions with 6 to 10 subjects in a group. It focuses on time and organizational skills as well as anger management, impulsivity, relationships, cognitive reframing and self esteem. Each participant also receives individual coaching three times per week to help implement and generalize the CBT skills

being taught. Finally, three monthly booster sessions with weekly coaching follow the intervention to help maintain treatment gains. The study is ongoing but preliminary data suggest that both stimulant medication and CBT are effective, with some advantages seen in the combined CBT plus medication group.

Dr. Alexandra Philipsen in Germany²⁰⁵ is exploring a dialectical cognitive behaviour therapy approach for adults with ADHD because it was found these adults show some similarities in their emotional lability and impulsivity to patients with borderline personality disorder who have benefited from such treatment. That study is ongoing.

Current Treatment Model

The current treatment model stresses two components. One focuses on behaviour which involves organization and time management skills. The second explores internal assumptions and beliefs about oneself which influences one's behaviour and may lead to automatic maladaptive beliefs and behavioural patterns. Changing these beliefs, or "reframing", is an important aspect of therapy which is helped by the practice and therapist input.



ADHD AND DRIVING

Key Points For Physicians To Review With Adolescents and Adults Who Have ADHD

Risk Data:

- a) Clinical studies indicate that young drivers with untreated or sub-optimally treated ADHD have between *two to four times as many motor vehicle collisions (MVC)* and moving violations than a comparable non-ADHD population²⁰⁶
- b) These driving problems are seen *independent of comorbidity*. The problem profile commonly includes driving anger or road rage
- c) The presence of ADHD and *comorbid substance use disorders magnifies driving risk*
- d) *Neurodevelopmental immaturities in executive function* (resulting in problems with attention, impulse control and emotional regulation) combined with a lack of driving experience can lead to problem driving styles in young people in general
- e) Based on simulator studies, stimulant medication may reduce cognitive difficulties related to ADHD problem driving. However, there is limited real-time, on-the-road data demonstrating the benefit of stimulants. Adherence with stimulant medication is particularly poor in the evening, the time of maximum driving risk for young drivers.

Protective Factors:

- a) Restrictions on cell phone use, night time and weekend driving and on use of a manual transmission may all have an impact on improving driving performance. Psychosocial and legislative measures may prove to be a more effective preventative public health measure in the long run.
- b) Many patients with ADHD who drive are not at any significant risk of driving problems, particularly when informed of the risks and provided with good clinical care.

Evaluation of Driving Risk and Documentation:

- a) Discussion with young drivers and their families should include information on functional impairment and driving risks. Problems with speeding, following too close, road rage, inattention and distractibility when driving should be reviewed.
- b) When developing a therapeutic alliance with a family, it may be useful to encourage contracts between young drivers and their families where adherence with medications and other issues such as good school performance are exchanged for access to a motor vehicle.
- c) Documentation of discussions regarding driving safety, along with the use of an assessment of driving style and behaviour, would demonstrate that the clinician is exercising due diligence for their ADHD patients around driving safety issues. The current CMA Guidelines²⁰⁷ remind physicians that if ADHD drivers have a demonstrated problem with driving and are non-compliant with treatment, the doctor has a duty to report his or her concerns to the Provincial Ministries of Transportation. Reporting in Alberta, Quebec and Nova Scotia is discretionary.

The Jerome Driving Questionnaire (JDQ)

Why use it? Recent literature speaks to an increased risk of motor vehicle collisions and moving violations in young drivers with ADHD¹. The 2006 CMA guidelines “Determining Medical Fitness to Drive” recommend that Canadian physicians be aware that ADHD is a reportable condition if patients have demonstrated problem driving. Physicians need to consider it their duty to warn high risk drivers of the dangers of driving without the benefit of appropriate medical treatment which includes the use of long-acting stimulants, providing improved attention control and reduced impulsivity while driving². The JDQ printed in the Guidelines (which can also be downloaded from the CADDRA website or completed online at **www.adhddriving.com**) provides clinicians with a simple tool that assists them in deciding if their patient is at increased risk of problem driving. It also provides documentation in the medical record that the clinician has assessed this important functional skill in their patients.

How to use it The JDQ is a self-report instrument in two parts. **Part A** provides a lifetime driving history of driving exposure, moving violations and accidents. **Part B** provides a subjective account of the driver's driving style. It takes approximately five minutes to complete. Self and collateral observations can be collected and compared. Psychometric data have been reported²⁰⁸.

The JDQ will provide the physician, the patient and their family a view of the patient's driving record and a measure of strategic driving style. This instrument is meant to *augment* clinical evaluation, not to substitute or replace the physician's judgement about patient driving safety. It can help a health professional initiate discussion about driving safety with the patient and their family. The instrument provides the physician with a measure of the effects of medication and other behavioural interventions. Serial measures for each patient provide a visual analog record of change over time.

The **www.adhddriving.com** website allows JDQ data to be stored and displayed serially and downloaded for later analysis. The resource section contains educational videos that are helpful for patients and their families regarding the risk of untreated ADHD and driving. Review articles on driving risk and psychometric scale information will be updated periodically.

An expanded version of this appendix with references to the current evidence-based recommendations is available on the CADDRA website.



Patient Name:
Date of Birth:
Physician Name:

MRN/File No:
Date:

JEROME DRIVING QUESTIONNAIRE (JDQ)© 2010 *To be completed by the driver*

Name of Driver:		
Date of Birth:	DD MM YY	Date completed: DD MM YY
Please list all your medications and dosages, including over-the-counter medicines with mg doses if known:		
1.	2.	3.
4.	5.	6.
7.	8.	9.
10.		

Instructions: This section should be completed by the driver. Rate yourself on the following questions regarding past and current driving history.

Driving History Part A

1. At what age did you obtain your driver's license?	years	months
2. How many times did you take to pass your final driving test?	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> or more
3. How long have you been driving?		years
4. On average, how much time per day do you spend driving?	<input type="checkbox"/> < 1 hour	<input type="checkbox"/> 1 - 2 hours <input type="checkbox"/> > 2 hours
5.(a) Estimate kilometres/miles driven in the last month (city):		km
(b) Estimate kilometres/miles driven in the last month (highway):		km
6.(a) How many motor vehicle collisions have you been in as a passenger?	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> or more	
(b) How many motor vehicle collisions have you been in as a driver?	<input type="checkbox"/> 0 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> or more	
7. How many times since you have been driving have you been determined to be at fault in an accident?		
8.(a) How many times since you have been driving have you had your licence revoked or suspended?		
(b) How many times have you driven when your licence was suspended?	<input type="checkbox"/> Never <input type="checkbox"/> Once	<input type="checkbox"/> Twice <input type="checkbox"/> Three or more
9. Did you ever go joy riding in a car? (Select all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> As a driver before you held a valid license? <input type="checkbox"/> As a passenger with a driver without a valid license? <input type="checkbox"/> As a passenger when the driver was intoxicated with alcohol and /or drugs? <input type="checkbox"/> As a driver when intoxicated with alcohol and/or drugs?	
10. How many times since you have been driving have you received a parking ticket?		times
11. How many times since you have been driving have you received a speeding ticket?		times
12. How many times since you have been driving have you been given a ticket for failing to stop at a stop signal or sign?		times
13. How many times since you have been driving have you been given a ticket for reckless driving?		

14. How many times since you have been driving have you struck a pedestrian or cyclist while driving?	
15. How many times since you have been driving have you been given a ticket for driving while intoxicated?	
16. Have insurance rates increased as a result of driving problems?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
17. Has car insurance been denied because of driving problems?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

JEROME DRIVING QUESTIONNAIRE PART B ©2010

This form can be completed either by you the driver or a close friend or relative who observes you drive.

Date completed: _____ Completed by: _____

Instructions

The following questions are about your usual driving style over the last month. Try to answer all the questions. There are no right or wrong answers. Please put a mark "X" on the horizontal scale to indicate your rating regarding driving in the last month when out driving (a) in the city; (b) on the highway.

Select the correct answer to the following two questions:

In the last month have you driven (or driven with the driver) in the city? Yes No

In the last month have you driven (or driven with the driver) on the highway? Yes No

Since you last completed this questionnaire have you had any motor vehicle violations such as speeding or parking tickets or collisions? Yes No

Motor vehicle violations: Yes No; Collision(s): Yes No

1. Frustration:

a. City

no frustration _____ high frustration

b. Highway

no frustration _____ high frustration

2. Risk taking:

a. City

no risk taking _____ high risk taking

b. Highway

no risk taking _____ high risk taking

3. Show anger verbally or physically to other drivers:

a. City

no risk taking _____ high risk taking

b. Highway

no risk taking _____ high risk taking

4. Speeding:

a. City

no speeding _____ excessive speeding

b. Highway

no speeding _____ excessive speeding

5. Anxiety:

a. City

no anxiety _____ high anxiety

b. Highway

no anxiety _____ high anxiety

6. Experiences Panic:

a. City

no panic extreme panic

b. Highway

no panic extreme panic

7. Concentration on Road:

a. City

no concentration problems major concentration problems

b. Highway

no concentration problems major concentration problems

8. Alert to sudden changes in driving conditions:

a. City

alert not alert

b. Highway

alert not alert

9. Easily distracted by sights or sounds in the car or off to the side of the road:

a. City

no distraction high distraction

b. Highway

no distraction high distraction

10. Daydreaming:

a. City

no daydreaming frequent daydreaming

b. Highway

no daydreaming frequent daydreaming

11. Drowsiness:

a. City

no drowsiness major drowsiness

b. Highway

no drowsiness major drowsiness

12. Anticipating potential dangers from other cars or pedestrians (looking ahead):

a. City

always anticipating never anticipating

b. Highway

always anticipating never anticipating

Please note if any of your answers would be changed by driving with passengers. Please describe:

CHAPTER 7: PHARMACOLOGICAL TREATMENT OF ADHD

Principles for Medical Treatment

Thirteen Considerations in Medication Selection in the Treatment of ADHD²⁰⁹

- Age and individual variation
- Duration of effect
- The speed of action of the medication
- ADHD subtypes
- Comorbid symptom profile
- Comorbid psychiatric disorder
- History of earlier medication use
- Attitudes towards medication use
- Affordability
- Medical problems and other medications
- Associated features similar to medication side effects
- Combining stimulants with other medications
- Physician attitude towards ADHD medications.

1. Age and individual variation

All ADHD medications can be used for all age groups, although not all medications have received the “official” approval for various ages through the process required by the Therapeutic Products Directorate of the Canadian government. Treatment before the age of six, if necessary, should only be done under the direction of a specialist. There is no maximum age to treat ADHD if the general health of the patient permits use of those treatments. Women of childbearing age taking ADHD medications should be advised to talk with their physicians if they plan a pregnancy, as effects of ADHD medications on the foetus and on the baby while breastfeeding are unknown. Individual variation may exist (e.g. effective dosage is not closely correlated with age, weight or symptom severity) accounting for differences in treatment response and wide variation in dosage requirements. Medications don't work equally well for all patients – for some, results are huge; for others, substantial, but not huge; for others, much more modest; and for about 20%, currently available medications don't work very effectively at all, even when different classes of drugs are tried. **Caution: clinicians should not oversell the effectiveness of medications.** Some patients may experience difficulty swallowing pills. Although this can be improved by training, it should also be noted that some medication can be sprinkled on soft food or diluted in water.

2. Duration of effect

Exposure to tasks that require mental effort changes over the years. Medication use can be titrated to meet increased demands or to cover longer periods of daytime impairment. In childhood there may only be a need to treat during the school hours, though longer duration medications do improve home life, while by adulthood continuous full day and evening treatment may be necessary. Similarly, a patient may need to have individualized treatment based on day-to-day variation. This may be critical for tasks such as driving, where the maximal risk period for young drivers is during the evenings and at weekends.

3. The speed of action of the medication

When patients require urgent treatment, psychostimulants are the treatments of choice. However, ADHD is a chronic disorder where long-term management approaches are critical. For ADHD patients in general, ADHD is often perceived as an emergency once it is identified, and faster is seen to be better. However, given the extraordinary rates of low adherence over a year, long-term benefits are more likely if the ultimate goal – once emergencies such as abuse or expulsion from school are dealt with – is not just to obtain reduction of symptoms and better quality of life but also to support long-term adherence by taking into account patient comfort.

4. ADHD subtypes

The core symptoms within ADHD (that also determine the subtypes) include inattentiveness, impulsivity and motor hyperactivity. All three of these symptoms are associated with impairment of different sorts. For example, attention problems remain stable and impairing throughout the lifespan and affect academic and organizational functioning. Hyperactivity may diminish in adolescence but is transformed into restlessness, driven behaviour, stimulus-seeking behaviour, and discomfort from always being on the go. This may continue well into adulthood. While adults may present with impairing inattentive symptoms, their childhood progression into adulthood may reveal that some came from an only inattentive background, while others came from a transformation of the combined subtype. It is important to understand the transformation of the clinical symptoms because it may have relevance both in terms of dosing effect as well as emergence of anxiety and other side effects. All of the ADHD medications improve inattention.

5. Comorbid symptom profile

The CAP-Guidelines Committee has used a symptom-based inventory to help the clinician determine the possible treatments for each symptom. When comorbid disorders exist, prioritizing the key symptom makes the choice of medication simpler and widens the medication options. For example, aggression may be a part of many of the comorbid disorders the patient has, but focusing on this symptom addresses the major area of impairment.

6. Comorbid psychiatric disorders

When there is a comorbid disorder along with ADHD, it is generally advised that the treatment may be determined by the more severe disorder first . A variety of strategies have been used to determine sequence of treatment including diagnostic certainty, patient preference, the primary disorder and the disorder with greatest impairment, or the disorder most likely to respond to treatment . However, major mood disorders like depression, bipolar disorders and substance abuse disorder should be identified and treated prior to ADHD . Residual symptoms may require additional treatments. It is important to review drug-to-drug interactions to ensure that there is no risk to the patient. It is not unusual for patients to be on more than one medication to deal with “complex ADHD”.

7a. History of earlier medication use

In 65% to 75% of cases, patients will respond to an approved ADHD medication at the first trial²¹⁰. Patients who do not respond to one stimulant may respond to another (e.g., methylphenidate versus amphetamine). The same seems to be true for side effects; one may be better tolerated than the other for common side effects like appetite, sleep suppression, headache, tics, etc. The treatment response percentage increases when more than one medication is tried. If there is a lack of improvement or substantial side effects, another ADHD drug should be considered. If a patient is responding well to one medication, it is strongly advised that the patient not be tried on another medication to see if there is a better response.

7b. Family history of medical treatment

A family history of prior positive medical treatment should also be considered as well as negative experience with a specific medication. Although there is no good research data on these aspects, it is understandable that a positive response to a specific treatment in a family member could increase positive expectations for this treatment while the contrary can occur for a negative outcome.

8. Attitudes towards medication use

All patients and their families need to be educated. The choice of medication should follow the principles of informed consent. Information on informed consent is available on the totallyadd website (<http://totallyadd.com/informed-consent>). Emotional biases against the use of ADHD medications are often due to misinformation regarding side effects and guilt about having 'caused' the problem through 'bad' parenting. Alternatively, excessive expectation of medication improvement may be present and lead to disappointment. It is important for families to access reliable and valid sources and to rely on parent support groups. Medical treatments are there to facilitate treatment of the patient's full range of concerns. Also, parents that are at risk for diversion (e.g. substance abusers) should not be given short-acting stimulants for their children. Patients should be educated about the risks of diversion of medication to friends.

9. Affordability

All patients should have access to optimum treatment. Unfortunately, some medications are beyond the financial reach of a significant number of patients without extended health insurance. Some medications can be supported through special access programs, but access is often limited by the extensive paperwork required and the constricted time for which medication is supplied. Most medications are covered by third party insurers. However, sometimes patients may have to rely on generic medications that may not be as effective. CADDRA continues to advocate for a resolution of this problem at the government level.

10. Medical problems and other medications

It is important for the clinician to do a thorough medical assessment including physical examination before prescribing medications. The CADDRA Guidelines provide templates that can guide the clinician. Many conditions look like ADHD (e.g. thyroid, hearing deficits, vision problems, etc). It is important for clinicians to be aware of any medical risk the patient may have that affects suitability for a medication (e.g. blood pressure problems, interactions with other medications, cardiovascular risk, etc.). When in doubt, a specialist referral is indicated.

11. Associated features similar to medication side effects

All medications may cause side effects. Most side effects are usually improved over two or three weeks of continuous use. One of the most common reasons for non-adherence is related to a lack of physician awareness or understanding of side effects, or patients' reluctance to explain their discomfort. Some pre-existing conditions like tics, sleep problems, very low weight, headaches, GI problems or dysphoria may be aggravated by ADHD medications (although some of these very symptoms might actually be improved by the ADHD medications as well). Patients should be told up front about how to tell if they are getting too much medication, e.g. feeling too "wired", too irritable or too serious during the time medication should be active. In those cases, there is a strong chance that the dose is too high or that the specific medication may not be a good fit for that patient. However, if any symptoms from this triad of too "wired", too irritable or too serious is experienced later in the day, or they are dysthymic at the time when medications would be expected to be wearing off, it is likely that those symptoms are not from an excessively high dose but from rebound, where the medication is wearing off too fast and the patient is "crashing". An understanding of the side effect profile of each medication may afford a better 'fit'.

12. Combining stimulants with other medications

When a clinician feels that a second medication is needed, it is suggested to begin with an ADHD medication that is known to combine safely with the second medication. For example, in the selection of an ADHD medication for a patient with severe anxiety disorder, a psychostimulant could be combined with an antidepressant (note: there are some limitations with atomoxetine). Younger children should be referred if this is being contemplated.

13. Physician attitude towards ADHD medications

Information on ADHD is rapidly evolving (i.e. understanding of comorbidity, adult ADHD, medical treatments, biological underpinnings, etc). It is imperative that physicians seek out reliable sources of information and continue to upgrade their clinical skills. The CADDRA Guidelines, website, conference, continuing medical education courses and other updates are designed to expose clinicians to the latest advances in assessment and treatment for ADHD across the lifespan. Patients today are often as educated about their health conditions as their doctors, and physicians need to be comfortable working with the knowledgeable patient and/or family. Such comfort can be achieved through an open attitude, experience and quality continuing education.

ADHD Medication Chart

The Canadian ADHD Medication Chart contained in the sleeve of the CAP-G binder provides information on the dosage and appearance of ADHD medications and is a useful tool when discussing medication options with patients and their families. It is available in a Canada-wide and a Quebec version, and the most recent update is always available on the CADDRA website. The charts were originally developed by the Continuing Medical Education Team at Laval University in Quebec City in collaboration with the organizational committee for the Conference on the Pharmacological Treatment of ADHD in April 2007.

Specific Medication Selection Guidelines and Monitoring

STEP 1

Feedback and Expectations (refer to Chapter 1, Visit 4 for more details)

Use principles of informed consent to ensure the patient is adequately educated when addressing medication questions.

STEP 2

Specific Medication Selection: Considerations

One central philosophy within CADDRA is to treat each patient as a unique being and to use the clinical advice within the “Thirteen Considerations for Medication Selection” as the guide.

Practice Point: There are some practical questions that begin the selection process:

a) Is medication indicated in your age group? Generally speaking, the first choice should be a medication that has an approved indication by Health Canada for ADHD within the specified age group. Even though some ADHD medications are not officially approved by Health Canada for a specific age group, many doctors may decide to use them based on scientific evidence and expert consensus.

b) What impairment do you have and at what time of the day? Is it mainly during work hours, meetings, exam times, leisure times, driving periods, morning routines, etc.? Ensure the patient is medicated when it is necessary and that you understand and are responding to his/her individual needs.

c) What medication do you prefer? Have you ever taken any medications before or heard of something you might want to try? Patients respond better to the medications they most strongly believe in. It also addresses the notion that patients must be educated and they should have a partnership in the treatment agenda.

d) Is a family member on some medication for ADHD? If yes, then consider trying the same medication first. (Note: there is no evidence at this time about a possible role for such a pharmacogenetics-based approach.)

e) Do you have third party coverage or do you plan to pay for the medication? Many of the current medications are expensive, so there should be an open discussion related to government plans, third party insurance coverage, direct payment, co-payment plans and limited benefit plans.

f) Do you have trouble swallowing a pill? If yes, then that will limit certain medications choices, though one should make an attempt to train the individual to swallow a capsule.

g) Do you require urgent treatment? If yes, then a stimulant is likely your first choice due to its speed of intervention. However, the treatment of ADHD is a long-term plan so while there may be urgent issues, the patient should be cautioned about rapid fixes.

h) Does the patient have comorbid disorders that require more complex interventions? If yes, the current agenda is to initiate the ADHD medication first and see what residual symptoms are left over that require further management. Anticipate drug-drug interaction issues.

If the patient is expressing suicidal or homicidal thoughts these need to be addressed as a priority.

STEP 3

Monitoring

- Establish a schedule for visits and contact with the patient and parents
- It is useful to establish an objective measure within the patient's domain. For example, the teacher may want to observe a five minute on-task behaviour. An adolescent may target their ability to sustain attention in their most difficult tasks. An adult may use a specific target that needs to change, like hourly work production. Formal observational rating scales help to quantify specific medication changes, particularly at school and home. The CADDRA Clinician ADHD Baseline/Follow-up Form and the ADHD Checklist can be used to evaluate change
- During the titration phase, weekly contact with the patient reporting in either by phone, email, fax or visit is recommended. However, the patient should be seen every three to four weeks for a review of medication doses during the titration period and to check physical health, review side effects, family functioning, patient and family well being, coping strategy management, behavioural treatment and other therapies when indicated.

STEP 4

Titration

- Recommended starting dose and schedule for dose increases is a guide only
- Start low and go slow but continue to increase the dose until the desired goals of treatment have been reached. An alternative though uncommon titration strategy is to test the patient on two different doses of the medication and then pick the dose level that gives the maximum response and fewest side effects (similar to the MTA strategy). Another alternative is conducting a limited placebo-control trial with different doses each week and evaluating comparisons. The latter strategy requires the cooperation and technical expertise of the local pharmacy. Regardless of the titration schedule, optimal treatment means that the symptoms have decreased and that there is improvement in general functioning. Sometimes side effects limit the dose titration (refer to Side Effect Management, Supporting Document 7C). The threshold maximums are consistent with the off-label standards established by the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry
- It is useful to alert the patient in advance that a peak effect may occur in the first week and a plateau effect may occur over the subsequent three weeks. Sometimes patients interpret this as a tolerance to the medication and request a higher dose. In fact, if the patient improves in their functioning at the plateau dose, they are likely dose-optimized.

STEP 5

Managing Side Effects

1. In educating patients about medication it is important to provide the realistic view that individuals have different risk/benefit profiles on medication, ranging from those who cannot tolerate or benefit from medication at all, to those who have full remission with no side effects.
2. While our evidence base on medication allows us to provide patients with a great deal of information on medication options, it is also important to remind patients and parents that all individuals are unique and may require doses that are smaller or larger than are usually recommended. It is important to point out that agreeing to a “trial” of medication is not a decision to use it forever. A trial is an experiment that carries minimal if any risks that would extend beyond a very brief period of time, and can be discontinued at any point.
3. Patients who are good stimulant responders, but whose medication is limited by side effects, should be managed by the techniques described below or switched to a different medication regimen that minimizes that particular problem.
4. Patients who are not responding to medication and obtaining little benefit, but do not have major side effects, may require non-medication strategies.
5. If the patient does not respond to any of the first line medications, augmentation strategies or (off label) use of second line medications such as bupropion, clonidine, guanfacine, modafinil or imipramine may be helpful, but a specialist referral should be made. In the rapidly changing field of ADHD, treatment with new medications with different side effect profiles and possibly differential effectiveness in particular patients is becoming possible.
6. If a change in medication is thought necessary, switch medication during long vacations or during the summer to avoid possible side effects that may impair school performance in the short-term. However, sometimes switching medications requires a more immediate intervention due to the urgency of the situation.
7. If a period off medication or on a reduced dose to minimize side effect is required, it should be done during long vacations, the summer, or on long weekends to minimize impact on school performance. Clinically, it is observed that interrupting medication every weekend may in fact increase side effects. Taking the medication each day will help develop a tolerance toward side effects. Some medications (e.g. bupropion, imipramine) need to be taken continuously to maintain clinical effect.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENT 7A: CANADIAN MEDICATION TABLES PER AGE GROUP

Children's Medical Treatment Options

Table 1. MEDICAL TREATMENT FOR ADHD UNCOMPLICATED – CHILDREN						
Alphabetically Listed – Refer to product monographs for complete prescribing information.						
Brand Name (active chemical)	Dosage Form	Starting Dose	Titration Schedule Every 7 days		Maximum per day ¹ (up to 40 kg child)	
			Per product Monograph	Per CADDRA Board	Per Product Monograph	Per CADDRA Board*
FIRST LINE AGENTS – long-acting preparations						
Adderall XR [®] (amphetamine mixed salts)	5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 mg cap	5-10 mg q.d. a.m.	↑ 5-10 mg	↑ 5 mg	30 mg	30 mg
Biphentin [®] (methylphenidate HCl)	10, 15, 20, 30, 40 50, 60, 80 mg cap	10-20 mg q.d. a.m.	↑ 10 mg	↑ 10 mg	60 mg	60 mg
Concerta [®] (methylphenidate HCl)	18, 27, 36, 54 mg tab	18 mg q.d. a.m.	↑ 18 mg	↑ 18 mg	54 mg	72 mg
Strattera [®] (atomoxetine)	10, 18, 25, 40, 60, 80, 100 mg cap	0.5 mg/kg/day	Maintain dose for a min. of 7-14 days before adjusting to 0.8 mg/kg/day then 1.2 mg/kg/day	Maintain dose for a min. of 7-14 days before adjusting to 0.8 mg/kg/day then 1.2 mg/kg/day	lesser of 1.4 mg/kg/day or 60 mg/day	lesser of 1.4 mg/kg/day or 60 mg/day
Vyvanse [®] (lisdexamfetamine dimesylate)	20, 30, 40, 50, 60 mg cap	20-30 mg q.d. a.m.	By clinical discretion	↑ 10 mg	60 mg	60 mg
<p>Ⓢ * Doses per CADDRA Board that are over product monograph maximum doses should be considered off-label use. A consensus decision has been made based on clinical use and research data.</p>						
SECOND LINE/ADJUNCTIVE AGENTS – short-acting and intermediate-acting preparations						
<p>Ⓢ Indications for use: a) p.r.n. for particular activities; b) to augment long-acting formulations early or late in the day, or early in the evening and c) when LA agents are cost prohibitive. To augment Adderall XR[®] or Vyvanse[®], short-acting and intermediate-acting dextro-amphetamine products can be used. To augment Biphentin[®] or Concerta[®] short-acting MPH products can be used. b.i.d. refers to qam and qnoon and t.i.d. refers to qam, qnoon and q4pm.</p>						
Dexedrine [®] (dextro-amphetamine sulphate)	5 mg tab	2.5-5 mg b.i.d.	↑ 2.5-5 mg	↑ 2.5-5 mg	40 mg	20 mg
Dexedrine [®] Spansule ² (dextro-amphetamine sulphate)	10, 15 mg cap	10 mg q.d. a.m.	↑ 5 mg	↑ 5 mg	40 mg	30 mg
Ritalin [®] (methylphenidate)	10, 20 mg tab	5 mg b.i.d. to t.i.d.	↑ 5-10	↑ 5-10	60 mg	60 mg
Ritalin [®] SR ³ (methylphenidate HCl)	20 mg tab	20 mg q.d. a.m.	↑ 20 mg	↑ 20 mg	60 mg	60 mg
<p>¹ The maximum daily dose can be split into once daily (q.d.), twice daily (b.i.d.) or three times daily (t.i.d.) doses except for once a day formulations</p> <p>² Dexedrine[®] Spansule may last 6-8 hours</p> <p>³ Ritalin[®] SR may help cover the noon period but clinical experience suggests an effect similar to short-acting preparations. An increased dose could be spread out to include q2pm dose with a daily maximum of 60 mg.</p>						

Table 1. MEDICAL TREATMENT FOR ADHD UNCOMPLICATED – CHILDREN (continued)
Alphabetically Listed – Refer to product monographs for complete prescribing information.

Brand Name (active chemical)	Dosage Form	Starting Dose	Titration Schedule Every 7 days		Maximum per day (up to 40 kg child)	
			Per product Monograph	Per CADDRA Board	Per Product Monograph	Per CADDRA Board
GENERIC MEDICATIONS						
PMS® or Ratio®- methylphenidate	5, 10, 20, mg tab	5 mg q.d. a.m. and noon	↑ 5 mg	↑ 5 mg	60 mg	60 mg
			(add q4pm dose)			
Novo-MPH ER-C® (methylphenidate)	18, 27, 36, 54 mg tab	18 mg q.d. a.m.	↑ 18 mg	↑ 18 mg	54 mg	72 mg

THIRD LINE AGENTS

These medications (impramine, bupropion, modafinil, etc.) should only be initially or first prescribed by a specialist. These are off-label products and described only in the members section of the CADDRA website.

Medication for Adolescents with ADHD

TABLE 2. MEDICAL TREATMENT FOR ADHD UNCOMPLICATED – ADOLESCENTS

Alphabetically Listed – Refer to product monographs for complete prescribing information.

Brand Name (active chemical)	Dosage Form	Starting Dose	Titration Schedule Every 7 days		Maximum per day ^{1, 2} (>40 kg)	
			Per Product Monograph	Per CADDRA Board	Per Product Monograph	Per CADDRA Board*
FIRST LINE AGENTS – long-acting preparations						
Adderall XR® (amphetamine mixed salts)	5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 mg cap	5-10 mg q.d. am	↑ 5-10 mg	↑ 5-10 mg	20-30 mg	50 mg
Biphentin® (methylphenidate HCl)	10, 15, 20, 30, 40 50, 60, 80 mg cap	10-20 mg q.d. am	↑ 10 mg	↑ 10 mg	60 mg	80 mg ³
Concerta® (methylphenidate HCl)	18, 27, 36, 54 mg tab	18 mg q.d. am	↑ 18 mg	↑ 18 mg	54 mg	90 mg (54 + 36 mg)
Strattera® (atomoxetine)	10, 18, 25, 40, 60, 80 100 mg cap	0.5 mg/kg/day	Maintain dose for a min. of 7-14 days before adjusting 0.8 mg/kg/day then 1.2 mg/kg/day for patients <70kg ⁴	Maintain dose for a min. of 7-14 days before adjusting 0.8 mg then 1.2 mg; 70kg: 60 then 80mg/day ⁴	lesser of 1.4 mg/kg/day or 100 mg/day	lesser of 1.4 mg/kg/day or 100 mg/day
Vyvanse® (lisdexamfetamine dimesylate)	20, 30, 40, 50, 60, mg cap	20-30 mg q.d. am	By clinical discretion	↑ 10 mg	60 mg	70 mg
<p>CB * Doses per CADDRA Board that are over product monograph maximum doses should be considered off-label use. A consensus decision has been made based on clinical use and research data.</p>						
SECOND LINE/ADJUNCTIVE AGENTS – short-acting and intermediate-acting preparations						
<p>CB Indications for use: a) p.r.n. for particular activities; b) to augment long-acting formulations early or late in the day, or early in the evening and c) when LA agents are cost prohibitive. To augment Adderall XR® or Vyvanse®, short-acting and intermediate-acting dextro-amphetamine products can be used. To augment Biphentin® or Concerta® short-acting MPH products can be used. b.i.d. refers to qam and qnoon and t.i.d. refers to qam, qnoon and q4pm.</p>						
Dexedrine® (dextro-amphetamine sulphate)	5 mg tab	2.5-5 mg b.i.d.	↑ 5 mg	↑ 5 mg	40 mg	30 mg
Dexedrine® Spansule⁵ (dextro-amphetamine sulphate)	10, 15 mg cap	10 mg q.d. a.m.	↑ 5 mg	↑ 5 mg	40 mg	30 mg
Ritalin® (methylphenidate HCl)	10, 20 mg tab	5 mg b.i.d. to t.i.d.	↑ 5-10 mg	↑ 5-10 mg	60 mg	60 mg
Ritalin® SR⁶ (methylphenidate HCl)	20 mg tab	20 mg q.d. am	↑ 20 mg (add q2pm dose)	↑ 20 mg (add q2pm dose)	60 mg	80 mg
<p>¹ Maximum off label doses have been published in the AACAP Practice Parameters¹⁴ but the off label maximums are either the same or lower in the CAP-G based on CB</p> <p>² The maximum daily dose can be split into once daily (q.d.), twice daily (b.i.d.) or three times daily (t.i.d.) doses except for once a day formulations</p> <p>³ While the theoretical maximum off label dose for Biphentin® could be 100 mg, clinical practice currently suggests that 80 mg is the maximum that is used</p> <p>⁴ For adolescents greater than 70 kg, use the adult dose titration schedule</p> <p>⁵ Dexedrine Spansule® may last 6-8 hours</p> <p>⁶ Ritalin SR® may help cover the noon period but clinical experience suggests an effect similar to short-acting preparations.</p>						

Table 2. MEDICAL TREATMENT FOR ADHD UNCOMPLICATED – ADOLESCENTS (continued)
Alphabetically Listed – Refer to product monographs for complete prescribing information.

Brand Name <i>(active chemical)</i>	Dosage Form	Starting Dose	Titration Schedule Every 7 days		Maximum per day*	
			Per product Monograph	Per CADDRA Board	Per Product Monograph	Per CADDRA Board
GENERIC MEDICATIONS						
PMS® or Ratio®- methylphenidate	5, 10, 20, mg tab	5 mg q.d. a.m. and noon	↑ 5 mg	↑ 5 mg	60 mg	60 mg
			(add q4pm dose)			
Novo-MPH ER-C® <i>(methylphenidate)</i>	18, 27, 36, 54 mg tab	18 mg q.d. a.m.	↑ 18 mg	↑ 18 mg	54 mg	90 mg

THIRD LINE AGENTS

These medications (impramine, bupropion, modafinil, etc.) should only be initiated or first prescribed by a specialist. These are off-label products and described only in the members section of the CADDRA website.

Medication for Adults with ADHD

TABLE 3. MEDICAL TREATMENT FOR ADHD UNCOMPLICATED – ADULTS

Alphabetically Listed – Refer to product monographs for complete prescribing information.

Brand Name (active chemical)	Dosage Form	Starting Dose	Titration Schedule Every 7 days		Maximum per day ^{1, 2}	
			Per Product Monograph	Per CADDRA Board	Per Product Monograph	Per CADDRA Board*
FIRST LINE AGENTS – long-acting preparations						
Adderall XR® (amphetamine mixed salts)	5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 mg cap	10 mg q.d. a.m.	↑ 10 mg	↑ 10 mg	20-30 mg	50 mg
Biphentin® (methylphenidate HCl)	10, 15, 20, 30, 40 50, 60, 80 mg cap	10-20 mg q.d. a.m.	↑ 10 mg	↑ 10 mg	80 mg	80 mg ³
Concerta® (methylphenidate HCl)	18, 27, 36, 54 mg tab	18 mg q.d. a.m.	↑ 18 mg	↑ 18 mg	72 mg	108 mg
Strattera® (atomoxetine)	10, 18, 25, 40, 60, 80, 100 mg cap	40 mg ⁴ q.d. for 7-14 days	Maintain dose for a min. of 7-14 days before adjusting to 60 then 80 mg/day max dose/day 1.4 mg/kg/day or 100 mg ⁵	Maintain dose for a min. of 7-14 days before adjusting. 60 then 80 mg/day max dose/day 1.4 mg/kg/day or 100 mg ⁵	Lesser of 1.4 mg/kg/day or 100 mg/day	Lesser of 1.4 mg/kg/day or 100 mg/day
Vyvanse® (lisdexamfetamine dimesylate)	20, 30, 40, 50, 60 mg cap	20-30 mg q.d. a.m.	By clinical discretion	↑ 10 mg	60 mg	70 mg
<p>CB * Doses per CADDRA Board that are over product monograph maximum doses should be considered off-label use. A consensus decision has been made based on clinical use and research data.</p>						
SECOND LINE/ADJUNCTIVE AGENTS – short-acting and intermediate-acting preparations						
<p>CB Indications for use: a) p.r.n. for particular activities; b) to augment long-acting formulations early or late in the day, or early in the evening and c) when LA agents are cost prohibitive. To augment Adderall XR® or Vyvanse®, short-acting and intermediate-acting dextro-amphetamine products can be used. To augment Biphentin® or Concerta® short-acting MPH products can be used. b.i.d. refers to qam and qnoon and t.i.d. refers to qam, qnoon and q4pm points.</p>						
Dexedrine® (dextro-amphetamine sulphate)	5 mg tab	2.5-5 mg b.i.d.	↑ 5 mg	↑ 5 mg	40 mg	50 mg
Dexedrine® Spansule⁵ (dextro-amphetamine sulphate)	10, 15 mg cap	10 mg q.d. a.m.	↑ 5 mg	↑ 5 mg	40 mg	50 mg
Ritalin® (methylphenidate HCl)	10, 20 mg tab	5 mg b.i.d. to t.i.d., consider q.i.d.	↑ 5-10 mg	↑ 5-10 mg	60 mg	100 mg
Ritalin® SR⁶ (methylphenidate HCl)	20 mg tab	20 mg q.d. a.m.	↑ 20 mg (add q2pm dose)	↑ 20 mg (add q2pm dose)	60 mg	100 mg
<p>¹ Maximum off label doses have been published in the AACAP Practice Parameters¹⁴ but the off label maximums are either the same or lower in the CAP-G based on CB</p> <p>² The maximum daily dose can be split into once daily (q.d.), twice daily (b.i.d.) or three times daily (t.i.d.) doses except for once a day formulations</p> <p>³ While the theoretical maximum off label dose for Biphentin® could be 100 mg, clinical practice currently suggests that 80 mg is the maximum that is used since no published study has researched doses higher than 80mg</p> <p>⁴ Some adults may better tolerate a lower starting dose of 25 mg</p> <p>⁵ Strattera titration schedule applies to children and adolescents over 70 kg body weight and adults.</p> <p>⁶ Dexedrine Spansule® may last 6-8 hours</p> <p>⁷ Ritalin SR® may help cover the noon period but clinical experience suggests an effect similar to short-acting preparations.</p>						

Table 3. MEDICAL TREATMENT FOR ADHD UNCOMPLICATED – ADULTS (continued)
Alphabetically Listed – Refer to product monographs for complete prescribing information.

Brand Name <i>(active chemical)</i>	Dosage Form	Starting Dose	Titration Schedule Every 7 days		Maximum per day	
			Per product Monograph	Per CADDRA Board	Per Product Monograph	Per CADDRA Board
GENERIC MEDICATIONS						
PMS® or Ratio®- methylphenidate	5, 10, 20, mg tab	10 mg q.d. a.m. and noon	↑ 10 mg	↑ 10 mg	72 mg	100 mg
			(add q4pm dose)			
Novo-MPH ER-C® <i>(methylphenidate)</i>	18, 27, 36, 54 mg tab	18 mg q.d. a.m.	↑ 18 mg/wk	↑ 18 mg/wk	54 mg	108 mg

THIRD LINE AGENTS

These medications (impramine, bupropion, modafinil, etc.) should only be initially or first prescribed by a specialist. These are off-label products and described only in the members section of the CADDRA website.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENT 7B: SPECIFIC INFORMATION ON MEDICATIONS

Provincial drug plan coverage may be different from one Canadian province to another and is subject to change (www.drugcoverage.ca). The CADDRA CAP-Guidelines Committee recommends that all medication approved for ADHD treatment should be accessible and covered by provincial drug plans.

STIMULANTS

Dextro-amphetamine (DEX) based products – long-acting medications

Adderall XR® (mixed amphetamine salts)

Amphetamines (AMP) work by the blockade of the reuptake of dopamine. They also increase the release of dopamine and noradrenaline from the vesicles accounting for their increased potency over MPH (i.e. a lesser mg dose may be sufficient). AMP is indicated in ADHD patients. Adderall XR® is a controlled drug, made up of a combination of different AMP salts, predominantly dextro-amphetamine (DEX). Adderall XR® comes in an extended release capsule available in six doses (5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 mg). The major strengths of Adderall XR® are that:

- a) the medication can give symptom control that lasts for 10–12 hours covering the major times when impairment occurs (e.g., school, homework, work day periods)
- b) the medication is indicated in all age groups by Health Canada
- c) the capsules may be opened and the beads inside the capsule can be sprinkled (e.g. on apple sauce) with no loss in efficacy (particularly important to improve adherence in young children who can't swallow pills)
- d) a 50/50 delivery system means that fifty percent of the dose is immediately available leading to a fast morning response and 50% is released later during the day, without a need for augmentation
- e) patients can be switched from immediate release AMP very easily
- f) a focussed review of sudden unexplained deaths was carried out by Health Canada in 2006 and the medication's safety has been assured
- g) the abuse potential is significantly reduced in comparison to short-acting medication due to the product formulation according to anecdotal reports from Canadian regional addiction centres,  and
- h) the active ingredient DEX has been available for more than 50 years and has a well known safety and efficacy profile.

Occasionally it may be necessary to "top up" the medication in the late afternoon to extend the clinical effect. 

Vyvanse® (lisdexamfetamine dimesylate)

DEX works by the blockade of the reuptake of dopamine. It also increases the release of dopamine and noradrenaline from the vesicles accounting for its increased potency over MPH. Vyvanse® is a controlled substance made up of a pro-drug, lisdexamfetamine (inactive drug), that needs a biological enzymatic transformation to release DEX (active drug). DEX has effects on noradrenaline and dopamine similar to Adderall XR® and other DEX products but the delivery mechanism is different for all those products. In

Canada, Vyvanse® comes in capsules available in five doses (20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 mg). In the United States, since the FDA has approved dosages of Vyvanse from 20 mg to 70 mg, a 70 mg capsule is also available.

The major strengths of Vyvanse® are that:

- a) the medication can give symptom control that lasts for 13 hours in children and up to 14 hours in adults, covering the major times when impairment occurs, including part of the evening
- b) the medication has been indicated in all ages by Health Canada
- c) the capsules may be opened and diluted in water with no loss in efficacy (particularly important to improve adherence in young children who can't swallow pills)
- d) patients can be switched from Dexedrine® or Adderall XR® quite easily
- e) this kind of delivery system is not influenced by gastric PH or transit time
- f) clinical effects have been described as more stable over time for each individual and more constant from one person to the other
- g) because of its pro-drug design, its delivery curve is not changed by mode of administration (oral, inhalation or injection), reducing its possible abuse potential  and
- h) the active ingredient, DEX, has been available for more than 50 years and has a well known safety and efficacy profile.

Dextro-amphetamine (DEX) based products – short-acting and intermediary-acting medications

Dexedrine® and Dexedrine® Spansules

DEX works by the blockade of the reuptake of dopamine. It also increases the release of dopamine and noradrenaline from the vesicles accounting for its increased potency over MPH. DEX is indicated in ADHD patients. It is a controlled substance. Dexedrine® and Dexedrine® Spansules are placed by the CADDRA Guidelines Committee in the second line group as their duration is shorter than that of long-acting versions and they are therefore prone to having peak/valley effects that may be uncomfortable. Their efficacy and safety, however, are well established. The major strengths of DEX are that:

- a) the active ingredient has been available and actively studied for many decades
- b) it may be useful in situations where a top-up of the once-daily medication is required or when the patient desires more flexibility in the dosing schedule
- c) a consensus of the CAP Committee suggests that DEX may be indicated in some adult ADHD patients who want the medication for situational versus continuous use
- d) Dexedrine® Spansules may be covered by some government special access programs, they are relatively inexpensive, and
- e) Dexedrine® Spansules last about six to eight hours while the pill formation last about three to five hours.

Methylphenidate (MPH)-based products – long-acting medications

Biphentin®

MPH, the active ingredient, is a controlled substance which works by the blockade of the re-uptake of dopamine and is indicated in ADHD. Biphentin® is a controlled-release methylphenidate (MPH) product and comes in eight strengths (10, 15, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 and 80 mg). Biphentin® uses a multi-layer release (MLRTM) delivery system. The major strengths of Biphentin® include the following:

- a) There are long term studies, of over twenty years in duration, which show MPH is safe and the active ingredient is MPH which has a well-known safety and efficacy profile

- b) its delivery is a 40% immediate and 60% gradual effect putting it in the middle of the other medications
- c) the delivery technology has shown an effect that is sustained for 10-12 hours, covering the major times that impairment occurs (e.g. school, homework periods and during the work day)
- d) it is available in eight doses making it easier to titrate the medication even from a much lower dose
- e) the medication has been indicated in all age groups by Health Canada
- f) the medication is actually available only in Canada and is relatively cheaper than the other long acting MPH products (at the lower doses)
- g) the capsules can be opened and sprinkled making it useful for children who can not swallow pills and, since the beads within each capsule are all the same, there is no concern when it is poured, and
- h) patients can be switched from MPH easily and, if necessary, can be augmented with immediate release MPH at the end of the day.

Occasionally it may be necessary to "top up" the medication in the late afternoon to extend the clinical effect. 

Concerta®

MPH, the active ingredient, is a controlled substance which works by the blockade of the reuptake of dopamine and is indicated in ADHD. Concerta® is an extended release MPH that uses the OROS technology and comes in four dosing options (18, 27, 36 and 54 mg capsules). The major strengths of Concerta® are that:

- a) there are long-term studies, of over twenty years in duration, which show MPH is safe
- b) it controls ADHD symptoms for approximately 10–12 hours, covering the major times that impairment occurs (e.g. school, homework periods and during the work day)
- c) it is a 22% immediate release and 78% long acting release combination suggesting a long duration of effect
- d) the non-deformable shell makes it very difficult to break, cut or crush, which reduces its abuse risk (according to anecdotal report from Canadian regional addiction centres) 
- e) patients can easily be converted from immediate release MPH to Concerta® and
- f) the medication has an indication in all age groups by Health Canada. While multiple doses can be used to create a closer titration (18 mg + 27 mg = 45 mg; 27 mg + 36 mg = 63 mg, etc), the higher cost of the combination of two dosages may be prohibitive.

Occasionally it may be necessary to "top up" the medication in the morning to extend the clinical effect. 

Ritalin LA® and Daytrana®

Ritalin® LA, a once-a-day extended-release MPH formulation, and the MPH patch, Daytrana®, are currently not available in Canada.

FocalinXR® and Focalin®

The isomere Dextro-methylphenidate is available in the USA in a long-acting (FocalinXR®) and short-acting (Foculin®) formulation. Those products are not available in Canada.

Other long-acting methylphenidate products (delivery mechanism not published)

Novo-Methylphenidate ER-C is a generic methylphenidate-based product with a progressive delivery system that was approved by Health Canada in 2010 as a generic for Concerta®. There are long term studies, of over twenty years in duration, which show MPH is safe. The actual delivery mechanism of Novo-Methylphenidate ER-C has not been described. This medication is not delivered via the OROS pump system, which is utilized for Concerta®. It comes in four dosing options (18, 27, 36 and 54 mg capsules). The major strengths of this generic formulation are cost-related and its active ingredient is MPH. However, the clinical profile of this new delivery system formulation has not been field tested. As with all generics, only bioequivalence needs to be demonstrated.

The CADDRA CAP-Guidelines Committee strongly believes that bioequivalence does not always mean clinical equivalence and that we need clinical data to better comment on this new medication. Side effects and duration of action are still unknown. Monograph information is derived from the Concerta® Monograph; only the bioequivalence data has been researched.

The tablets look like Concerta® but can be more easily crushed; this could affect its abuse potential as the time to maximum concentration (Tmax) is earlier with the generic medication. We don't know if that will influence the side effects profile, onset and duration of action of Novo-Methylphenidate ER-C compared to Concerta™. At present, we don't have enough data to comment on its clinical efficacy. We will need to wait for clinical experience to offer clarity.

CADDRA and Health Canada have received information from families and physicians reporting cases of drastic behavioural changes when a patient's Concerta prescription was switched to the generic formulation. The CADDRA CAP-Guidelines Committee believes these changes can be attributed to the medication formulation change. Meanwhile, the decision to switch to a generic formulation is an individual-based decision and we strongly advocate that the patient/family be advised of the switch, told to check for clinical changes in efficacy or tolerability and report any changes to their pharmacist and doctor.

Methylphenidate (MPH) based products: Short-acting and intermediary-acting medications

PMS®-Methylphenidate, Ratio®-Methylphenidate, Ritalin®, Ritalin® SR

The efficacy and safety of MPH is well established with significant reduction in the core ADHD symptoms. MPH short- and medium-acting products are placed by CADDRA CAP-Guidelines Committee in the second line group as their duration is shorter than that of long-acting versions and they are therefore prone to having peak/valley effects that may be uncomfortable. The major advantages of MPH are that:

- a) there are long-term studies of over twenty years in duration that show MPH is safe
- b) they may be useful in situations where a top-up of the once-daily medication is required or if the patient desires more flexibility over the dosing schedule
- c) a consensus of the CADDRA CAP-Guidelines Committee suggests that MPH may be indicated in some adult ADHD patients who want the medication for situational versus continuous use, and
- d) they are relatively inexpensive.

NON-STIMULANTS

Strattera®

Atomoxetine hydrochloride (ATX) is a specific noradrenaline reuptake inhibitor and comes in seven doses (10, 18, 25, 40, 60, 80 and 100 mg). ATX is not classed amongst the psychostimulants and it is not a controlled substance. The major strengths of ATX are that:

- a) it provides continuous coverage including the late evening and early morning periods
- b) it is indicated by Health Canada in all ADHD patients across the lifespan
- c) it may be particularly useful for ADHD patients who have tic spectrum disorders or comorbid anxiety, resistance and/or side effects to stimulant medications, including problems with worsening of sleep
- d) there appears to be no substance abuse or diversion potential and
- e) may be useful in patients with ADHD and comorbid enuresis^{58, 59}.

The onset of action is slower than stimulants as it acts differently on neurotransmitters and the maximum treatment effect may not be reached for six to eight weeks. The clinical changes are gradual. It would not be suitable in cases where there is an urgency to obtain a rapid onset of action. The dose is calibrated to the weight of the patient (see relevant tables for initiation, titration and maximum doses in Supporting Document 7A). There appears to be no increased benefit past 1.4 mg/kg/day though there may be some improvement of oppositional defiant disorder after 1.8 mg/kg/day²¹¹. The American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry has stated that the doses could go as high as 2.2 mg/kg/day but this is much higher than the Canadian standard³.

If higher doses are contemplated, a referral to an ADHD specialist should be made. If the doses exceed one pill a day, the cost of the medication increases. The capsules should not be opened as it may cause irritation of the gastric lining. The medication's safety profile has been established, including risk factors related to cardiovascular conduction irregularity similar to those of stimulant drugs. Rare cases of reversible alteration in hepatic enzyme are noted. No special monitoring protocol is required (i.e. blood tests) but patients should be advised of the clinical symptoms of hepatic dysfunction. Poor metabolizers (i.e., 7% Caucasians and 2% African-Americans) are unlikely to have toxic effects given the slow titration schedule. Measurements of blood levels are not required. There have been rare reports of increase in suicidal ideation; one suicide attempt (overdose) was identified; no completed suicides occurred^{212, 213}. Clinicians need to carefully monitor suicidal ideation, especially in the early phases of treatment not unlike with many antidepressant medications. The clinical efficacy was the same as stimulants in patients who were treatment naive²¹⁴. ATX can also be combined with stimulants to augment the effect if the clinician feels the patient has not achieved an adequate response , but in these circumstances, a referral to an ADHD specialist may be indicated. Strattera® can be given as a morning and evening split dose which is sometimes optimal to reduce side effects (but this strategy increases costs).

OFF-LABEL MEDICATIONS

See the CADDRA website for specifics and indications for off-label medications. Treatment by these medications should be by specialists only and the particulars are in the members section of the website.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS 7C: SIDE EFFECTS MANAGEMENT

Sleep Problems in ADHD

Problems with sleep are a common complaint among parents of ADHD children and patients of all ages²¹⁴. Children with ADHD are at increased risk of sleep disorders²¹⁵, and ADHD is overrepresented in sleep disorder clinics^{216, 217}. In addition, stimulant medication may increase the difficulty of falling asleep^{218, 219}. The most common sleep problem co-morbid with ADHD is delayed sleep phase syndrome (DSPS), which is a disorder in which patients go to bed late and then want to sleep longer in the morning^{217, 220}. Patients often complain that "they cannot turn their thoughts off" and resist going to bed since they do not feel sleepy. DSPS is one of several circadian rhythm sleep disorders (CRSD)^{220, 221}.

Patients with ADHD are also at increased risk of other sleep disorders including obstructive sleep apnea^{215, 222}. Children with ADHD should always be screened for sleep disorders. The acronym **BEARS** is useful for this purpose: **B**edtime resistance, **E**xcessive day time sleepiness, **A**wakenings, **R**egularity, **S**norings²²³. Most sleep problems can be diagnosed clinically and treated effectively with significant improvement in quality of life¹¹. Although there is some evidence of cases in which sleep problems were misdiagnosed as ADHD, treatment of sleep problems does not usually cure ADHD itself^{219, 220}.

Stimulants may induce insomnia: Administer medication as early as possible in the morning. Similarly, giving the medication early in the morning and letting him/her go back to sleep allows the medication to wake him/her up. Try to assure that the patient is not in rebound at the time that they are trying to fall back asleep by either lowering the dose late in the day or shaping a slow offset of action.

Strategies to Improve Sleep

Sleep Hygiene

Optimize sleep hygiene: maintain a quiet and comfortable sleep environment. Maintain a consistent time of going to bed and waking in the morning. If the patient is allowed to sleep late in the day this will phase delay the circadian rhythm. Exposure to passive stimulation activities such as watching television, playing computer games or going on chat lines will disrupt the initiation of sleep, despite beliefs that these activities promote fatigue. It is better that the individual do active stimulation such as reading as a means to make themselves mentally fatigued. It is helpful if the individual is physically active through the day (though not within two hours of bedtime) to aid in physical exhaustion. Limit the use of the bed to sleep and sex only as this will create a positive association. The bed is not for watching TV, eating or doing homework. As patients often have a difficult time getting up in the morning, it is best to ask the individual what would be the best way for them to be approached. Strategies such as giving a sugar-free carbonated drink first thing in the morning (which causes the eyes to water and wakes a child up) or warming up the room (otherwise the room feels cold and the bed feels warm) have also been anecdotally tried.

Validated Treatments for Sleep Disturbance

The only over the counter preparation that has been tested in randomized, double blind trials for insomnia in children with ADHD is melatonin. Two trials demonstrated efficacy, one in combination with sleep hygiene²²¹ and one without sleep hygiene²²⁵. The CADDRA Guidelines Committee feels that this data demonstrating efficacy, safety and very low cost make this a first line intervention when initiation of sleep is a problem, either off or on medication. Melatonin 3-6 mg should be administered at least 30 minutes (up to 2-3 hours) before the desired bedtime and is a safe and effective way of treating initial insomnia associated with ADHD. We have no available information on the safety and efficacy of melatonin use long-term.

Non-validated Treatments for Sleep Disturbance

None of the following suggestions have been investigated proving their efficacy. More research is required. At all times, the patient should consult his/her doctor regarding these choices.

Dietary snacks that maybe sedating: Dietary interventions are often foods that are high in tryptophan, a common amino acid found in many foods including turkey, beans, rice, hummus, lentils, hazelnuts, peanuts, sesame seeds, sunflower seeds, tuna, soy milk, cow's milk and other dairy products. An example of an ideal bedtime snack is a peanut butter sandwich with ground sesame seeds and a glass of warm whole milk, consumed one hour before sleep.

Naturopathic remedies Valerian root (450-900 mg extract) has not been clinically investigated but is felt to be relatively safe and anecdotal reports suggest efficacy.

Other over-the-counter medications include Benadryl® (diphenhydramine) 50 mg before bed. Tolerance to these effects will occur with extended use.

Other Prescription Treatments for Sleep Disturbance

All other options should be prescribed by a physician

Sleep medications: These include hypnotic medications (i.e., promote physical tiredness) and anxiolytic medications (promote mental tiredness).

Hypnotic medications: Medications such as chloral hydrate (500 mg before bed) and zopiclone (7.5 mg before bed) induce sleep. There are no clinical trials on the use of zopiclone in children. Caution is advised.

Anxiolytics: The most common of the anxiolytics are the benzodiazepines. Benzodiazepines may have a disinhibiting quality in children and adolescents so should be used with caution, but are effectively used in adult patients without difficulty. The most common of these is lorazepam (1-2 mg before bed). Other medications include the high potency triazolobenzodiazepines like clonazepam and alprazolam that are sometimes used to treat significant anxiety disorders and mild depression.

Antidepressants: The older tricyclic antidepressants (e.g., imipramine or amitriptyline) have an antihistaminic effect that will induce sedation. Small doses between 25-50 mg are usually sufficient. Trazodone is more often used as a hypnotic for its sedative properties than as an antidepressant.

Neuroleptics: Atypical neuroleptics such as risperidone, olanzapine and quetiapine may have a sedative side effect. If there is concurrent aggression, these medications can be used to augment stimulant action and provide both sleep improvement and weight gain. Low doses are sufficient. Monitoring of metabolic changes, weight gain and extrapyramidal side effects are necessary.

Medication adjustment: It may be that the medication used for ADHD needs to be reevaluated if the sleep problems become overwhelming.

Problems With Appetite and Their Management

Many parents of ADHD complain that their children are "picky eaters". In addition, stimulant medication can further suppress appetite and often shifts the timing of food intake to periods of the day in which stimulant blood levels are waning. There is a long history of research on ADHD and nutrition. Early studies of the relationship between special diets, sugar, red food dye, food allergies and ADHD did not confirm that diet is a significant contributor to the etiology of ADHD²²⁶.

More recently there has been increasing interest in whether ADHD is associated with either delay in growth or poor nutrition^{13, 227-231}. Many parents are concerned that their children with ADHD are thin or small and, as a group, children with ADHD are slightly smaller than age-matched controls²³². Children who receive continuous stimulant medication are found to show growth deceleration as compared to children who do

not receive medication for up to three years, but it is not evident that they end up shorter than control children upon reaching maturity³⁶.

Several studies of the nutritional status of children with ADHD show deficits in zinc^{233, 234}, serum ferritin²³⁵, and general omega 3 fatty acids²³⁶, but the clinical implications of this are unclear. Parents who are concerned about their child not eating, eating too much junk food, or refusing to eat a particular food group may be helped if the physician takes the time to review dietary intake and gives strategies to encourage good nutrition. In particular, children with ADHD may not sit for long meals, may need to snack when medication wears off, and benefit from access to healthy snacks. Reliable randomized control trials demonstrating the impact of improved diet on ADHD are not available. There is some research suggesting the benefit of omega 3 fatty acids but the methodology is poor^{237, 238}. Common sense dictates that while improved sleep hygiene and sound nutrition are not likely to cure ADHD, sound sleep and nutrition would improve overall health and well being, and thus indirectly benefit behaviour and attention²³⁹⁻²⁴¹. More information is located in the section on Psychosocial Interventions (Chapter 6).

Strategies to Improve Caloric Intake

1. Offer parents a weight and height chart so they can monitor from a baseline any changes in these critical areas. Reassure parents that although the child may lose weight, this will stabilize, but the child's height percentiles will not change, though it is important to monitor them. This information helps to reduce parents' anxiety.
2. Supplemental strategies are often indicated, such as protein shakes or high calorie pre-made drinks (e.g., Boost®, Breakfast Anytime®, Ensure®). Because dry mouth can be a side effect of medication, the patient will have significant thirst. Allowing them to have frequent fluids throughout the day and high protein/high calorie drinks for lunch exclusively is usually sufficient to maintain their caloric needs. In the evenings, when there may be rebound appetite, supper can be spread out into two or three sessions to prevent gorging and stomach distress.
3. Let the child eat whatever they want for breakfast (even a peanut butter and jelly sandwich). Engage the child in meal preparation and in shopping for their favourite foods.
4. Switch to whole milk. Prepare nutritious snack foods that a child can graze on.
5. If there is familial short stature, the CAP-G Committee has suggested drug holidays.
6. Children should be encouraged to eat when they are hungry, especially early in the morning and in the evening.

Problems With Headaches and Their Management

Headache is a common side effect occurring in greater than 3% of patients who use medications for ADHD. Headache may or may not be accompanied by nausea or gastric irritation. Headache is most common within the first two to three hours of taking the medication and tends to be a tension-type headache, characterized by a constant ache as opposed to a vascular headache that has a throbbing sensation. Vascular headache can also occur but is more of a suggestion of activating pre-morbid migraine propensities.

Strategies to Improve Headaches

Treatments include mild analgesics such as acetaminophen or ASA. Headaches usually dissipate after the ADHD medication has been used at a set dose for one to three weeks.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENT 7D: POTENTIAL CARDIOVASCULAR RISKS OF ADHD MEDICATIONS

Robert Hamilton, MD, Division of Cardiology (Pediatrics), Hospital for Sick Children; Professor of Pediatrics, University of Toronto

Paul Dorian, MD, Division of Cardiology, St. Michael's Hospital; Professor of Medicine and Pharmacology, University of Toronto

Regulatory History

In May, 2006, Health Canada issued important safety information on ADHD medications which included the following warning:

ADHD drugs should be started at the lowest possible dose, and increased slowly, as individual patient response to these drugs is known to vary widely.

- ADHD drugs should not be used if a patient has: symptomatic cardiac disease; moderate to severe hypertension; advanced arteriosclerosis; or hyperthyroidism
- ADHD drugs should generally not be used in patients with known structural cardiac abnormalities
- Before prescribing an ADHD drug, it is important to be aware of whether the patient: has a family history of sudden death or death related to cardiac problems; participates in strenuous exercise; or takes other sympathomimetic drugs; as these are thought to be additional risk factors. In patients with relevant risk factors, and based on the physician's judgement, further evaluation of the cardiovascular system may be considered before starting on the drug
- Patients who are considered to need long-term treatment with ADHD drugs should undergo periodic evaluation of their cardiovascular status, based on the physician's judgement
- Patients taking drugs for the management of ADHD are being advised not to discontinue their medication without consultation with their physician
- Similar information will appear in the Information for the Patient materials for these drugs.

The complete article is available on the www.caddra.ca website and should be read by any clinician who is contemplating treatment in a patient they deem as being at risk. The CAP-G Committee enlisted the help of two prominent Canadian cardiology consultants to prepare some guidance in at-risk patients who have a combination of some prior history of cardiac problems and ADHD. The opinions are those of the consultants and were not peer-reviewed, but were written to provide guidance to physicians who need direction in complicated cases.

Clinical Recommendations

American Heart Association Recommendations for Monitoring

In the American Heart Association Scientific Statement: Cardiovascular Monitoring of Children and Adolescents Receiving Psychotropic Drugs (A Statement for Healthcare Professionals)^{242, 243}, the Committee on Congenital Cardiac Defects, Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young states that:

1. "Reports of sudden deaths of children and adolescents treated with psychotropic medications have

raised concerns regarding the appropriateness of this therapy, as well as the advisability of baseline and periodic electrocardiographic (ECG) monitoring of such patients."

2. "Stimulants such as the amphetamines and methylphenidate (Ritalin®) cause slight but clinically insignificant increases in heart rate and blood pressure."
3. "Clonidine, a widely used antihypertensive medication, has been associated with two deaths in patients who also received methylphenidate, but the mechanism for these deaths is unknown and may have been sudden cessation of treatment."

The American Heart Association Recommends:

1. Before therapy with psychotherapeutic agents is initiated, a careful history should be obtained with special attention to symptoms such as palpitations, syncope, or near syncope. Medication use (prescribed and over-the-counter) should be determined. The family history should be reviewed with reference to the long QT syndrome or other causes of sudden, unexplained death. Detection of these symptoms or risk factors warrants a cardiovascular evaluation by a pediatric cardiologist before initiation of therapy.
2. At follow-up visits, patients receiving psychotropic drug therapy should be questioned about the addition of any drugs and the occurrence of any of the above symptoms. The physical examination should include determination of heart rate and blood pressure.

Conclusions

1. Sudden death in the young is fortunately very rare (1.2 - 1.3/100,000 population). **Sudden death in the ADHD population occurs in similar proportion to the general population**, even if only 50% of cases have been reported. However, higher rates of under-reporting could be concealing an ADHD effect on sudden death, and rare deaths have occurred on the first day of administration.
2. **There are similar associated conditions** in patients with sudden death on ADHD medications to those with sudden death in the general population (structural heart disease, history of syncope, family history of sudden death, exercise triggering sudden death), and some of these clues can help to suspect a higher risk sudden death, whether in the untreated or treated population.
3. ECG abnormalities can identify some individuals in the general population at risk for sudden death, and has been recommended and implemented as a cost-effective screening tool in some at risk populations, such as competitive athletes. Cost-efficacy in the general school-age population is less clear and the usefulness of ECG screening in patients being treated with or considered for ADHD medications is unknown. There is no consensus here, and American Heart Association recommendations for ECG screening relate specifically to tricyclic antidepressant therapy or phenothiazine therapy. There is **no indication for ECG's** for the treatment of ADHD using stimulant medications (except in at-risk populations).
4. The small (but unproven) potential contribution of ADHD drugs to the rare incidence of sudden death in children and adolescents **must be weighed against the clinical benefit of the medication**. Risk/benefit should be discussed with the parent/patient as appropriate.
5. **Cardiac consultation should be considered in at-risk patients** with cardiac conditions. ADHD medications should only be considered after a thorough discussion of the risks and potential benefits with the patient, family and consultants.

Practice Point

Practical questions and answers: Management of patients with combined cardiovascular risk and concurrent ADHD.

Q: Is there a way to specifically know ahead of time the risk of sudden death in individual patients with ADHD, and the potential increase in risk in such patients following treatment?

A: It is not possible to accurately assess the magnitude of increases in risk with ADHD medications, or even if there is any increase in risk. However it is helpful to consider, for discussion purposes, some possible numbers to place these risks in perspective. If the risk of sudden death in an individual without evident structural heart disease is approximately 1 per 100 000 /year (age under 25), then even a 50% increase in risk would translate into an absolute increase of 0.5/100 000 deaths/year, or a 1/200 000 chance of death.

Q: Are there disorders where structural cardiac defects pose low risk?

A: Patients with cardiac conditions whose sudden death risk is only marginally elevated from the general population are likely at very low risk if taking ADHD medications. Risks/benefits should be discussed with the parent/patient as appropriate. Such conditions might include (but not be limited to) patients with: a) an asymptomatic or well-repaired atrial septal defect; b) a small or well-repaired ventricular septal defect; c) a well-repaired coarctation of the aorta, without hypertension or significant associated aortic valve disease and d) a mild or well-repaired pulmonary valve stenosis.

Q: What reasonable steps should be taken to ensure patients' cardiovascular safety before starting pharmacological therapy for ADHD?

A: As described above, patients and families should be questioned about a family history of sudden death, a history of loss of consciousness particularly with exercise, and a history of marked exercise intolerance. There is no proof that routine 12 lead ECGs are useful in screening in unselected patients, and most consultants do not recommend such screening unless there is a history or symptoms to suggest cardiac disease. During follow up, new onset syncope, severe dizziness, or exercise intolerance should be asked about, particularly in the early months of pharmacological treatment. If any of these symptoms occur, these should prompt a referral to a pediatrician or a cardiologist, and a consideration at least temporarily stopping the medication for ADHD.

If an ADHD pharmacological treatment is contemplated in a patient with previously known structural heart disease, or in a patient who has a personal or a family history of syncope or sudden death respectively, a pediatric or cardiologic consultation prior to ADHD pharmacological treatment is strongly advised.

It must be emphasized that in the average child or adolescent with ADHD, who has no cardiac symptoms, the risk of cardiac adverse events from ADHD medications is extremely low. On the other hand, a cautious and vigilant attitude with respect to the potential risks is highly advisable.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS 7E: OTHER MEDICATION INFORMATION

Long-Acting Medication Comparison Profiles

	Adderall XR®	Biphentin®	Concerta®	Novo-MPH-ER-C®	Strattera®	Vyvanse®
CLINICAL COMPARISONS						
Active Ingredient	Mixed Amphetamine Salts	MPH HCL	MPH HCL	MPH HCL	ATX	DEX
Canadian Indication	All age groups	All age groups	All age groups	A generic substitute for Concerta	All age groups	All age groups
Clinical Efficacy Studies	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
Long-acting Technology Used	Two beads	Multilayer Beads	Oros	Unknown	Blood level based	Pro-drug
Duration of effect	~12 hrs	10-12 hrs	10-12 hrs	Unknown	Continuous	13-14 hrs
(%IR/%LA) Delivery	50 /50	40 /60	22 /78	Unknown	Continuous	Continuous
Sprinkle Format	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	No	Dissolvable in water
Available Doses	6	8	4	4	7	5
Abuse Potential 	Low	Low	Very low	Likely high	None	Very low
Cost	\$\$	\$ + low doses but \$\$ higher doses	\$\$	\$	\$\$\$	\$\$
Controlled Substance	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes

MEDICATION COMPARISONS

Health Canada Indications (I) and CAP-Guideline Committee Recommendations (R)

	First Line Agents					Second Line or Adjunctive Agents				
	Adderall XR®	Biphentin®	Concerta®	Strattera®	Vyvanse®	Dexedrine®	Dexedrine® Spansules	MPH Generic	Ritalin®	Ritalin® SR
ADHD 6-12 y.o.	I/R	I/R	I/R	I/R	I/R	I/R	I/R	I/R	I/R	I/R
ADHD Adolescents	I/R	I/R	I/R	I/R	I/R	I/R	I/R	I/R	I/R	I/R
ADHD Adults	I/R	I/R	I/R	I/R	I/R	R	R	R	R	R
ADHD + anxiety	R ¹	R ¹	R ¹	R	R ¹	R ¹	R ¹	R ¹	R ¹	R ¹
ADHD + depression	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
ADHD + tics	R ²	R ²	R ²	R	R ²	R ²	R ²	R ²	R ²	R ²
ADHD + ODD	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R	R
ADHD + aggression	R	R	R	Unlikely benefit ^{3,4}	R	R	R	R	R	R
ADHD + sleep problems⁴	Unlikely benefit ^{3,4}	Unlikely benefit ^{3,4}	Unlikely benefit ^{3,4}	R	Unlikely benefit ^{3,4}	Unlikely benefit ^{3,4}	Unlikely benefit ^{3,4}	Unlikely benefit ^{3,4}	Unlikely benefit ^{3,4}	Unlikely benefit ^{3,4}
ADHD + substance abuse	R ⁵	R ⁵	R ⁵	R	R ⁵					

¹ CAP-G Committee recommendation only if ADHD symptoms warrant treatment, slow titration is recommended stimulant may either increase or decrease anxiety. For example, ADHD-Predominantly Inattentive patients may have anxiety as part of their profile.

² CAP-Guidelines Committee recommendation only if ADHD symptoms warrant treatment, although tics may be aggravated. In patients whose tics worsen with stimulant medication, a trial of Strattera® is suggested.

³ CAP-Guidelines Committee suggests that the medications noted are not contraindicated in these situations but unlikely to be of benefit for the comorbid condition.

⁴ CAP-Guidelines Committee advises that stimulant medication may exacerbate initial insomnia.

⁵ CAP-Guidelines Committee advises that stimulant medications should not be prescribed to patients with significant active substance abuse and dependence except by an expert in both disorders.

SIDE EFFECTS (PUBLISHED LITERATURE)

	First Line Agents						Second Line or Adjunctive Agents					
	Adderall XR®	Biphentin®	Concerta®	Strattera®	Vyvanse®	Dexedrine®	Dexedrine® Spansules	MPH Generic	Ritalin®	Ritalin® SR		
Appetite suppression	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Decrease in weight	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Initial insomnia	X	X	X	X ¹	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Somnolence				X								
Headache	X	X	X	X ²	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Dry mouth	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Rebound irritability	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X		
Nausea/Vomiting	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
GI upset	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Dizziness	X	X	X	X	X							
Anxiety	X	X	X	X ²	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Uncovering tics	X	X	X	possible	X	X	X	X	X	X		
BP + HR increase	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Constipation/Diarrhea	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Sexual dysfunction	minor			X	minor	minor	minor					
Dysphoria	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
Skin reactions	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		

¹ Clinically observed initial insomnia in adults reported by CAP-G Committee

² Clinically reported by CAP-G Committee

PHARMACOKINETICS

	First Line Agents					Second Line or Adjunctive Agents				
	Adderall XR®	Biphenitin®	Concerta®	Strattera®	Vyvanse®	Dexedrine®	Dexedrine® Spansules	MPH Generic	Ritalin®	Ritalin® SR
Protein binding	12-15%		8-15%	98%		12-15%	12-15%	8-15%	8-15%	8-15%
Peak Plasma Level	7H	2H, THEN 6H	1H, THEN 6-10H	1-2H	3.5 H	1-4H	6-10H	3.8-4.6H	0.3-4H	1-8H
Percent Immediately Released	50%	40%	22%	CONTINUOUS	CONTINUOUS	100%		100%	100%	
T ½	9H CHILD 10H ADULT	5H	3-5H	5H	9.6 H	6-8H	6-8H	2.5H	2.5H	3-4H
Duration of Action	~12H	10-12H	10-12H	24H	13-14 H	~4H	~6-8H	3-4H	3-5H	3-8H
Enzyme Inhibited				CYP2D6						
Controlled Substance	YES	YES	YES	NO	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Neurochemical	DA/NA	DA	DA	NA	DA/NA	DA/NA	DA/NA	DA	DA	DA

DA: Dopamine
NA: Noradrenaline

DRUG INTERACTIONS*

	First Line Agents					Second Line or Adjunctive Agents				
	Adderall XR®	Biphentlin®	Concerta®	Strattera®	Vyvanse®	Dexedrine®	Dexedrine® Spansules	MPH Generic	Ritalin®	Ritalin® SR
Acidifying Agents e.g., fruit juices	like Dexedrine®				like Adderall XR ¹	↓absorption ↑ elimination ↓plasma level				
Alkaline Agents e.g., sodium bicarb					like Adderall XR ²	↑ absorption ↓elimination ↑ half-life				
Analgesics e.g., Meperidine	↑ analgesic effect				may ↑ anal- gesic effect					
Antiarrhythmic e.g., Quinidine				↑ ATX						
Antiasthmatic				↑ HR with Nebulizer						
Antibacterial e.g., Linezolid		avoid	avoid				avoid	avoid	avoid	
Anticoagulation e.g., Warfarin		↑ effects of Warfarin	↑ effects of Warfarin					↑ effects of Warfarin		
Anticonvulsants e.g. Carbamazepine										
Phenobarbital					like Adderall XR -	synergistic effects				
Phenytoin	may ↑ effects of anti-epileptic	↑ effects of anti-epileptic	↑ effects of anti-epileptic		may ↑ effects of anti-epileptic					↑ effects of antiepileptic
Primidone										

¹ GI acidifying agents do not affect Vyvanse but urinary acidifying agents do

² GI alkalinizing agents (e.g. antacids) won't affect Vyvanse but urinary ones could

* Reviewed by the relevant pharmaceutical manufacturer, except for Glaxo Smith Klein, Novartis and Novopharm.

DRUG INTERACTIONS* (continued)

	First Line Agents					Second Line or Adjunctive Agents				
Antidepressants - MAOI e.g., Phenelzine	Adderall XR®	Biphenstin®	Concerta®	Strattera®	Vyvanse®	Dexedrine®	Dexedrine® Spansules	MPH Generic	Ritalin®	Ritalin® SR
	<p>‡ Noradrenaline - may lead to hypertensive crisis – do not combine</p> <p>‡ Noradrenaline - may lead to hypertensive crisis – do not combine</p>									
RIMA e.g., Moclobemide	combine	do not	‡ BP	‡ BP	do not combine	precaution		there may be an adjunctive quality; may ‡ BP		
SSRI e.g., Fluoxetine	‡ effects of SSRI	‡ effects of SSRI	‡ effects of SSRI	‡ ATX Plasma Concentration	‡ effects of SSRI	may be additive effects		‡ effects of SSRI		
TCA - Secondary e.g., Desipramine	may lead to ‡ levels of TCA or AMP	‡ effects of TCA	‡ effects of TCA	should not be used in combination	like Dexedrine®	may lead to ‡ levels of either TCA or amphetamine		may lead to ‡ levels of either TCA or amphetamine		
TCA - Tertiary e.g., Amitriptyline	TCA	TCA	TCA							
Antihypertensives Beta Blockers e.g., Propranolol	may ‡ hypotensive effects				may ‡ hypotensive effects					
Alpha 2 Agonists e.g., Clonidine	like Dexedrine®	Precaution	Precaution		like Dexedrine®	may ‡ hypotensive effects			precaution	
Antipsychotics e.g., Chlorpromazine, Haloperidol										
CNS Depressants Antihistamines e.g., Diphenhydramine	may inhibit central stimulant effect of AMP				may inhibit central stimulant effect of AMP		may antagonize sedative effects			

ATX= ATOMOXETINE

*Reviewed by the relevant pharmaceutical manufacturer, except for Glaxo Smith Klein, Novartis and Novopharm.

CONTRAINDICATIONS(C) OR PRECAUTIONS(P) (PUBLISHED LITERATURE)

	First Line Agents					Second Line or Adjunctive Agents					
	Adderall XR®	Biphentii®	Concerta®	Strattera®	Vyvanse®	Dexedrine®	Dexedrine® Spansules	MPH Generic	Ritalin®	Ritalin® SR	
Tic Disorders ¹	P	C	C		P	P	P	C	C	C	
Epilepsy	P	P	P		P	P	P	P	P	P	
Cardiac Disease ²	P/C	P/C	P/C	P/C	P/C	P/C	P/C	P/C	P/C	P/C	
Hepatic Disease											
Eating Disorders											
Psychosis	P	P	P	P	P	C	C	C	C	C	
Kidney Disease											
Glaucoma	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	
Hyperthyroidism	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	
Adrenal Tumours	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	P	
Asthma				P ³							
GI Obstruction			P								
Anxiety/Tension	P	C	C	P	P	P	P	C	C	C	

¹ Psychostimulant medications are used with precaution in tic spectrum disorders but the CAP-G Committee agrees that use can be indicated if there is sufficient impairment of the concurrent ADHD. In these cases, the medications for ADHD are often combined with other drugs for tics (e.g., atypical neuroleptics or alpha-2 agonists).

² Refer to Chapter 7, Supporting Document 7D.

³ Strattera may be used in combination with inhaled Beta2 agonists, e.g., salbutamol, but should be used with caution in patients being treated with systemically administered (oral or intravenous) Beta2 agonists, including salbutamol.

CADDRA ADHD ASSESSMENT TOOLKIT (CAAT) FORMS

It is recommended that physicians complete an assessment form (A), a screener (S) and at least one rating scale (R). For children, the CADDRA Teacher Assessment Form (T) is also suggested; for adults, a collateral rating scale is helpful. Follow-up forms (F) are also recommended but a baseline of the chosen should be carried out initially.

Physician Instructions

Weiss Symptom Record (WSR) Instructions	99
ADHD Checklist Instructions	105
SNAP-IV-26 Instructions	107
Adult ADHD Self Report Scale (ASRS) Instructions	109
Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale (WFIRS) Instructions	111

Assessment and Follow-Up Forms

CADDRA ADHD Assessment Form (A)	87
Weiss Symptom Record (S)	100
ADHD Checklist (R) (F)	106
SNAP-IV 26 Teacher and Parent Rating Scale (R)	108
Adult ADHD Self-Report Sale (for adults) (R)	110
Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale – Self Report (R)	112
Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale – Parent Report (R)	115
CADDRA Teacher Assessment Form (for children/adolescents) (T)	117
CADDRA Clinician ADHD Baseline/Follow-Up Form (F)	120
CADDRA Clinician Patient ADHD Medication Form	121

CHILD/ADOLESCENT TOOLKIT		ADULT TOOLKIT	
Assessment and Follow-Up Forms		Assessment and Follow-Up Forms	
CADDRA ADHD Assessment Form	87	CADDRA ADHD Assessment Form	87
Weiss Symptom Record (WSR)	100	Weiss Symptom Record (WSR)	100
ADHD Checklist	106	ADHD Checklist	106
SNAP-IV-26	108	Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS)	110
Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale – Parent Report (WFIRS-P)	115	Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale – Self Report (WFIRS-S)	112
CADDRA Teacher Assessment Form	117	Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale – Parent Report (WFIRS-P)	115
CADDRA Clinician ADHD Baseline/Follow-Up Form (F)	120	CADDRA Clinician ADHD Baseline/Follow-Up Form (F)	120
CADDRA Patient ADHD Medication Form	121	CADDRA Patient ADHD Medication Form	121
Handouts		Handouts	
CADDRA ADHD Information and Resources	125	CADDRA ADHD Information and Resources	125
CADDRA Child Assessment Instructions	129	CADDRA Adult Assessment Instructions	132
CADDRA Adolescent Assessment Instructions	130		
CADDRA Teachers Instructions	131		



Patient Name:

Date of Birth:

Physician Name:

MRN/File No:

Date:

CADDRA ADHD ASSESSMENT FORM

Identifying Information

Patient:		Date of Birth:	Date seen:
Age:	Gender: <input type="checkbox"/> m <input type="checkbox"/> f	Grade (actual/last completed):	
Current Occupation: <input type="checkbox"/> student <input type="checkbox"/> unemployed <input type="checkbox"/> disability occupation:			
Status: <input type="checkbox"/> child/adolescent <i>OR</i> <input type="checkbox"/> adult <input type="checkbox"/> single <input type="checkbox"/> married <input type="checkbox"/> common-law <input type="checkbox"/> separated <input type="checkbox"/> divorced			
Ethnic Origin: (<i>check all that apply</i>) <input type="checkbox"/> Caucasian <input type="checkbox"/> Asian <input type="checkbox"/> Hispanic <input type="checkbox"/> African-American <input type="checkbox"/> Native			
Other person providing collateral:			Patient's phone no:

Demographics

	Biological Father (if known)	Biological Mother (if known)	Spouse/Partner (if applicable)
Name			
Occupation			
Highest education			
Number of biological and/or half siblings:			
	Stepfather (if applicable)	Stepmother (if applicable)	Other Guardian (if applicable)
Name			
Occupation			
Highest education			
Number of step-siblings:			
Custody (circle custodial parent)	Time with bio Father	Time with bio Mother	Time with step family
Language	At home: <input type="checkbox"/> English <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____ <input type="checkbox"/> At school _____		
Children (if applicable)	Number of biological:		Number of step children:
Names and ages			

Patient Name:	
Date of Birth:	MRN/File No:
Physician Name:	Date:

Medical History

Allergies: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (Details):
Cardiovascular medical history: <input type="checkbox"/> hypertension <input type="checkbox"/> tachycardia <input type="checkbox"/> arrhythmia <input type="checkbox"/> dyspnoea <input type="checkbox"/> fainting <input type="checkbox"/> chest pain on exertion <input type="checkbox"/> other
Specific cardiovascular risk identified: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (Details):
Positive lab or EKG findings:

Positive medical history:	<input type="checkbox"/> In utero exposure to nicotine, alcohol or drugs	<input type="checkbox"/> Stigmata of FAS/FAE	<input type="checkbox"/> History of anoxia/perinatal complications
<input type="checkbox"/> Developmental delays	<input type="checkbox"/> Coordination problems	<input type="checkbox"/> Cerebral palsy	<input type="checkbox"/> Lead poisoning
<input type="checkbox"/> Neurofibromatosis	<input type="checkbox"/> Myotonic dystrophy	<input type="checkbox"/> Other genetic syndrome	<input type="checkbox"/> Hearing/visual problems
<input type="checkbox"/> Thyroid disorder	<input type="checkbox"/> Diabetes	<input type="checkbox"/> Growth delay	<input type="checkbox"/> Anemia
<input type="checkbox"/> Traumatic brain injury	<input type="checkbox"/> Seizures	<input type="checkbox"/> Enuresis	<input type="checkbox"/> Injuries
<input type="checkbox"/> Sleep apnea	<input type="checkbox"/> Tourette's/tics	<input type="checkbox"/> Enlarged adenoids or tonsils	<input type="checkbox"/> Asthma
<input type="checkbox"/> Sleep disorders	<input type="checkbox"/> Secondary symptoms to medical causes	<input type="checkbox"/> Medical complications of drug/alcohol use	
Other/details:			

Medication History

Extended health insurance: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (Details):			
<input type="checkbox"/> Public <input type="checkbox"/> Private insurance Coverage for psychological treatment: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes			
Adherence to treatment/attitude towards medication: Difficulty swallowing pills: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (If applicable) Contraception: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes (Details):			
Current medications	Dose	Duration Rx	Outcome and side effects
Previous medications	Dose	Duration Rx	Outcome and side effects

Patient Name:

Date of Birth:

Physician Name:

MRN/File No:

Date:

Physical Examination

Practice guidelines around the world recognize the necessity of a physical exam as part of an assessment for ADHD in order to rule out organic causes of ADHD, rule out somatic sequelae of ADHD, and rule out contraindications to medications. While this physical exam follows all the usual procedures, several specific evaluations are required. These include, but are not limited to:

Rule out medical causes of ADHD-like symptoms

- Hearing and vision assessment
- Thyroid disease
- Neurofibromatosis (cafe au lait spots)
- Any potential cause of anoxia (asthma, CF, cardiovascular disease)
- Genetic syndromes and facial or dysmorphic characteristics
- Fetal alcohol syndrome: growth retardation, small head circumference, smaller eye openings, flattened cheekbones and indistinct philtrum (underdeveloped groove between nose and upper lip)
- Physical abuse: unset fractures, burn marks, unexplained injuries
- Sleep disorders: enlarged tonsils and adenoids, difficulty breathing, sleep apnea
- Growth delay or failure to thrive
- PKU, heart disease, epilepsy and unstable diabetes can all be associated with attention problems
- Head trauma.

Medical history/lab work provides information on maternal drinking in pregnancy, sleep apnea, failure to thrive, lead poisoning, traumatic brain injury.

Rule out sequelae of ADHD

- Abuse
- High pain threshold
- Irregular sleep, delayed sleep phase, short sleep cycle
- Comorbid developmental coordination disorder, evidenced by motor difficulties in doing routine tasks such as getting on the exam table
- Picky eater: will not sit to eat
- Evidence of injuries from poor coordination or engagement in extreme sports

Rule out contraindications to medication:

- Glaucoma
- Uncontrolled hypertension
- Any evidence of significant cardiovascular abnormality

Date of last physical exam:	By who:
Abnormal findings last exam:	

Current Physical Exam

System	Done		Normal		Findings (Details of Abnormality)
	No	Yes	No	Yes	
Skin	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
ENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Respiratory	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
GI and GU	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cerebrovascular	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Musculoskeletal	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Immunol. & Hematological	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Neurological	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Endocrinological	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Dysmorphic facial features	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Weight: In children: percentile	Height: In children: percentile	Head Circum: (In children only)	BP:	Pulse:
---	---	---	------------	---------------

Positive Findings on Observation: (Details)
--

Psychiatric History

Assessed in childhood/adolescence/adulthood? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes		By whom:
Previous diagnoses:		
Previous suicidal attempts or violent gestures toward others: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Details:	
Psychological treatments: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes		
Previous psychiatric evaluation/hospitalization: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes		

Developmental History

Pregnancy Problems: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Delivery <input type="checkbox"/> on time <input type="checkbox"/> Early (# of weeks: _____) <input type="checkbox"/> Late (# of weeks: _____) <input type="checkbox"/> forceps used <input type="checkbox"/> Caesarean section <input type="checkbox"/> breech	Details:
Difficulties gross motor: crawl, walk, two-wheeler, gym, sports: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Difficulties Fine motor: tracing, shoe laces, printing, writing: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Language difficulties: first language, first words, full sentences, stuttering <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Odd behaviours noted: (e.g. rocking, flapping, no eye contact, odd play, head banging etc) <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	
Temperament: (eg. difficult, willful, hyper, easy, quiet, happy, affectionate, calm, self soothes, intense)	
Parent description of child's temperament:	
Learning Disorder identified: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> dyslexia <input type="checkbox"/> dysorthographia <input type="checkbox"/> dyscalculia <input type="checkbox"/> dsyphasia <input type="checkbox"/> other: _____	

Family History in First Degree Relatives

Childhood temperament of the biological parents, if known: (e.g. internalizing versus externalizing)	
Father:	Mother:
Positive family history of:	
<input type="checkbox"/> ADHD (probable) <input type="checkbox"/> ADHD (confirmed) <input type="checkbox"/> Learning Disorders <input type="checkbox"/> Mental Retardation <input type="checkbox"/> Autism Spectrum Disorders <input type="checkbox"/> Congenital Disorders <input type="checkbox"/> Anxiety <input type="checkbox"/> Depression <input type="checkbox"/> Bipolar <input type="checkbox"/> Psychosis <input type="checkbox"/> Personality Disorders <input type="checkbox"/> Suicide <input type="checkbox"/> Sleep Disorders <input type="checkbox"/> Tourette's/Tics <input type="checkbox"/> Epilepsy <input type="checkbox"/> Alcohol/Drug Problems <input type="checkbox"/> Legal Convictions	
<input type="checkbox"/> History of early cardiac death	<input type="checkbox"/> Known arrhythmias <input type="checkbox"/> Hypertension
Details:	

Functioning and Lifestyle Evaluation

General Habits (depending on the subject's age, some may not apply). Give frequency and/or details:			
Exercise			
Nutrition			
Self care, personal hygiene			
Adequate leisure activity			
Sleep Routine and Quality of Sleep	Bedtime: # Sleep hours:	Time to fall asleep: Melatonin: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Dose:	Wake up time:
Sleep Problems? (BEARS)	Bedtime resistance: Excessive daytime sleepiness: Awakening:	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Regularity: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes Snoring: <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes

Important Risk Factors to Identify

Identified Risk Factor	No	Yes	Details and Attitude towards Change
Excessive time on computer, TV, video games	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Repetitive accidents or injuries	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Extreme sports (e.g. motorcycle, snowboarding, skateboarding, racing)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Energy drinks, caffeine	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Nicotine (e.g. including cigarettes, cigars, chewing tobacco)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Alcohol (e.g. binge drinking, blackouts, seizures, DUI, complaints)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Drug use/abuse (e.g. cannabis, acid, mushrooms, cocaine, stimulants, heroin, abuse of prescription drugs)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Financial impulse (e.g. gambling, shopping, stock trading, real estate failure)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Financial risk (e.g. bankruptcy, poor money management, significant debt)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Driving problems (e.g. speeding, lost license, accidents, violations)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Relationship risks (e.g. lack of commitment, infidelity, unprotected sex)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Parenting problems (e.g. Children's Aid, inconsistent parenting, overwhelmed)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Disciplinary or legal action(s)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Current Functioning at Home (depending on age, some may not apply). Give frequency and/or details:	
Family/patient strengths	
Stressors within the family	Past:
	Present:
Family atmosphere	
Morning routine	
Attitudes towards chores (adult: doing housework)	
Attitudes towards rules (adult: able to set/follow rules)	
Engagement in family fun	
Discipline in the family (adult: parenting abilities)	
Relationship to siblings (adult: partner relationship)	
Parent/spouse frustrations	

Social Functioning (depending on age, some may not apply). Give frequency and/or details:	
Patient's strengths:	
Hobbies, activities	
Friends (e.g. play dates, parties, social events)	
Social skills (e.g. social cues compassion, empathy)	
Humour	
Anger management (e.g. aggression, bullying)	
Emotional intelligence (e.g. emotional control, awareness)	
Sexual identity	

Functioning at School (if not at school, indicate where academic history took place and if there were difficulties)		
School name	<input type="checkbox"/> English Second Language <input type="checkbox"/> Individual Education Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Specialized Class <input type="checkbox"/> Specialized Designation Details:	
	Kindergarten to Grade 8	High School
Report card grades		
Report card comments		
Behaviour problems		
Peer relations		
Teacher-child relationships		
Teacher-parent relationships		
Homework attitudes		
Organizational skills		
Achieving potential/difficulties		
Written output		
Accommodations		
Tutoring and/or Learning assistance		
Assistive Technology		
College/University		
Accommodations		
Achieving potential/difficulties		

Functioning at Work (depending on the subject's age, some may not apply) Frequency and/or details:	
Current employment status:	<input type="checkbox"/> FT <input type="checkbox"/> PT <input type="checkbox"/> Unemployed <input type="checkbox"/> Self-employed <input type="checkbox"/> Contract <input type="checkbox"/> Disability
Vocational Assessment:	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes If yes, suitable jobs:
# of past jobs:	Length of longest employment:
Work strengths:	
Work weaknesses:	
Complaints:	
Workplace accommodations:	
Other information about work:	

RATING SCALES: Administer one or more of the relevant rating scales to the parent, teacher or patient

STEP ONE: Check the ADHD scale(s) used

ADHD symptoms in childhood:	<input type="checkbox"/> ADHD Checklist	<input type="checkbox"/> SNAP-IV	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
Current ADHD symptoms:	<input type="checkbox"/> ADHD Checklist <input type="checkbox"/> SNAP- IV (for children)	<input type="checkbox"/> Weiss Symptom Record (WSR) <input type="checkbox"/> ASRS (for adults)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

The ADHD Checklist can retrospectively be used to assess childhood ADHD symptoms (in adults), for current symptoms and for follow-up (all ages)

STEP TWO: Fill in the result of the scale

SYMPTOM SCREENER (enter the number of positive items for each category, circle the box if the threshold was met or if ODD or CD is a concern)								
Retrospective Childhood symptom screen	IA	/9	HI	/9	ODD	/8	CD*	/15
Current								
Parent	IA	/9	HI	/9	ODD	/8	CD*	/15
Self	IA	/9	HI	/9	ODD	/8	CD*	/15
Teacher	IA	/9	HI	/9	ODD	/8	CD*	/15
Collateral	IA	/9	HI	/9	ODD	/8	CD*	/15
Other comorbid dx*								

* Conduct disorder and other comorbid disorder only applies to the WSR

FOR ADULTS: The Adult ADHD Self Report Rating Scale (ASRS) can be used for current ADHD symptoms, part A being the screener section

ADULT ADHD SELF REPORT RATING SCALE (ASRS) (record the number of positive items for Part A and Part B, circle the box where threshold is made)			
Part A (Threshold > 4)	/6	Part B	/12

STEP THREE: Administer the Weiss Functional Inventory Rating Scale (WFIRS)

WEISS FUNCTIONAL INVENTORY RATING SCALE (WFIRS) (record the number of items rated 2 or 3, circle the boxes where you perceive a problem)														
Parent	Family	/10	School (learning)	/4	(behaviour)	/6	Life Skills	/10	Self	/3	Social	/7	Risk	/10
Self	Family	/8	Work	/11	School	/10	Life Skills	/12	Self	/5	Social	/9	Risk	/14

OTHER SCALES	

Psychometric Evaluation – Done? <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> Requested						Date(s) of Testing:					
Intelligence Tests Score: <input type="checkbox"/> marked below <input type="checkbox"/> above average						<input type="checkbox"/> borderline <input type="checkbox"/> marked above		<input type="checkbox"/> low average <input type="checkbox"/> superior		<input type="checkbox"/> average	
WISC or WAIS (%ile or scaled score)	Verbal Comprehension	Perceptual Reasoning	Working Memory	Processing Speed	Older IQ tests used %ile/IQ Full Scale IQ Verbal IQ Performance IQ						
Achievement tests Score: -2 (>2 yrs below) -1 (1-2 yrs below) 0 (grade level) +1 (1-2 yrs above) +2 (>2 yrs above)											
Grade level:		Reading		Spelling		Math		Writing			

MENTAL STATUS EXAMINATION (clinical observations of the interview)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

(This allows a clinician reflect on the global collection of information in readiness for the diagnosis, feedback and treatment)

Item of Relevance	N/A	Does not indicate ADHD	Marginally indicates ADHD	Strongly indicates ADHD	Comments
Symptoms of ADHD in childhood	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Current ADHD symptoms	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Collateral information	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Clinical observation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Family history of diagnosed first degree relatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Review of school report cards	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Previous psychiatric assessments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Psychometric/psychological assessments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
	N/A	Suggesting an alternative explanation is better	ADHD is possible but other factors relevant	ADHD is still the best explanation of findings	Comments
In utero exposure to substances	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Neonatal insult	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Infant temperament	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Developmental milestones	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Psychosocial stressors before 12					
Accidents and injuries (particularly head injury)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Major trauma before age 12 (e.g. abuse-physical, sexual, neglect)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Substance use history	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other psychiatric problems	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other medical problems	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

DIAGNOSIS

Note: This table helps the clinician understand how the DSM-IV-TR records axial information

Axis I: Actual diagnosis and any learning disabilities

Axis II: Mental retardation, developmental delay and any personality disorders (traits if sub-threshold for an actual disorder)

Axis III: Any medical disorders or any past medical disorders that might be important to note

Axis IV: Severity of psychosocial stressors: Name the stressors and indicate their severity from Mild, Moderate, Severe

Axis V: Global Assessment of Functioning: This is a number given (from the table below) that helps to monitor functioning over time.
This is a quick way of being able to record clinical progress.

Axis V	CGAS Anchor Points	Score
91-100	Superior functioning in all aspects of life; active, likeable, confident	
90-81	Good functioning in school, home, peers, transient everyday worries have mild reaction	
80-71	Slight impairment in school, home or peers, transient behaviour and emotional reaction	
70-61	Difficulty in an area of life but functioning well (mood change, sporadic anti-social act)	
60-51	Variable functioning and sporadic difficulties in several areas of life, apparent to others	
50-41	Moderate interference in functioning or severe impairment in school, home or peers	
40-31	Major impairment; unable to function in one area (suicide attempt, persistent aggression, marked withdrawal and isolation, severe mood or thought disturbance)	
30-21	Unable to function in life, severe impairment in communication and reality testing	
20-11	Needs supervision to be safe and for self-care, gross impairment in communication	
10-0	Needs 24 hour supervision for severe aggressive, self-destructive behaviour, affect, thought, reality testing, communication impairment.	

Diagnosis following DSM:

Axis I: DSM Diagnoses

Axis II: Personality/Developmental delay

Axis III: Medical conditions

Axis IV: Stressors (mild, moderate, severe)

Axis V: Global Assessment of Functioning

Important Lifestyle Issues:

Treatment Plan

Patient Name: _____ MRN/File No.: _____

	N/A	To Do	Done	Referred to and comments/Details
Psychoeducation				
Patient Education	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Parent Education	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Info to School	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Handouts	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Medical				
Physical Exam	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
CV Exam	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Baseline Ratings	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Lab Investigation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Pharmacological Interventions				
Review Medication Options	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Medication Treatment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Non Pharmacological Interventions				
Psychological Testing	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Social Skills Management	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Anger Management	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Addiction Management	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Therapy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Cognitive Behaviour Therapy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Parent Training	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
OT Referral	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Speech Therapy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Educational & Vocational				
Psychoeducational Assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Special Education/Accommodations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Vocational Assessments	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Workplace Accommodations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Completion of Special Forms				
CRA Tax Credits	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Insurance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Physician Signature: _____ Date: _____
 Copy sent to: _____ Fax No: _____

Weiss Symptom Record (WSR) Instructions

Purpose

- To collect systematic information from the patient and other informants about various disorders, including learning, developmental and personality difficulties
- To serve as a cross check to assist clinicians in focusing their mental status, assuring that they do not miss relevant but unusual comorbidities, and in differentiating disorders which have significant symptom overlap
- This screener is not 'diagnostic'.

Unique Characteristics

- Since this symptom record can be completed by any informant, it enables a rapid comparison of symptom profiles across settings
- Items scored as 'pretty much' or 'very much' are in shaded columns so that quick scanning of the screener enables rapid identification of problematic symptom groupings
- Items are translated into simple language for ease of use
- Item selection attempted to assure not only sensitivity to identification of comorbid disorders, but also selection of items that would assist in differentiating those symptoms that are specific to one disorder and assist in differentiating it from another overlapping problem
- The formulation of items on the Weiss Symptom Record was based on DSM-IV criteria.¹

Scoring

This is not a psychometrically validated instrument but a clinical record of the DSM-IV criteria for various disorders. The DSM-IV criteria for diagnosis for each disorder are listed in the column labelled 'Diagnosis'. Answers should be scored as follows: Not at all = 0, Somewhat = 1, Pretty Much = 2, Very Much = 3.

Copyright Information

©University of British Columbia 2011. Any part of the WSR document may be freely reproduced without obtaining the permission of the copyright owner, provided that no changes whatsoever are made to the text and provided that this copyright notice is included in its entirety in any and all copies of this document.

¹ In the development of this screener DSM-IV diagnostic criteria were used with permission of the American Psychiatric Press.

Patient Name:

Date of Birth:

Physician Name:

MRN/File No:

Date:

Weiss Symptom Record (WSR)

<i>Instructions to Informant: Check the box that best describes typical behavior</i> <i>Instructions to Physician: Symptoms rated 2 or 3 are positive and total count completed below</i>	Not at all (0)	Somewhat (1)	Pretty much (2)	Very much (3)	N/A	# items scored 2 or 3 (DSM Criteria)
ADHD COMBINED TYPE 314.01						≥6/9 IA & HI
ATTENTION 314.00						
Fails to give close attention to details, careless mistakes						
Difficulty sustaining attention in tasks or fun activities						
Does not seem to listen when spoken to directly						
Does not follow through on instructions and fails to finish work						
Difficult organizing tasks and activities						
Avoids tasks that require sustained mental effort (boring)						
Losing things						
Easily distracted						
Forgetful in daily activities						/9 (≥6/9)
HYPERACTIVE/IMPULSIVE 314.01						
Fidgety or squirms in seat						
Leaves seat when sitting is expected						
Feels restless						
Difficulty in doing fun things quietly						
Always on the go or acts as if "driven by a motor"						
Talks excessively						
Blurts answers before questions have been completed						
Difficulty awaiting turn						
Interrupting or intruding on others						/9 (≥6/9)
OPPOSITIONAL DEFIANT DISORDER 313.81						
Loses temper						
Argues with adults						
Actively defies or refuses to comply with requests or rules						
Deliberately annoys people						
Blames others for his or her mistakes or misbehaviour						
Touchy or easily annoyed by others						
Angry or resentful						
Spiteful or vindictive						/8 (≥4/8)

	Not at all (0)	Somewhat (1)	Pretty much (2)	Very much (3)	N/A	Diagnoses
CONDUCT DISORDER 312.8						SEVERITY
Bullies, threatens, or intimidates others						
Initiates physical fights						
Has used a weapon (bat, brick, bottle, knife, gun)						
Physically cruel to people						
Physically cruel to animals						
Stolen while confronting a victim						
Forced someone into sexual activity						
Fire setting with the intent of damage						
Deliberately destroyed others' property						
Broken into a house, building, or car						
Often lies to obtain goods or benefits or avoid obligations						
Stealing items of nontrivial value without confronting victim						
Stays out at night despite prohibitions						
Run away from home overnight at least twice						
Truant from school						/15(≥3/15)
ANXIETY						
Worries about health, loved ones, catastrophe						300.02
Unable to relax; nervous						300.81
Chronic unexplained aches and pains						300.30
Repetitive thoughts that make no sense						
Repetitive rituals						300.01
Sudden panic attacks with intense anxiety						300.23
Excessively shy						
Refusal to do things in front of others						309.21
Refusal to go to school, work or separate from others						300.29
Unreasonable fears that interfere with activities						312.39
Pulls out hair, eyebrows						
Nail biting, picking						
Refusal to talk in public, but talks at home						mutism
DEPRESSION 296.2 (single) .3 (recurrent)						
Has been feeling sad, unhappy or depressed	Yes		No			Must be present
No interest or pleasure in life	Yes		No			Must be present
Feels worthless						
Has decreased energy and less productive						
Hopeless and pessimistic about the future						
Excessive feelings of guilt or self blame						
Self-injurious or suicidal thoughts						
Social withdrawal						
Weight loss or weight gain						
Change in sleep patterns						≥5/9>2wks

	Not at all (0)	Somewhat (1)	Pretty much (2)	Very much (3)	N/A	Diagnoses
DEPRESSION (CONT'D)						SEVERITY
Agitated or sluggish, slowed down						
Decreased concentration or indecisiveness						
Past suicide attempts	#	Serious				
MANIA 296.0(manic) .6(mixes) .5(depressed)						
Distinct period of consistent elevated or irritable mood	Yes		No	Must be present		
Grandiose, sudden increase in self esteem						
Decreased need for sleep						
Racing thoughts						
Too talkative and speech seems pressured						
Sudden increase in goal directed activity, agitated						≥3 >1wk
High risk activities (spending money, promiscuity)						/3 (≥3)
SOCIAL SKILLS 299						
Makes poor eye contact or unusual body language						
Failure to make peer relationships						
Lack of spontaneous sharing of enjoyment						
Lacks reciprocity or sensitivity to emotional needs of others						
Language delay or lack of language communication						
Difficulty communicating, conversing with others						
Speaks in an odd, idiosyncratic or monotonous speech						
Lack of creative, imaginative play or social imitation						
Intensely fixated on one particular interest						
Rigid sticking to nonfunctional routines or rituals						
Preoccupied with objects and parts of objects						
Repetitive motor mannerisms (hand flapping, spinning)						
PSYCHOSIS 295						
Has disorganized, illogical thoughts						
Hears voices or sees things						
Conviction that others are against or will hurt them						
People can read their thoughts, or vice versa						
Belief that the television is talking specifically to them						
A fixed belief that is out of touch with reality						
Thought sequence does not make sense						
SUBSTANCE ABUSE						
Excessive alcohol (> 2 drinks/day, > 4 drinks at once)						305
Smokes cigarettes						
Daily marijuana use						
Use of any other street drugs						
Abuse of prescription drugs						

	Not at all (0)	Somewhat (1)	Pretty much (2)	Very much (3)	N/A	Diagnoses
SLEEP DISORDERS 307.4						SEVERITY
Agitated or sluggish, slowed down						
Has difficulty falling asleep						
Has difficulty staying asleep						
Has abnormal sleep patterns during the day						347
Unanticipated falling asleep during the day						307.4
Sleep walking						307.4
Has nightmares						307.45
Falls asleep late and sleeps in late						3.27
Sleep schedule changes from day to day						
Excessive snoring						
A feeling of restless legs while trying to sleep						
Observed to have sudden kicking while asleep						780.57
Observed to have difficulty breathing at night						
ELIMINATION DISORDERS 307						
Wets the bed at night						
Wets during the day						
Soils self						
EATING DISORDERS 307						
Vomits after meals or bingeing						
Underweight and refuses to eat						307.1
Distorted body image						
Picky eater						
High junk food diet						
LEARNING DISABILITIES 315						
Delayed expressive language						
Stuttering						
Problems articulating words						315
Below grade level in reading						315.1
Below grade level in math						315.2
Trouble with writing (messy, tiring, avoids writing)						
Variable performance in school						
Underachieves at school relative to potential						315.4
DEVELOPMENTAL COORDINATION DISORDER						
Difficulty with gross motor skills (i.e. gym, sports, biking)						
Clumsy						
Difficulty with fine motor (buttons, shoe laces, cutting)						

	Not at all (0)	Somewhat (1)	Pretty much (2)	Very much (3)	N/A	Diagnoses
PERSONALITY 301						SEVERITY
Unstable interpersonal relationships						
Frantic efforts to avoid abandonment						
Recurrent suicidal ideation or attempts						
Intense anger						
Major mood swings						BPD 301.83
Impulsive self destructive or self injurious behavior						
Fragile identity or self image						
Chronic feelings of emptiness						
Transient stress related dissociation or paranoia						/9 (≥5/9)
Self centred or entitled						NPD 301.81
Deceitful, aggressive, or lack of remorse						ASP 301.7
COMMENTS:						

ADHD=attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; IA=inattentive subtype; HI=hyperactive impulsive subtype; BPD=borderline personality disorder; NPD=narcissistic personality disorder; ASP=antisocial personality disorder.

Reprinted with permission from the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Health Disorders, Text Revision (Copyright 2000). American Psychiatric Association.

©University of British Columbia 2011. Any part of this document may be freely reproduced without obtaining the permission of the copyright owner, provided that no changes whatsoever are made to the text and provided that this copyright notice is included in its entirety in any and all copies of this document.

ADHD Checklist Instructions

Scoring Instructions

The ADHD Checklist is a list of the nine DSM items of attention and the nine DSM items of hyperactivity/impulsivity. Attention and impulsive-hyperactive items are grouped together so that the clinician can easily differentiate with a glance which area is primarily impaired. The number of items rated pretty much (2) or very much (3) are an indication that these areas are clinically problematic. Add up the numbers of clinically significant items and determine whether the client has met the threshold which is stated in next to the section heading (e.g. Attention > 6/9). If physicians are suspect but are unsure of whether ADHD is a possibility, the Checklist can be completed in the waiting room prior to assessment.

Comparison to Other Scales

The items are also almost identical to those of the SNAP-IV scale, with the exception that the statement "Often ..." and then rating frequency as sometimes, often or very often has been deleted. Items have also been made generic enough to be appropriate to all age groups and so that they can be completed by any informant and for the past or present. The correlation between the DSM-IV checklists is very high (>.8). Therefore, if a clinician wishes to use an alternative checklist, the rating of number of positive items can be entered into the assessment form in the same way, noting the checklist used.

If Only ADHD

The items on the ADHD Checklist are identical with the attention, hyperactive, and oppositional items at the beginning of the Weiss Symptom Record. This is so that the WSR can be given at baseline, but if the primary disorder is ADHD, follow-up assessments can be done by just using the Checklist and allowing for comparison.

The Checklist Used by Other Informants

The Checklist can also be completed to identify ADHD in adults in childhood, or completed by a collateral informant as well as the patient.



Patient Name:

Date of Birth:

Physician Name:

MRN/File No:

Date:

ADHD CHECKLIST

Retrospective assessment of childhood symptoms

Current symptoms

Current medication: _____

<i>SYMPTOMS: Check the appropriate box</i>	Not at all (0)	Somewhat (1)	Pretty much (2)	Very much (3)	Diagnoses
ATTENTION 314.00 (≥6/9)	SEVERITY				TOTAL
Fails to give close attention to details, careless mistakes					
Difficulty sustaining attention in tasks or fun activities					
Does not seem to listen when spoken to directly					
Does not follow through on instructions and fails to finish work					
Difficulty organizing tasks and activities					
Avoids tasks that require sustained mental effort (boring)					
Losing things					
Easily distracted					_/9
Forgetful in daily activities					≥6/9
HYPERACTIVE/IMPULSIVE 314.01 (≥6/9)					
Fidgety or squirms in seat					
Leaves seat when sitting is expected					
Feels restless					
Difficulty in doing fun things quietly					
Always on the go or acts as if "driven by a motor"					
Talks excessively					
Blurts answers before questions have been completed					
Difficulty awaiting turn					≥6/9
Interrupting or intruding on others					_/9
OPPOSITIONAL DEFIANT DISORDER 313.81 (>4/8)					
Loses temper					
Argues with adults					
Actively defies or refuses to comply with requests or rules					
Deliberately annoys people					
Blames others for his or her mistakes or misbehavior					
Touchy or easily annoyed by others					
Angry or resentful					≥4/8
Spiteful or vindictive					_/8
COMMENTS					

SNAP-IV 26 RATING SCALE: SCORING INSTRUCTIONS

The SNAP-IV is a revision of the Swanson, Nolan and Pelham (SNAP) questionnaire (Swanson et al. 1983). The items from the DSM-IV criteria for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) are included for the two following subsets of symptoms: inattention (items 1 to 9) and hyperactivity/impulsivity (items 10 to 18). The scale also includes the DSM-IV criteria for Oppositional Defiant Disorder (items 19 to 26) since this is often present in children with ADHD.

The SNAP-IV is based on a 0 to 3 rating scale: Not at all = 0, Just a little = 1, Often = 2, and Very often = 3. Sub scale scores on the SNAP-IV are calculated by summing the scores on the subset and dividing by the number of items in the subset. The score for any subset is expressed as the Average Rating-Per-Item, as shown for ratings on the ADHD-Inattentive (ADHD-I) subset:

	Not at all (0)	Just a little (1)	Often (2)	Very often (3)	Score
1. Makes careless mistakes			*		2
2. Difficulty sustaining attention				*	3
3. Does not listen				*	3
4. Fails to finish work			*		2
5. Disorganized		*			1
6. Can't concentrate				*	3
7. Loses things		*			1
8. Easily distracted				*	3
9. Forgetful	*				0

Total ADHD-Inattention = 18

Average = $18/9 = 2.0$

ADHD-Inattention	ADHD-Hyperactivity/Impulsivity	Oppositional Defiant Disorder
#1	#10	#19
#2	#11	#20
#3	#12	#21
#4	#13	#22
#5	#14	#23
#6	#15	#24
#7	#16	#25
#8	#17	#26
#9	#18	
Total	Total	Total
Average	Average	Average



Patient Name: _____

Date of Birth: _____

Physician Name: _____

MRN/File No: _____

Date: _____

SNAP-IV 26 – Teacher and Parent Rating Scale

Name: _____ Gender: _____ Age: _____

Grade: _____ Ethnicity: African-American Asian Caucasian Hispanic Other: _____

Completed by: _____ Type of Class: _____ Class size: _____

<i>For each item, check the column which best describes this child:</i>	Not At All	Just A Little	Quite A Bit	Very Much
1. Often fails to give close attention to details or makes careless mistakes in schoolwork or tasks				
2. Often has difficulty sustaining attention in tasks or play activities				
3. Often does not seem to listen when spoken to directly				
4. Often does not follow through on instructions and fails to finish schoolwork, chores, or duties				
5. Often has difficulty organizing tasks and activities				
6. Often avoids, dislikes, or reluctantly engages in tasks requiring sustained mental effort				
7. Often loses things necessary for activities (e.g., toys, school assignments, pencils, or books)				
8. Often is distracted by extraneous stimuli				
9. Often is forgetful in daily activities				
10. Often has difficulty maintaining alertness, orienting to requests, or executing directions				
11. Often fidgets with hands or feet or squirms in seat				
12. Often leaves seat in classroom or in other situations in which remaining seated is expected				
13. Often runs about or climbs excessively in situations in which it is inappropriate				
14. Often has difficulty playing or engaging in leisure activities quietly				
15. Often is "on the go" or often acts as if "driven by a motor"				
16. Often talks excessively				
17. Often blurts out answers before questions have been completed				
18. Often has difficulty awaiting turn				
19. Often loses temper				
20. Often argues with adults				
21. Often actively defies or refuses adult requests or rules				
22. Often deliberately does things that annoy other people				
23. Often blames others for his or her mistakes or misbehavior				
24. Often touchy or easily annoyed by others				
25. Often is angry and resentful				
26. Often is spiteful or vindictive				

ADULT ADHD SELF-REPORT SCALE (ASRS-V1.1) SYMPTOM CHECKLIST INSTRUCTIONS

Description:

The Symptom Checklist is an instrument consisting of the 18 DSM-IV-TR criteria. Six of the 18 questions were found to be the most predictive of symptoms consistent with ADHD. These six questions are the basis for the ASRS-V1.1 screener and are also Part A of the Symptom Checklist. Part B of the Symptom Checklist contains the remaining 12 questions.

Instructions:

Symptoms

1. Ask the patient to complete both Part A and Part B of the Symptom Checklist by marking an X in the box that most closely represents the frequency of occurrence of each of the symptoms.
2. Score Part A. If four or more marks appear under Often/Very Often then the patient has symptoms highly consistent with ADHD in adults and further investigation is warranted.
3. The frequency scores on Part B provide additional cues and can serve as further probes into the patient's symptoms. Pay particular attention to marks appearing under Often/Very Often. The frequency-based response is more sensitive with certain questions. No total score or diagnostic likelihood is utilized for the 12 questions. It has been found that the six questions in Part A are the most predictive of the disorder and are best for use as a screening instrument.

Impairments

1. Review the entire Symptom Checklist with your patients and evaluate the level of impairment associated with the symptom.
2. Consider work/school, social and family settings.
3. Symptom frequency is often associated with symptom severity, therefore the Symptom Checklist may also aid in the assessment of impairments. If your patients have frequent symptoms, you may want to ask them to describe how these problems have affected the ability to work, take care of things at home, or get along with other people such as their spouse/significant other.

History

1. Assess the presence of these symptoms or similar symptoms in childhood. Adults who have ADHD need not have been formally diagnosed in childhood. In evaluating a patient's history, look for evidence of early-appearing and long-standing problems with attention or self-control. Some significant symptoms should have been present in childhood, but full symptomology is not necessary.

References:

1. Schweitzer JB et al. *Med Clin North Am.* 2001;85(3),10-11:757-777.
 2. Barkley RA. *Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: A Handbook for Diagnosis and Treatment.* 2nd ed. 1998.
 3. Biederman J, et al. *Am J Psychiatry.* 1993;150:1792-1798.
 4. American Psychiatric Association: *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders.* Fourth Edition, Text Revision. Washington, DC, American Psychiatric Association. 2000:85-93.
- © 2003 World Health Organization. Reprinted with permission.



Patient Name:

Date of Birth:

Physician Name:

MRN/File No:

Date:

ADULT ADHD SELF-REPORT SCALE (ASRS-V1.1) SYMPTOM CHECKLIST

<i>Please answer the questions below, rating yourself on each of the criteria shown using the scale on the right side of the page. As you answer each question, place an X in the box that best describes how you have felt and conducted yourself over the past 6 months. Please give this completed checklist to your healthcare professional to discuss during your appointment.</i>	Never	Rarely	Sometimes	Often	Very often
PART A					
1. How often do you have trouble wrapping up the final details of a project, once the challenging parts have been done?					
2. How often do you have difficulty getting things in order when you have to do a task that requires organization?					
3. How often do you have problems remembering appointments or obligations?					
4. When you have a task that requires a lot of thought, how often do you avoid or delay getting started?					
5. How often do you fidget or squirm with your hands or feet when you have to sit down for a long time?					
6. How often do you feel overly active and compelled to do things, like you were driven by a motor?					
PART B					
7. How often do you make careless mistakes when you have to work on a boring or difficult project?					
8. How often do you have difficulty keeping your attention when you are doing boring or repetitive work?					
9. How often do you have difficulty concentrating on what people say to you, even when they are speaking to you directly?					
10. How often do you misplace or have difficulty finding things at home or at work?					
11. How often are you distracted by activity or noise around you?					
12. How often do you leave your seat in meetings or in other situations in which you are expected to stay seated?					
13. How often do you feel restless or fidgety?					
14. How often do you have difficulty unwinding and relaxing when you have time to yourself?					
15. How often do you find yourself talking too much when you are in social situations?					
16. When you're in a conversation, how often do you find yourself finishing the sentences of the people you are talking to, before they can finish it themselves?					
17. How often do you have difficulty waiting your turn in situations when turn taking is required?					
18. How often do you interrupt others when they are busy?					

WEISS FUNCTIONAL IMPAIRMENT RATING SCALE (WFIRS) INSTRUCTIONS

Purpose

- ADHD symptoms and actual impairment overlap but are distinct concepts. It is important to measure both since some patients are highly symptomatic but not impaired or vice versa
- This scale contains those items that are most likely to represent the patient's target of treatment. Therefore, the use of the scale before and after treatment can allow the clinician to determine not only if the ADHD has improved, but if the patient's functional difficulties are also better.
- This instrument has been translated into 18 languages. It has been used in many studies and is psychometrically validated. This is the only measure of functional impairment that looks at specific domains and has been validated in the ADHD population.

Design and Validation Information

Scoring The instrument uses a Likert scale such that any item rating 2 or 3 is clinically impaired. The scale can be scored by looking at the total score or by creating a mean score for the total score/number items for each domain, omitting those rated not applicable. For clinical purposes, when defining impairment for DSM-IV, clinicians can consider that any domain with at least two items scored 2, one item scored 3 or a mean score >1.5 is impaired.

Validation The scale has been psychometrically validated with an internal consistency $>.8$ for each domain and for the scale as a whole. It has moderate convergent validity (0.6) with other measures of functioning (i.e. Columbia Impairment Scale and the Global Assessment of Functioning (GAF)). It has moderate discriminating validity (0.4) from symptoms pre-treatment (i.e. ADHD-Rating Scale) and quality of life (CHIP). The domains have been confirmed by factor analysis, although the domain of school functioning separates into learning and behaviour. The scale is highly sensitive to change with treatment and, in particular, significantly correlated to change in ADHD symptoms (40% change) and overall psychopathology. Each anchor point on the Likert scale represents approximately one standard deviation (SD). A total score change of 13 would be considered a significant improvement or about half a SD. The change obtained in treatment is typically one full SD. The mean score for risky behaviour in children is 0.5 but increases with age. For adolescents the mean score is 1.

Copyright Information

The WFIRS is copyrighted by the University of British Columbia (2000). It is in the public domain to encourage its use. It can be copied without permission, but the instrument must remain as published without modification to protect its integrity. The authors are solely responsible for its content.

Margaret D Weiss MD PhD FRCP(C)

Clinic Head, Provincial ADHD Program
Clinical Professor, University of British Columbia
Box 178, 4500 Oak St.
Department of Psychiatry
Children's and Women's Health Centre, Room P-229
Telephone: 604 875 2738 Fax: 604 875 2099
Email: mweiss@cw.bc.ca



Patient Name: _____

Date of Birth: _____

Physician Name: _____

MRN/File No: _____

Date: _____

WEISS FUNCTIONAL IMPAIRMENT RATING SCALE – SELF REPORT (WFIRS-S)

Name: _____ Date: _____ DD MM YY

Date of birth: _____ DD MM YY Sex: Male Female

Work: Full time Part time Other _____

School: Full time Part time

Circle the number for the rating that best describes how your emotional or behavioural problems have affected each item in the last month.

		Never or not at all	Sometimes or somewhat	Often or much	Very often or very much	n/a
A	FAMILY					
1	Having problems with family	0	1	2	3	n/a
2	Having problems with spouse/partner	0	1	2	3	n/a
3	Relying on others to do things for you	0	1	2	3	n/a
4	Causing fighting in the family	0	1	2	3	n/a
5	Makes it hard for the family to have fun together	0	1	2	3	n/a
6	Problems taking care of your family	0	1	2	3	n/a
7	Problems balancing your needs against those of your family	0	1	2	3	n/
8	Problems losing control with family	0	1	2	3	n/a
B	WORK					
1	Problems performing required duties	0	1	2	3	n/a
2	Problems with getting your work done efficiently	0	1	2	3	n/a
3	Problems with your supervisor	0	1	2	3	n/a
4	Problems keeping a job	0	1	2	3	n/a
5	Getting fired from work	0	1	2	3	n/a
6	Problems working in a team	0	1	2	3	n/a
7	Problems with your attendance	0	1	2	3	n/a
8	Problems with being late	0	1	2	3	n/a
9	Problems taking on new tasks	0	1	2	3	n/a
10	Problems working to your potential	0	1	2	3	n/a
11	Poor performance evaluations	0	1	2	3	n/a

		Never or not at all	Sometimes or somewhat	Often or much	Very often or very much	n/a
C	SCHOOL					
1	Problems taking notes	0	1	2	3	n/a
2	Problems completing assignments	0	1	2	3	n/a
3	Problems getting your work done efficiently	0	1	2	3	n/a
4	Problems with teachers	0	1	2	3	n/a
5	Problems with school administrators	0	1	2	3	n/a
6	Problems meeting minimum requirements to stay in school	0	1	2	3	n/a
7	Problems with attendance	0	1	2	3	n/a
8	Problems with being late	0	1	2	3	n/a
9	Problems with working to your potential	0	1	2	3	n/a
10	Problems with inconsistent grades	0	1	2	3	n/a
D	LIFE SKILLS					
1	Excessive or inappropriate use of internet, video games or TV	0	1	2	3	n/a
2	Problems keeping an acceptable appearance	0	1	2	3	n/a
3	Problems getting ready to leave the house	0	1	2	3	n/a
4	Problems getting to bed	0	1	2	3	n/a
5	Problems with nutrition	0	1	2	3	n/a
6	Problems with sex	0	1	2	3	n/a
7	Problems with sleeping	0	1	2	3	n/a
8	Getting hurt or injured	0	1	2	3	n/a
9	Avoiding exercise	0	1	2	3	n/a
10	Problems keeping regular appointments with doctor/dentist	0	1	2	3	n/a
11	Problems keeping up with household chores	0	1	2	3	n/a
12	Problems managing money	0	1	2	3	n/a
E	SELF-CONCEPT					
1	Feeling bad about yourself	0	1	2	3	n/a
2	Feeling frustrated with yourself	0	1	2	3	n/a
3	Feeling discouraged	0	1	2	3	n/a
4	Not feeling happy with your life	0	1	2	3	n/a
5	Feeling incompetent	0	1	2	3	n/a
F	SOCIAL					
1	Getting into arguments	0	1	2	3	n/a
2	Trouble cooperating	0	1	2	3	n/a
3	Trouble getting along with people	0	1	2	3	n/a
4	Problems having fun with other people	0	1	2	3	n/a
5	Problems participating in hobbies	0	1	2	3	n/a
6	Problems making friends	0	1	2	3	n/a
7	Problems keeping friends	0	1	2	3	n/a
8	Saying inappropriate things	0	1	2	3	n/a
9	Complaints from neighbours	0	1	2	3	n/a

		Never or not at all	Sometimes or somewhat	Often or much	Very often or very much	n/a
G	RISK					
1	Aggressive driving	0	1	2	3	n/a
2	Doing other things while driving	0	1	2	3	n/a
3	Road rage	0	1	2	3	n/a
4	Breaking or damaging things	0	1	2	3	n/a
5	Doing things that are illegal	0	1	2	3	n/a
6	Being involved with the police	0	1	2	3	n/a
7	Smoking cigarettes	0	1	2	3	n/a
8	Smoking marijuana	0	1	2	3	n/a
9	Drinking alcohol	0	1	2	3	n/a
10	Taking "street" drugs	0	1	2	3	n/a
11	Sex without protection (birth control, condom)	0	1	2	3	n/a
12	Sexually inappropriate behaviour	0	1	2	3	n/a
13	Being physically aggressive	0	1	2	3	n/a
14	Being verbally aggressive	0	1	2	3	n/a

SCORING:

1. Number of items scored 2 or 3
or
2. Total score
or
3. Mean score

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA	
A. Family	<input type="text"/>
B. Work	<input type="text"/>
C. School	<input type="text"/>
D. Life skills	<input type="text"/>
E. Self-concept	<input type="text"/>
F. Social	<input type="text"/>
G. Risk	<input type="text"/>
Total	<input type="text"/>

©University of British Columbia 2011. Any part of this document may be freely reproduced without obtaining the permission of the copyright owner, provided that no changes whatsoever are made to the text and provided that this copyright notice is included in its entirety in any and all copies of this document



Patient Name:

Date of Birth:

Physician Name:

MRN/File No:

Date:

WEISS FUNCTIONAL IMPAIRMENT RATING SCALE – PARENT REPORT (WFIRS-P)

Your name: _____ Relationship to child: _____

Circle the number for the rating that best describes how your child's emotional or behavioural problems have affected each item in the last month.

		Never or not at all	Sometimes or somewhat	Often or much	Very often or very much	n/a
A	FAMILY					
1	Having problems with brothers & sisters	0	1	2	3	n/a
2	Causing problems between parents	0	1	2	3	n/a
3	Takes time away from family members' work or activities	0	1	2	3	n/a
4	Causing fighting in the family	0	1	2	3	n/a
5	Isolating the family from friends and social activities	0	1	2	3	n/a
6	Makes it hard for the family to have fun together	0	1	2	3	n/a
7	Makes parenting difficult	0	1	2	3	n/a
8	Makes it hard to give fair attention to all family members	0	1	2	3	n/a
9	Provokes others to hit or scream at him/her	0	1	2	3	n/a
10	Costs the family more money	0	1	2	3	n/a
B	SCHOOL					
	Learning					
1	Makes it difficult to keep up with schoolwork	0	1	2	3	n/a
2	Needs extra help at school	0	1	2	3	n/a
3	Needs tutoring	0	1	2	3	n/a
4	Receives grades that are not as good as his/her ability	0	1	2	3	n/a
	Behaviour					
1	Causes problems for the teacher in the classroom	0	1	2	3	n/a
2	Receives "time-out" or removal from the classroom	0	1	2	3	n/a
3	Having problems in the school yard	0	1	2	3	n/a
4	Receives detentions (during or after school)	0	1	2	3	n/a
5	Suspended or expelled from school	0	1	2	3	n/a
6	Misses classes or is late for school	0	1	2	3	n/a
C	LIFE SKILLS					
1	Excessive use of TV, computer, or video games	0	1	2	3	n/a
2	Keeping clean, brushing teeth, brushing hair, bathing, etc.	0	1	2	3	n/a
3	Problems getting ready for school	0	1	2	3	n/a

		Never or not at all	Sometimes or somewhat	Often or much	Very often or very much	n/a
4	Problems getting ready for bed	0	1	2	3	n/a
5	Problems with eating (picky eater, junk food)	0	1	2	3	n/a
6	Problems with sleeping	0	1	2	3	n/a
7	Gets hurt or injured	0	1	2	3	n/a
8	Avoids exercise	0	1	2	3	n/a
9	Needs more medical care	0	1	2	3	n/a
10	Has trouble taking medication, getting needles or visiting the doctor/dentist	0	1	2	3	n/a
D	CHILD'S SELF-CONCEPT					
1	My child feels bad about himself/herself	0	1	2	3	n/a
2	My child does not have enough fun	0	1	2	3	n/a
3	My child is not happy with his/her life	0	1	2	3	n/a
E	SOCIAL ACTIVITIES					
1	Being teased or bullied by other children	0	1	2	3	n/a
2	Teases or bullies other children	0	1	2	3	n/a
3	Problems getting along with other children	0	1	2	3	n/a
4	Problems participating in after-school activities (sports, music, clubs)	0	1	2	3	n/a
5	Problems making new friends	0	1	2	3	n/a
6	Problems keeping friends	0	1	2	3	n/a
7	Difficulty with parties (not invited, avoids them, misbehaves)	0	1	2	3	n/a
F	RISKY ACTIVITIES					
1	Easily led by other children (peer pressure)	0	1	2	3	n/a
2	Breaking or damaging things	0	1	2	3	n/a
3	Doing things that are illegal	0	1	2	3	n/a
4	Being involved with the police	0	1	2	3	n/a
5	Smoking cigarettes	0	1	2	3	n/a
6	Taking illegal drugs	0	1	2	3	n/a
7	Doing dangerous things	0	1	2	3	n/a
8	Causes injury to others	0	1	2	3	n/a
9	Says mean or inappropriate things	0	1	2	3	n/a
10	Sexually inappropriate behaviour	0	1	2	3	n/a

SCORING:

1. Number of items scored 2 or 3
or
2. Total score
or
3. Mean score

DO NOT WRITE IN THIS AREA

A. Family	
B. School Learning Behaviour	
C. Life skills	
D. Child's self-concept	
E. Social activities	
F. Risky activities	
Total	

©University of British Columbia 2011. Any part of this document may be freely reproduced without obtaining the permission of the copyright owner, provided that no changes whatsoever are made to the text and provided that this copyright notice is included in its entirety in any and all copies of this document



Patient Name:	
Date of Birth:	MRN/File No:
Physician Name:	Date:

CADDRA Teacher Assessment Form

*Adapted from Dr Rosemary Tannock's Teacher Telephone Interview.
Reprinted for clinical use only with permission from the BC Provincial ADHD Program.*

Student's Name:	Age:	Sex:
School:	Grade:	

Educator completing this form: _____ Date completed: _____

How long have you known the student? _____ Time spent each day with student: _____

Student's Placement: _____ Special Ed: Yes No Hrs per week: _____

Student's Educational Designation: _____ None

Does this student have an educational plan?: Yes No

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE	Well Below Grade Level	Somewhat Below Grade Level	At Grade Level	Somewhat Above Grade Level	Well Above Grade Level	n/a
READING						
a) Decoding						
b) Comprehension						
c) Fluency						
WRITING						
d) Handwriting						
e) Spelling						
f) Written syntax (sentence level)						
g) Written composition (text level)						
MATHEMATICS						
h) Computation (accuracy)						
i) Computation (fluency)						
j) Applied mathematical reasoning						
CLASSROOM PERFORMANCE	Well Below Average	Below Average	Average	Above Average	Well Above Average	n/a
Following directions/instructions						
Organizational skills						
Assignment completion						
Peer relationships						
Classroom Behaviour						

CADDRA Teacher Assessment Form

Strengths: What are this student's strengths? _____

Education plan: If this student has an education plan, what are the recommendations? Do they work? _____

Accommodations: What accommodations are in place? Are they effective? _____

Class Instructions: How well does this student handle large-group instruction? Does s/he follow instructions well? Can s/he wait for a turn to respond? Would s/he stand out from same-sex peers? In what way? _____

Individual seat work: How well does this student self-regulate attention and behaviour during assignments to be completed as individual seat work? Is the work generally completed? Would s/he stand out from same-sex peers? In what way? _____

Transitions: How does this student handle transitions such as going in and out for recess, changing classes or changing activities? Does s/he follow routines well? What amount of supervision or reminders does s/he need? _____

Impact on peer relations: How does this student get along with others? Does this student have friends that seek him/her out? Does s/he initiate play successfully? _____

Conflict and Aggression: – Is s/he often in conflict with adults or peers? How does s/he resolve arguments? Is the student verbally or physically aggressive? Is s/he the target of verbal or physical aggression by peers? _____

Academic Abilities: We would like to know about this student's general abilities and academic skills. Does this student appear to learn at a similar rate to others? Does this student appear to have specific weaknesses in learning? _____

Self-help skills, independence, problem solving, activities of daily living: _____

Motor Skills (gross/fine): Does this student have problems with gym, sports, writing? If so, please describe.

Written output: Does this student have problems putting ideas down in writing? If so, please describe.

Primary Areas of concern: What are your major areas of concern/worry for this student? How long has this/these been a concern for you? _____

Impact on student: To what extent are these difficulties for the student upsetting or distressing to the student him/herself, to you and/or the other students? _____

Impact on the class: Does this student make it difficult for you to teach the class? _____

Medications: If this student is on medication, is there anything you would like to highlight about the differences when s/he is on medication compared to off? _____

Parent involvement: What has been the involvement of the parent(s)? _____

Are the problems with attention and/or hyperactivity interfering with the student's learning? Peer relationships? _____

Has the student had any particular problems with homework or handing in assignments? _____

Is there anything else you would like us to know? If you feel the need to contact the student's clinician during this assessment please feel free to do so. _____



Patient Name: _____

Date of Birth: _____

Physician Name: _____

MRN/File No: _____

Date: _____

CADDRA CLINICIAN ADHD BASELINE/FOLLOW-UP FORM

Patient Name: _____		Date of Birth: _____		Date seen: _____		
Other person present during interview: _____						
Clinician: _____			Other therapist(s) involved: _____			
Current medication(s):		Dose & schedule	Therapeutic Effects	Side Effects		
Adherence to treatment (took medications as directed)						
<input type="checkbox"/> FULL <input type="checkbox"/> PARTIAL (missed doses, did not take all medication) <input type="checkbox"/> NONE (Discontinued medication for at least a week)						
Developments since last appointment:						
Height:	Weight:	BP:	Pulse:	Observations:		
Opinion						
Axis I	<input type="checkbox"/> ADHD, Combined	<input type="checkbox"/> Oppositional Defiant	<input type="checkbox"/> Anxiety Disorder	<input type="checkbox"/> Depression	<input type="checkbox"/> Learning Disorder	
	<input type="checkbox"/> ADHD, Inattentive	<input type="checkbox"/> Conduct Disorder	<input type="checkbox"/> Tic Disorder	<input type="checkbox"/> Language Disorder	<input type="checkbox"/> Other	
Axis II	<input type="checkbox"/> Personality Disorder <input type="checkbox"/> Mental retardation					
Axis III (physical abnormalities)						
Axis IV	<input type="checkbox"/> Mild	<input type="checkbox"/> Moderate	<input type="checkbox"/> Severe	<input type="checkbox"/> Extreme	Axis V (Clinical Global Assessment of Functioning 1-100)	
Impairment Severity	<input type="checkbox"/> Borderline	<input type="checkbox"/> Mild	<input type="checkbox"/> Moderate	<input type="checkbox"/> Marked	<input type="checkbox"/> Severe	<input type="checkbox"/> Extreme
<input type="checkbox"/> Very much improved	<input type="checkbox"/> Much improved	<input type="checkbox"/> Minimally improved	<input type="checkbox"/> No change	<input type="checkbox"/> Minimally worse	<input type="checkbox"/> Much worse	<input type="checkbox"/> Very much worse
Treatment Plan						
Medication: <input type="checkbox"/> No change <input type="checkbox"/> Decrease <input type="checkbox"/> Increase <input type="checkbox"/> Switch						
Psychological/Other:						
School/work:						
Follow-up plan:						
Signature: _____			Date: _____			
<input type="checkbox"/> Copy to be sent to:						



Patient Name:
Date of Birth:
Physician Name:

MRN/File No:
Date:

CADDRA PATIENT ADHD MEDICATION FORM

Please complete and bring to your next appointment

Patient name: _____ Date form is completed: _____

Person completing this form (if not the patient): _____ Mother Father Other


Medication usage since (decided with doctor):
_____ (date)

- Medication not started yet
- Takes medication regularly, as prescribed
- Forgets/skips doses occasionally
- Takes medication irregularly
- Medication stopped

Current Medication List:

Instructions to use the quadrant below:

- Place a mark on the horizontal black line indicating the level of current symptom control between -3 and +3.
- Place a mark on the vertical black line indicating current side effect levels, between -3 to +3
- Draw an X where lines from the marks made on each line would meet to show current patient status



COMMENTS:

What changes have occurred since medication started?

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable: no medication taken | <input type="checkbox"/> No change | <input type="checkbox"/> Marked Improvement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Small deterioration | <input type="checkbox"/> Improvement | <input type="checkbox"/> Deterioration |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Small improvement | <input type="checkbox"/> Marked deterioration | |

Please indicate below the frequency of any side effects experienced since the last medical appointment (mark with an X). Please contact your physician if side effects are significant.

SIDE EFFECT	FREQUENCY				Comments
	Not at all	Sometimes	Often	All the time	
Headache					
Dryness of the skin					
Dryness of the eyes					
Dryness of the mouth					
Thirst					
Sore throat					
Dizziness					
Nausea					
Stomach aches					
Vomiting					
Sweating					
Appetite reduction					
Weight loss					
Weight gain					
Diarrhea					
Frequent urination					
Tics					
Sleep difficulties					
Mood instability					
Irritability					
Agitation/excitability					
Sadness					
Heart palpitations					
Increased blood pressure					
Sexual dysfunction					
Feeling worse or different when the medication wears off (rebound)					
Other:					

Things to discuss at the next medical appointment:

CADDRA ADHD ASSESSMENT TOOLKIT (CAAT) HANDOUTS

Handouts

CADDRA ADHD Information and Resources	125
CADDRA Child Assessment Instructions	129
CADDRA Adolescent Assessment Instructions	130
CADDRA Teachers Instructions	131
CADDRA Adult Assessment Instructions	132

CADDRA ADHD INFORMATION AND RESOURCES

Adapted for CADDRA with permission, by Dr Annick Vincent, Centre médical l’Hétrière, Clinique FOCUS, Québec.

Description

Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder is a neurological condition that leads to difficulty regulating attention, controlling excessive physical activity, and impulsivity.

ADHD affects about one in twenty children and follow-up studies have shown that symptoms persist into adulthood for more than half of these. A recent U.S. study estimated that 4% of adults have ADHD. Adults with ADHD suffer from distractibility and mental restlessness, disorganization and procrastination, leading to difficulties beginning and completing tasks and with time management and impulsivity. These symptoms can be as impairing at work as in a person's private life. At times, people suffering from ADHD also have difficulty regulating their emotional responses. They are referred to as being “thin-skinned” or “hypersensitive” and as having a “short fuse”. Often, these individuals deal with their physical restlessness by channelling it into work or sports activities. Some will “self-medicate” by taking stimulants such as caffeine or nicotine or illicit drugs such as cannabis or cocaine.

Due to the impact of their symptoms, many people with ADHD also suffer from poor self esteem and a chronic sense of under-achievement.

Causes

While we do not know the exact cause of ADHD, science shows that in most cases ADHD has been inherited. Occasionally, ADHD can also be caused by a traumatic brain injury, lack of oxygen, neurological damage or infection, prematurity, or prenatal exposure to substances such as alcohol or nicotine.

ADHD is a neurodevelopmental condition. It is not caused by poor parenting or by psychological stress, although raising an ADHD child can be both challenging and stressful. However, environment can impact the expression and progression of ADHD. When ADHD is treated properly, physicians are usually able to decrease the symptoms and improve functioning. Physicians can also recommend adaptations at school, college or in the workplace and empower the patient and/or parents so that they do not feel alone.

Scientific research has revealed some dysfunction in particular information neurotransmitters, such as dopamine and noradrenaline. These chemicals help to carry signals across synapses in the brain. Studies of brain function in persons with ADHD have revealed an impairment of the regions responsible for controlling or inhibiting certain behaviours, such as initiating tasks, being able to stop unwanted behaviour, understanding consequences, holding information in the mind and being able to plan for the future. In ADHD, the information transmission network appears to be somewhat impaired - as if the “go” and “stop” signals are delayed.

Why consult a doctor?

Patients seek medical attention for many different reasons. If a child or adolescent is experiencing difficulties regulating his/her attention or is demonstrating hyperactivity in the classroom, educators may report to the parents on what they are seeing and recommend assessment. Increased media and online information on ADHD has resulted in a rise in self-referral among adults. Once a child is diagnosed, parents may seek out an assessment if they recognize ADHD symptoms in themselves. Whatever way a patient comes to a physician, the first task for the individual will be to explain his/her concerns and problems.

Assessment

Just because a person has difficulty concentrating, or can not sit still, this does not mean that he/she has ADHD. The only way to establish this is through a diagnostic assessment. This takes the form of an interview with the patient or his/her parents where symptoms and impairments are discussed. ADHD is only diagnosed if the symptoms are impairing. If this is the case, the doctor, patient and/or family must decide whether treatment is needed and, if so, what kind. It is essential to also look at any associated problems and conditions in order to establish an effective and personalized treatment plan.

Psychological evaluations can assist in assessing whether any learning and/or social impairments exist. This will help to exclude any other possible diagnoses. However, psychological tests and rating scales alone cannot be used to make a diagnosis without a full medical evaluation. While ADHD is a medical diagnosis, there are no laboratory tests to determine if it is present.

Diagnosis

ADHD treatment begins with the confirmation of the diagnosis. This is followed by an explanation on how the symptoms, which the child, adolescent or adult has been exhibiting, can be explained by the diagnosis. A diagnosis can be bittersweet and acceptance may take time. On one hand, a patient and/or parent is often relieved to know what the problem is and, in the case of parents, that poor parenting is not the cause. However receiving a diagnosis of a chronic condition is generally not perceived as good news.

Treatment

While medication can dramatically improve symptoms, medication alone is never enough. In the case of a child or adolescent, the parents, child and school must work together to understand that a diagnosis is not “an excuse” but will require the implementation of learning strategies and new parenting methods. Work place accommodations may be required for adults. Access to resources, such as parent training or (for adults) cognitive behavioural therapy, is slowly becoming more available through the public health care system.

When a person continues to be incapacitated by their ADHD symptoms, medication may be helpful and a medication trial should be initiated. A trial of more than one medication and more than one dose may be required in order to find the optimal one. Medication must be evaluated at least twice a year, so no medication decision is forever.

Medication for ADHD can work somewhat like glasses for those with vision problems. It can help improve the brain’s ability to focus. It improves the flow of signals along synapses allowing better information transmission.

There are many different types of medication available. The most common are stimulants of which there are two types, methylphenidate and amphetamines. Each of these medications comes in short-, intermediate- and long-acting forms. The most common side effects of stimulants are decreased appetite, trouble sleeping and becoming quiet, sad or irritable when the medication wears off.

The second type of medication is called a “nonstimulant”. It works by a completely different mechanism. This medication becomes effective once a certain level is reached in the blood stream. If, after a month of taking the medication at the full dose level, there is a 25% improvement in symptoms, the patient will probably respond well. However, the medication will continue to improve symptom control for up to four months. Your doctor will start all medication at a low dose and slowly increase the dose until maximum symptom control is experienced with the minimum amount of side effects. At this time another evaluation should be carried out to decide if added interventions are required.

Any co-existing mood or anxiety disorder must be taken into account in a treatment plan. Stimulant medication can sometimes aggravate certain anxiety disorders. Several antidepressants act on noradrenaline or dopamine and can also assist with ADHD symptoms but clinical studies have not yet studied the effects of these products specifically on ADHD. When ADHD and depression or anxiety disorder exist together, the doctor may begin treatment with a medication used to treat these disorders before implementing an ADHD-specific treatment. Ideally, the final treatment or treatments will consist of a single medication or a mix of medications that will provide the utmost symptom control with the least number of adverse effects.

ADHD medications have an effective rate of 50% to 70%. Although generally well tolerated, all drugs can produce side effects. Discuss any treatment being considered beforehand with your doctor and pharmacist. Although your doctor will provide you with research-based information on treatment options, the only way to determine the impact on your child or yourself is to go through a supervised medication trial.

Additional information on ADHD medications is available on the CADDAC website (www.caddac.ca). Select the “ADHD Subjects” tab along the top menu and scroll down to “Treatments” for information on children and adolescent or adult medications.

List of Resources on ADHD

Support Groups

Look for support groups in your area on the CADDAC website (www.caddac.ca) under Resources.

Websites

Canadian ADHD Resource Alliance (CADDRA) – www.caddra.ca

Centre for ADD/ADHD Advocacy, Canada (CADDAC) – www.caddac.ca

Attention Deficit Disorder Association (ADDA) - www.add.org

Answers to your questions about ADHD (Patricia O. Quinn, MD and Kathleen Nadeau, PhD) - www.ADDvance.com

Online catalogue of ADHD resources – www.addwarehouse.com

Quebec-based Dr Annick Vincent's ADHD website - www.attentiondeficit-info.com

Children and Adults with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder – www.chadd.org

Connecting doctors, parents and teachers – www.myadhd.com

Online planner - www.skoach.com

Totally ADD – www.totallyadd.com

Canadian DVDs on ADHD

Portrait of Attention Deficit / Hyperactivity Disorder Dr. Annick Vincent and the educational department of ISMQ (2007); Quebec City (418-663-5146)

ADHD Across The Lifespan, Timothy S. Bilkey, Ontario; www.bilkeyadhdclinic.com

Various DVDs for patients, parents and educators CADDAC, Toronto: www.caddac.ca

Books

- Adler, L. and Florence, M. (2006) *Scattered Minds: Hope and Help for Adults with ADHD*, New York: Putnam.
- Barkley, R. A. (2000). *Taking Charge of ADHD: The Complete Authoritative Guide for Parents*, New York: Guilford Press.
- Barkley, R.A., Murphy, K.R. & Fischer, M. (2008) *ADHD in Adults: What the Science Says*, New York: Guilford Publications
- Bergh, R. M. (2004). *Explaining ADHD: A Paediatrician Talks to Parents*, Ottawa: Nicro Publishing
- Brown, T. E. (2000). *Attention-Deficit Disorders and Comorbidities in Children, Adolescents and Adults*, Washington DC: American Psychiatric Press.
- Brown, T. E. (2005) *Attention Deficit Disorder: the Unfocused Mind in Children and Adults*, New Haven, CT: Yale University Press
- Hallowell, E. M., and Ratey, J. J. (2005). *Delivered from Distraction*. New York: Ballantine Books.
- Kelly, K., and Ramundo, P. (1996). *You Mean I'm not Lazy, Stupid or Crazy? A Fireside Book*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Kolberg, J and Nadeau, K.G. (2002) *ADD-Friendly ways to Organize Your Life*. New York: Routledge
- Kutscher, M. L. (2003) *ADHD Book: Living Right Now!* White Plains, New York: Neurology Press
- Moghadam, H. (2006). *Attention Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder*. Calgary, Alberta, Canada: Detselig Enterprises Ltd.
- Moulton Sarkis, S. (2005) *10 Simple Solutions to Adult ADD*. Oakland: New Harbinger Publications, Inc.
- Nadeau, K. G. (1996). *Adventures in Fast Forward: Life, Love and Work for the ADD Adult*. New York: Brunner/Mazel.
- Nadeau, K. G. (1997). *ADD in the Workplace: Choices, Changes and Challenges*. New York: Brunner/Mazel.
- Nadeau, K. G., Littman, E. B., and Quinn, P. (1999). *Understanding Girls with AD/HD*. Silver Spring: Advantage Books.
- Nadeau, K. G., Littman, E. B., and Quinn, P. (2002). *Understanding Women withAD/HD*. Silver Spring: Advantage Books.
- Nadeau, K. (1998) *Help4ADD@High School*. Silver Spring : Advantage Books
- Nadeau, K.G. (2006) *Survival Guide for College Students with ADHD or LD*. New York: Magination Press
- Pera G. (2008) *Is it You, Me, or Adult ADD? Stopping the Roller Coaster When Your Partner has -- Surprise! -- Attention Deficit Disorder*, San Francisco, 1201 Alarm Press.
- Phelan, T. W. (2003). *1-2-3 Magic*. Glen Ellyn, Illinois: Parent Magic inc.
- Phelan, T. W. (2000). *All about Attention Deficit Disorder: Symptoms, Diagnosis and Treatment: Children and Adults*. Glen Ellyn, Illinois: Parent Magic inc.
- Pinsky, S. C. (2006) *Organizing Solutions for People with Attention Deficit Disorder-Tips and Tools to Help you Take Charge of Your Life and Get Organized*, Gloucester, Fair Winds Press.
- Quinn, P.O., Ratey, N.A., Maitland, T.L. (2000) *Coaching College Students with AD/HD, Issues and Answers*. Washington D.C. : Advantage Books
- Safren, S. A., Sprich S., Perlman C.A., Otto, M. W. (2005) *Mastering Your Adult ADHD, A Cognitive Behavioral Treatment Program, Client Workbook*, New York: Oxford.
- Solden, S. (1995). *Women with Attention Deficit Disorder: Embracing Disorganization at Home and in the Workplace*. Grass Valley: Underwood Books.
- Tuckman, A. (2009) *More Attention, Less Deficit: Success Strategies for Adults with ADHD*, Specialty Press/A.D.D. Warehouse, U.S.
- Vincent, A. (2008). *My Brain Needs Glasses: Living with Hyperactivity*. Québec: Impact!Éditions. French version available: *Mon cerveau a besoin de lunettes: Vivre avec l'hyperactivité*
- Vincent, A. (2008). *My Brain Still Needs Glasses: AD/HD in Adults*. Québec: Impact!Éditions. French version available: *Mon cerveau a Encore besoin de lunettes: Vivre avec l'hyperactivité*
- Wender, P. H. (2002) *ADHD: Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Children and Adults*. Oxford University Press



Patient Name: _____

Date of Birth: _____

Physician Name: _____

MRN/File No: _____

Date: _____

CADDRA Child Assessment Instructions

Name of the child: _____ Date: _____

Parent(s): _____

Time period for which the form was filled out: _____

If the child was on medication when this was completed, what medication was s/he on? _____

Your child is presently being evaluated for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. Your doctor will require you to complete the following questionnaires as part of this process. (All these documents can be downloaded and printed from the Guidelines section on the CADDRA website: www.caddra.ca). Your input is very important, but please do not worry about answering the questions incorrectly. There are no right or wrong answers. You will be asked questions about how your child functions in a variety of different ways. If you are unsure of an answer, go with your first instinct. Individual questions are less important than the scale as a whole. If the child is living in two households, each household should complete these forms.

Questionnaires

- Weiss Symptom Record: To be completed by both parents
- WFIRS-P: To be completed by parents
- ADHD Checklist
- CADDRA Teacher Assessment Form
- SNAP-IV 26

If your child is on medication, it would be helpful if you could fill in the forms twice in order to assess the child on and off medication. Please fill in the forms in two different colours to demonstrate the differences.

If your child has already started using ADHD medication, please also complete the CADDRA Patient ADHD Medication Form. If not, please keep this form on hand for possible future use.

- CADDRA Patient ADHD Medication Form

Information Sheets

Please read the information provided and note any questions that you might wish to discuss at your next appointment.

- CADDRA ADHD Information and Resources

Points to discuss at the next medical appointment:



Patient Name: _____

Date of Birth: _____

Physician Name: _____

MRN/File No: _____

Date: _____

CADDRA Adolescent Assessment Instructions

Patient Name: _____ Date: _____

Parent(s): _____

Time period for which the form was filled out: _____

If you were on medication when this was completed, what medication were you on? _____

You are presently being evaluated for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. During this process, your doctor will require you and those who know you best (your parents and a teacher) to complete some questionnaires. (These questionnaires can also be downloaded and printed from the Guidelines section on the CADDRA website: www.caddra.ca). Your input is very important, but please do not worry about answering the questions incorrectly. There are no right or wrong answers. You will be asked questions about how you function in a variety of different ways. If you are unsure of an answer, go with your first instinct. Individual questions are less important than the scale as a whole. If you are living in two households, each household should complete these forms.

Questionnaires

- Weiss Symptom Record: To be completed by both parents, (you can also try to complete it by yourself if you wish).
- WFIRS-S: To be completed by yourself
- WFIRS-P: To be completed by both parents
- ADHD Checklist
- SNAP-IV 26

If you are taking medication, you can also complete the forms both on medication and off medication. In this case, it would be helpful if you use two different colours to demonstrate the difference.

If you have already started ADHD medication, please also complete the CADDRA Patient ADHD Medication Form. If not, keep the form on hand for possible future use.

- CADDRA Patient ADHD Medication Form

Information Sheets

Please read the information provided and note any questions to discuss at your next appointment.

- CADDRA ADHD Information and Resources

Points to discuss at the next medical appointment:



Patient Name: _____

Date of Birth: _____

Physician Name: _____

MRN/File No: _____

Date: _____

CADDRA Adult Assessment Instructions

Name: _____ Date: _____

Name of the person who knows you well and will also be completing the questionnaires: _____

Time period for which the form was filled out: _____

If you were on medication when this was completed, what medication were you on? _____

You are presently being evaluated for Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. During this process, your doctor will need you and someone who knows you well (significant other, family member, roommate or close friend) to complete the following questionnaires. (All of these questionnaires can be downloaded and printed from the Guidelines section on the CADDRA website: www.caddra.ca). Your input is very important but please do not worry about answering the questions incorrectly. There are no right or wrong answers. You will be asked questions about how you function in a variety of different ways. If you are unsure of an answer, go with your first instinct. Individual questions are less important than the scale as a whole.

Questionnaires

- Weiss Symptom Record: To be completed by you and someone who knows you well for a long time.
- The ADHD Checklist: Please complete based on how you were as a child, or have a parent complete it for you
- Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale-Self Report (WFIRS-S): To be completed by yourself
- Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale-Parent Report (WFIRS-P): To be completed by both parents, (you can also try to complete it by yourself if you wish)
- Adult Self Report Scale (ASRS-V1.1, 18 item)

If you are taking medication, you may also complete the forms both on and off medication. Please fill out your answers in two different colours to demonstrate the differences.

If you can find old report cards or any assessments that were completed as a child or adult, please bring them to your appointment. If you have already started on ADHD medication, please also complete the CADDRA Patient ADHD Medication Form, or keep it on hand for possible future use.

- Old report cards or childhood/adult assessments
- CADDRA Patient ADHD Medication Form

Information Documents

Please read through the information sheets that we have provided and make a note of any questions that you would like to discuss.

- CADDRA ADHD Information and Resources

Points to discuss at the next medical appointment:

REFERENCES

1. Jain, U., et al., Canadian ADHD practice guidelines. 1st ed. 2006, Toronto, ON: McCleery & McCann.
2. Turgay, A., et al., Canadian ADHD Practice Guidelines. 2nd ed. 2008, Toronto, ON: McCleery & McCann.
3. Greenhill, L.L., et al., Practice parameter for the use of stimulant medications in the treatment of children, adolescents, and adults. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2002. 41(2 Suppl): p. 26S-49S.
4. Clinical practice guideline: treatment of the school-aged child with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Pediatrics*, 2001. 108(4): p. 1033-44.
5. Hughes, C.W., et al., The Texas Children's Medication Algorithm Project: report of the Texas Consensus Conference Panel on Medication Treatment of Childhood Major Depressive Disorder. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1999. 38(11): p. 1442-54.
6. APA, Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 2000(Revised 4th ed).
7. Kooij, S.J., et al., European consensus statement on diagnosis and treatment of adult ADHD: The European Network Adult ADHD. *BMC Psychiatry*, 2010. 10: p. 67.
8. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: Diagnosis and management of ADHD in children, young people and adults. 2008, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence London.
9. Nutt, D.J., et al., Evidence-based guidelines for management of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in adolescents in transition to adult services and in adults: recommendations from the British Association for Psychopharmacology. *J Psychopharmacol*, 2007. 21(1): p. 10-41.
10. Kessler, R.C., et al., The prevalence and correlates of adult ADHD in the United States: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Am J Psychiatry*, 2006. 163(4): p. 716-23.
11. Polanczyk, G., et al., The worldwide prevalence of ADHD: a systematic review and metaregression analysis. *Am J Psychiatry*, 2007. 164(6): p. 942-8.
12. Brown, T.E., Circles inside squares: a graphic organizer to focus diagnostic formulations. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2005. 44(12): p. 1309-12.
13. Swanson, J.M., et al., Effects of stimulant medication on growth rates across 3 years in the MTA follow-up. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2007. 46(8): p. 1015-27.
14. Weiss, M., ADHD in Adulthood: A guide to current theory, diagnosis, and treatment. 1999, Baltimore and London: John Hopkins University Press.
15. Brown, T.E., P.C. Reichel, and D.M. Quinlan, Executive function impairments in high IQ adults with ADHD. *J Atten Disord*, 2009. 13(2): p. 161-7.
16. Schweitzer, J.B., T.K. Cummins, and C.A. Kant, Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Med Clin North Am*, 2001. 85(3): p. 757-77.
17. Costello, E.J., H. Egger, and A. Angold, 10-year research update review: the epidemiology of child and adolescent psychiatric disorders: I. Methods and public health burden. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2005. 44(10): p. 972-86.
18. A 14-month randomized clinical trial of treatment strategies for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. The MTA Cooperative Group. Multimodal Treatment Study of Children with ADHD. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 1999. 56(12): p. 1073-86.
19. Spencer, T.J., ADHD and comorbidity in childhood. *J Clin Psychiatry*, 2006. 67 Suppl 8: p. 27-31.
20. Mayes, S.D., S.L. Calhoun, and E.W. Crowell, Learning disabilities and ADHD: overlapping spectrum disorders. *J Learn Disabil*, 2000. 33(5): p. 417-24.
21. Barkley, R.A. and J. Biederman, Toward a broader definition of the age-of-onset criterion for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1997. 36(9): p. 1204-10.
22. Connor, D.F., et al., Correlates of comorbid psychopathology in children with ADHD. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2003. 42(2): p. 193-200.

23. Fischer, M., et al., The adolescent outcome of hyperactive children: predictors of psychiatric, academic, social, and emotional adjustment. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1993. 32(2): p. 324-32.
24. Barkley, R.A., G.J. DuPaul, and M.B. McMurray, Comprehensive evaluation of attention deficit disorder with and without hyperactivity as defined by research criteria. *J Consult Clin Psychol*, 1990. 58(6): p. 775-89.
25. Fischer, M., et al., The adolescent outcome of hyperactive children diagnosed by research criteria: II. Academic, attentional, and neuropsychological status. *J Consult Clin Psychol*, 1990. 58(5): p. 580-8.
26. Lambert, N.M. and J. Sandoval, The prevalence of learning disabilities in a sample of children considered hyperactive. *J Abnorm Child Psychol*, 1980. 8(1): p. 33-50.
27. Castellanos, F.X. and R. Tannock, Neuroscience of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: the search for endophenotypes. *Nat Rev Neurosci*, 2002. 3(8): p. 617-28.
28. Ontario Human Rights Commission, Guidelines on Accessible Education. 2004.
29. Busch, B., et al., Correlates of ADHD among children in pediatric and psychiatric clinics. *Psychiatr Serv*, 2002. 53(9): p. 1103-11.
30. Spencer, T.J., et al., Efficacy and safety of mixed amphetamine salts extended release (adderall XR) in the management of oppositional defiant disorder with or without comorbid attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in school-aged children and adolescents: A 4-week, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, placebo-controlled, forced-dose-escalation study. *Clin Ther*, 2006. 28(3): p. 402-18.
31. Pliszka, S., Practice parameter for the assessment and treatment of children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2007. 46(7): p. 894-921.
32. Biederman, J., et al., Is childhood oppositional defiant disorder a precursor to adolescent conduct disorder? Findings from a four-year follow-up study of children with ADHD. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1996. 35(9): p. 1193-204.
33. Hinshaw, S.P., Conduct disorder in childhood: conceptualization, diagnosis, comorbidity, and risk status for antisocial functioning in adulthood. *Prog Exp Pers Psychopathol Res*, 1994: p. 3-44.
34. Barkley, R.A., et al., Young adult follow-up of hyperactive children: antisocial activities and drug use. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*, 2004. 45(2): p. 195-211.
35. Loeber, R., J.D. Burke, and B.B. Lahey, What are adolescent antecedents to antisocial personality disorder? *Crim Behav Ment Health*, 2002. 12(1): p. 24-36.
36. National Institute of Mental Health Multimodal Treatment Study of ADHD follow-up: changes in effectiveness and growth after the end of treatment. *Pediatrics*, 2004. 113(4): p. 762-9.
37. Burke, J.D., R. Loeber, and B. Birmaher, Oppositional defiant disorder and conduct disorder: a review of the past 10 years, part II. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2002. 41(11): p. 1275-93.
38. Connor, D.F., et al., Psychopharmacology and aggression. I: A meta-analysis of stimulant effects on overt/covert aggression-related behaviors in ADHD. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2002. 41(3): p. 253-61.
39. Turgay, A., Treatment of comorbidity in conduct disorder with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). *Essent Psychopharmacol*, 2005. 6(5): p. 277-90.
40. Schachar, R. and R. Tannock, Test of four hypotheses for the comorbidity of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and conduct disorder. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1995. 34(5): p. 639-48.
41. Mannuzza, S., et al., Significance of childhood conduct problems to later development of conduct disorder among children with ADHD: a prospective follow-up study. *J Abnorm Child Psychol*, 2004. 32(5): p. 565-73.
42. Caron, C. and M. Rutter, Comorbidity in child psychopathology: concepts, issues and research strategies. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*, 1991. 32(7): p. 1063-80.
43. Brown, T.E., ed. ADHD Comorbidities, Handbook for ADHD Complications in Children and Adults. 2009, American Psychiatric Publishing.
44. Wozniak, J., Recognizing and managing bipolar disorder in children. *J Clin Psychiatry*, 2005. 66 Suppl 1: p. 18-23.

45. Birmaher, B., et al., Psychiatric disorders in preschool offspring of parents with bipolar disorder: the Pittsburgh Bipolar Offspring Study (BIOS). *Am J Psychiatry*, 2010. 167(3): p. 321-30.
46. Schapiro, N.A., Bipolar disorders in children and adolescents. *J Pediatr Health Care*, 2005. 19(3): p. 131-41.
47. Yoshida, Y. and T. Uchiyama, The clinical necessity for assessing Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (AD/HD) symptoms in children with high-functioning Pervasive Developmental Disorder (PDD). *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2004. 13(5): p. 307-14.
48. Keen, D. and S. Ward, Autistic spectrum disorder: a child population profile. *Autism*, 2004. 8(1): p. 39-48.
49. Luteijn, E.F., et al., How unspecified are disorders of children with a pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified? A study of social problems in children with PDD-NOS and ADHD. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2000. 9(3): p. 168-79.
50. Ehlers, S. and C. Gillberg, The epidemiology of Asperger syndrome. A total population study. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*, 1993. 34(8): p. 1327-50.
51. Randomized, controlled, crossover trial of methylphenidate in pervasive developmental disorders with hyperactivity. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 2005. 62(11): p. 1266-74.
52. Molina, B.S. and W.E. Pelham, Jr., Childhood predictors of adolescent substance use in a longitudinal study of children with ADHD. *J Abnorm Psychol*, 2003. 112(3): p. 497-507.
53. Biederman, J., et al., Psychoactive substance use disorders in adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): effects of ADHD and psychiatric comorbidity. *Am J Psychiatry*, 1995. 152(11): p. 1652-8.
54. Faraone, S.V. and T.E. Wilens, Effect of stimulant medications for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder on later substance use and the potential for stimulant misuse, abuse, and diversion. *J Clin Psychiatry*, 2007. 68 Suppl 11: p. 15-22.
55. Wilens, T.E. and H.P. Upadhyaya, Impact of substance use disorder on ADHD and its treatment. *J Clin Psychiatry*, 2007. 68(8): p. e20.
56. Wilens, T.E., The nature of the relationship between attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and substance use. *J Clin Psychiatry*, 2007. 68 Suppl 11: p. 4-8.
57. Wilens, T.E., et al., Characteristics of adults with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder plus substance use disorder: the role of psychiatric comorbidity. *Am J Addict*, 2005. 14(4): p. 319-27.
58. Sumner, C.R., et al., Placebo-controlled study of the effects of atomoxetine on bladder control in children with nocturnal enuresis. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol*, 2006. 16(6): p. 699-711.
59. Shatkin, J.P., Atomoxetine for the treatment of pediatric nocturnal enuresis. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol*, 2004. 14(3): p. 443-7.
60. Fritz, G., et al., Practice parameter for the assessment and treatment of children and adolescents with enuresis. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2004. 43(12): p. 1540-50.
61. Spencer, T., et al., Disentangling the overlap between Tourette's disorder and ADHD. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*, 1998. 39(7): p. 1037-44.
62. Sukhodolsky, D.G., et al., Disruptive behavior in children with Tourette's syndrome: association with ADHD comorbidity, tic severity, and functional impairment. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2003. 42(1): p. 98-105.
63. Gaffney, G.R., et al., Risperidone versus clonidine in the treatment of children and adolescents with Tourette's syndrome. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2002. 41(3): p. 330-6.
64. Gadow, K.D., et al., Long-term methylphenidate therapy in children with comorbid attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and chronic multiple tic disorder. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 1999. 56(4): p. 330-6.
65. Seidenberg, M., D.T. Pulsipher, and B. Hermann, Association of epilepsy and comorbid conditions. *Future Neurol*, 2009. 4(5): p. 663-668.
66. Parisi, P., et al., Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in children with epilepsy. *Brain Dev*, 2010. 32(1): p. 10-6.

67. Davis, S.M., et al., Epilepsy in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Pediatr Neurol*, 2010. 42(5): p. 325-30.
68. Gonzalez-Heydrich, J., et al., Adaptive phase I study of OROS methylphenidate treatment of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder with epilepsy. *Epilepsy Behav*, 2010. 18(3): p. 229-37.
69. Koneski, J.A. and E.B. Casella, Attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder in people with epilepsy: diagnosis and implications to the treatment. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr*, 2010. 68(1): p. 107-14.
70. Warden, D.L., et al., Guidelines for the pharmacologic treatment of neurobehavioral sequelae of traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma*, 2006. 23(10): p. 1468-501.
71. Jonsson, C.A., G. Horneman, and I. Emanuelson, Neuropsychological progress during 14 years after severe traumatic brain injury in childhood and adolescence. *Brain Inj*, 2004. 18(9): p. 921-34.
72. Gerring, J.P., et al., Premorbid prevalence of ADHD and development of secondary ADHD after closed head injury. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1998. 37(6): p. 647-54.
73. Max, J.E., et al., Attention-deficit hyperactivity symptomatology after traumatic brain injury: a prospective study. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1998. 37(8): p. 841-7.
74. Levin, H., et al., Symptoms of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder following traumatic brain injury in children. *J Dev Behav Pediatr*, 2007. 28(2): p. 108-18.
75. Guilleminault, C., et al., Children and nocturnal snoring: evaluation of the effects of sleep related respiratory resistive load and daytime functioning. *Eur J Pediatr*, 1982. 139(3): p. 165-71.
76. Ali, N.J., D.J. Pitson, and J.R. Stradling, Snoring, sleep disturbance, and behaviour in 4-5 year olds. *Arch Dis Child*, 1993. 68(3): p. 360-6.
77. Chervin, R.D. and K.H. Archbold, Hyperactivity and polysomnographic findings in children evaluated for sleep-disordered breathing. *Sleep*, 2001. 24(3): p. 313-20.
78. Sowell, E.R., et al., Cortical abnormalities in children and adolescents with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Lancet*, 2003. 362(9397): p. 1699-707.
79. Zametkin, A.J., et al., Cerebral glucose metabolism in adults with hyperactivity of childhood onset. *N Engl J Med*, 1990. 323(20): p. 1361-6.
80. Ball, J.D., et al., Sleep patterns among children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a reexamination of parent perceptions. *J Pediatr Psychol*, 1997. 22(3): p. 389-98.
81. Oosterloo, M., et al., Possible confusion between primary hypersomnia and adult attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Psychiatry Res*, 2006. 143(2-3): p. 293-7.
82. Geller, D.A., et al., Comorbidity of juvenile obsessive-compulsive disorder with disruptive behavior disorders. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1996. 35(12): p. 1637-46.
83. Geller, D.A., Obsessive-compulsive and spectrum disorders in children and adolescents. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*, 2006. 29(2): p. 353-70.
84. Stein, D.J. and C. Lochner, Obsessive-compulsive spectrum disorders: a multidimensional approach. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*, 2006. 29(2): p. 343-51.
85. Bolton, D., M. Luckie, and D. Steinberg, Long-term course of obsessive-compulsive disorder treated in adolescence. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1995. 34(11): p. 1441-50.
86. Storch, E.A., et al., Impact of comorbidity on cognitive-behavioral therapy response in pediatric obsessive-compulsive disorder. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2008. 47(5): p. 583-92.
87. Rasmussen, P. and C. Gillberg, Natural outcome of ADHD with developmental coordination disorder at age 22 years: a controlled, longitudinal, community-based study. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2000. 39(11): p. 1424-31.
88. Skinner, B.F., Holland J.G., *The Analysis of Behavior: A Program for Self Instruction*. 1961.
89. Skinner, B.F., *Science and Human Behaviour*. 2005: B. F. Skinner Foundation.
90. Liberman, R.P., The token economy. *Am J Psychiatry*, 2000. 157(9): p. 1398.
91. Zlomke, L., Token Economies. *The Behavior Analyst Today*, 2003. 4(2): p. 177-193.
92. DuPaul, G.J., D.C. Guevremont, and R.A. Barkley, Behavioral treatment of attention-deficit

- hyperactivity disorder in the classroom. The use of the attention training system. *Behav Modif*, 1992. 16(2): p. 204-25.
93. Gordon, M., Thomason, D., Cooper, S., Ivers, C.L. , Nonmedical treatment of ADHD/hyperactivity: The attention training system *Journal of School Psychology*, 1991. 29(2): p. 151-159.
 94. DuPaul, G.J., Stoner, G, ADHD in the Schools: Assessment and Intervention Strategies. 2003, New York: Guildford Press.
 95. Minskoff, E., Allsopp, D., Academic Success Strategies for Adolescents with Learning Disabilities and ADHD. 2003, Baltimore, MD: Brookes Publishing.
 96. Calgary Learning Centre, A.E., Focusing on Success: Teaching Students with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. 2006.
 97. Beck, A.T., Cognitive therapy: Nature and relation to behavior therapy. *Behavior Therapy*, 1970. 1(2): p. 184-200.
 98. Meichenbaum, D., Cognitive-Behavior Modification. 1977, New York, NY: Plenum Press.
 99. Abikoff, H., Cognitive training in ADHD children: less to it than meets the eye. *J Learn Disabil*, 1991. 24(4): p. 205-9.
 100. Safren, S.A., Cognitive-behavioral approaches to ADHD treatment in adulthood. *J Clin Psychiatry*, 2006. 67 Suppl 8: p. 46-50.
 101. Solanto, M.V., et al., Efficacy of meta-cognitive therapy for adult ADHD. *Am J Psychiatry*, 2010. 167(8): p. 958-68.
 102. Rostain, A.L. and J.R. Ramsay, A combined treatment approach for adults with ADHD--results of an open study of 43 patients. *J Atten Disord*, 2006. 10(2): p. 150-9.
 103. Kolar, D., et al., Treatment of adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat*, 2008. 4(2): p. 389-403.
 104. Retz, W. and R.G. Klein, eds. Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in Adults. Key Issues in Mental Health. Vol. 176. 2010, Karger: Basel.
 105. Sullivan, H.S., The Interpersonal Theory of Psychiatry. 1953.
 106. Klerman, G.L., Weissman, M.M., New Applications of Interpersonal Therapy. 1993, Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Press.
 107. Landreth, G., Play Therapy: The Art of the Relationship. Vol. 2. 2002, New York, NY: Taylor & Francis.
 108. Oaklander, V., Windows to Our Children. 1978, 2007, Goldsborough, Maine: The Gestalt Journal Press.
 109. O'Connor, K.J., Schaefer, C.E. , ed. Handbook of Play Therapy: Advances and Innovations. 1994, John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY.
 110. Malchiodi, C.A., Expressive Therapies. 2003, New York, NY: Guilford.
 111. Bell, S. and S.M. Eyberg, Parent-child interaction therapy, in *Innovations in Clinical Practice: A Source Book*, S.K. L. VandeCreek, & T.L. Jackson Editor. 2002, Professional Resource Press: Sarasota, FL. p. 57-74.
 112. Brinkmeyer, M., & Eyberg, S.M. , Parent-child interaction therapy for oppositional children., in *Evidence-based psychotherapies for children and adolescents*, K.A.E.W. J.R., Editor. 2003, Guilford: New York, NY. p. 204-223.
 113. Cunningham, C.E., A family-centered approach to planning and measuring the outcome of interventions for children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *J Pediatr Psychol*, 2007. 32(6): p. 676-94.
 114. Penedo, F.J. and J.R. Dahn, Exercise and well-being: a review of mental and physical health benefits associated with physical activity. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*, 2005. 18(2): p. 189-93.
 115. Scully, D., et al., Physical exercise and psychological well being: a critical review. *Br J Sports Med*, 1998. 32(2): p. 111-20.
 116. Sharma, A., V. Madaan, and F.D. Petty, Exercise for mental health. *Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry*, 2006. 8(2): p. 106.

117. Khan, S.A. and S.V. Faraone, The genetics of ADHD: a literature review of 2005. *Curr Psychiatry Rep*, 2006. 8(5): p. 393-7.
118. Chronis, A.M., et al., Psychopathology and substance abuse in parents of young children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2003. 42(12): p. 1424-32.
119. Brown, T.E., ed. *ADHD Comorbidities: Handbook for ADHD Complications in Children and Adults*. 2009, American Psychiatric Publishing Inc.: Washington, DC.
120. Harvey, E., et al., Parenting of children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): the role of parental ADHD symptomatology. *J Atten Disord*, 2003. 7(1): p. 31-42.
121. Adler, L. and J. Cohen, Diagnosis and evaluation of adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*, 2004. 27(2): p. 187-201.
122. Biederman, J., E. Mick, and S.V. Faraone, Age-dependent decline of symptoms of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: impact of remission definition and symptom type. *Am J Psychiatry*, 2000. 157(5): p. 816-8.
123. Mick, E., S.V. Faraone, and J. Biederman, Age-dependent expression of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder symptoms. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*, 2004. 27(2): p. 215-24.
124. Webster-Stratton, C., M.J. Reid, and M. Hammond, Treating children with early-onset conduct problems: intervention outcomes for parent, child, and teacher training. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol*, 2004. 33(1): p. 105-24.
125. Lalonde, J., A. Turgay, and J.I. Hudson, Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder subtypes and comorbid disruptive behaviour disorders in a child and adolescent mental health clinic. *Can J Psychiatry*, 1998. 43(6): p. 623-8.
126. Rohde, L.A., et al., ADHD in a school sample of Brazilian adolescents: a study of prevalence, comorbid conditions, and impairments. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1999. 38(6): p. 716-22.
127. Sanchez, R.J., et al., Assessment of adherence measures with different stimulants among children and adolescents. *Pharmacotherapy*, 2005. 25(7): p. 909-17.
128. Wolraich, M.L., et al., Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder among adolescents: a review of the diagnosis, treatment, and clinical implications. *Pediatrics*, 2005. 115(6): p. 1734-46.
129. Iyalomhe, G.B., Cannabis abuse and addiction: a contemporary literature review. *Niger J Med*, 2009. 18(2): p. 128-33.
130. Riedel, G. and S.N. Davies, Cannabinoid function in learning, memory and plasticity. *Handb Exp Pharmacol*, 2005(168): p. 445-77.
131. Biederman, J., et al., Is ADHD a risk factor for psychoactive substance use disorders? Findings from a four-year prospective follow-up study. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1997. 36(1): p. 21-9.
132. Barkley, R.A. and T.E. Brown, Unrecognized attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in adults presenting with other psychiatric disorders. *CNS Spectr*, 2008. 13(11): p. 977-84.
133. Aman, M.G., C. Binder, and A. Turgay, Risperidone effects in the presence/absence of psychostimulant medicine in children with ADHD, other disruptive behavior disorders, and subaverage IQ. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol*, 2004. 14(2): p. 243-54.
134. Kessler, R.C., et al., Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 2005. 62(6): p. 593-602.
135. Caplan, R., et al., Thought disorder in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2001. 40(8): p. 965-72.
136. Jerome, L., Some observations on the phenomenology of thought disorder; a neglected sign in attention-deficit hyperperactivity disorder. *Can Child Adolesc Psychiatr Rev*, 2003. 12(3): p. 92-3.
137. Jerome, L., Thought disorder in BPD and ADHD. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1997. 36(6): p. 720-1.
138. Birnbaum, H.G., et al., Costs of attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in the US: excess costs of persons with ADHD and their family members in 2000. *Curr Med Res Opin*, 2005. 21(2): p. 195-206.

139. Secnik, K., A. Swensen, and M.J. Lage, Comorbidities and costs of adult patients diagnosed with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Pharmacoeconomics*, 2005. 23(1): p. 93-102.
140. Swensen, A.R., et al., Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: increased costs for patients and their families. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2003. 42(12): p. 1415-23.
141. Swensen, A., et al., Incidence and costs of accidents among attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder patients. *J Adolesc Health*, 2004. 35(4): p. 346 e1-9.
142. DiScala, C., et al., Injuries to children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Pediatrics*, 1998. 102(6): p. 1415-21.
143. Applegate, B., et al., Validity of the age-of-onset criterion for ADHD: a report from the DSM-IV field trials. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1997. 36(9): p. 1211-21.
144. Faraone, S.V., Khan, S. A., Candidate gene studies of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *J Clin Psychiatry*, 2006. 67 Suppl 8: p. 13-20.
145. Hamilton, M., A rating scale for depression. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 1960. 23: p. 56-62.
146. Hamilton, M., The assessment of anxiety states by rating. *Br J Med Psychol*, 1959. 32(1): p. 50-5.
147. Goodman, W.K., et al., The Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale. I. Development, use, and reliability. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 1989. 46(11): p. 1006-11.
148. Ramsay, J.R. and A.L. Rostain, *Cognitive-Behavioral Therapy for Adult ADHD: An Integrative psychosocial and medical approach 2008*. 2008, New York: Routledge.
149. Ramsay, J.R., Rostain, A. L., *Psychosocial Treatments for Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder in Adults: Current Evidence and Future Directions*. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 2007. 38(4): p. 338-346.
150. Kohlberg, J.N., K., *ADHD Friendly Ways to Organize Your Life*. 2002: Brunner-Routledge.
151. Gaub, M. and C.L. Carlson, Gender differences in ADHD: a meta-analysis and critical review. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1997. 36(8): p. 1036-45.
152. Nadeau, K., Littman, E.B., Quinn, P.O., *Understanding Girls with ADHD*. 1999, Silver Springs, MD: Advantage Books.
153. Barkley, R.A., Psychosocial treatments for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in children. *J Clin Psychiatry*, 2002. 63 Suppl 12: p. 36-43.
154. Antshel, K.M. and R. Barkley, Psychosocial interventions in attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am*, 2008. 17(2): p. 421-37, x.
155. Chronis, A.M., H.A. Jones, and V.L. Raggi, Evidence-based psychosocial treatments for children and adolescents with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Clin Psychol Rev*, 2006. 26(4): p. 486-502.
156. Jensen, P.S., et al., Findings from the NIMH Multimodal Treatment Study of ADHD (MTA): implications and applications for primary care providers. *J Dev Behav Pediatr*, 2001. 22(1): p. 60-73.
157. Knouse, L.E., et al., Recent developments in the psychosocial treatment of adult ADHD. *Expert Rev Neurother*, 2008. 8(10): p. 1537-48.
158. Murphy, K., Psychosocial treatments for ADHD in teens and adults: a practice-friendly review. *J Clin Psychol*, 2005. 61(5): p. 607-19.
159. Pelham, W.E., Jr. and G.A. Fabiano, Evidence-based psychosocial treatments for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol*, 2008. 37(1): p. 184-214.
160. Weiss, M., et al., Research forum on psychological treatment of adults with ADHD. *J Atten Disord*, 2008. 11(6): p. 642-51.
161. Wells, K.C., et al., Psychosocial treatment strategies in the MTA study: rationale, methods, and critical issues in design and implementation. *J Abnorm Child Psychol*, 2000. 28(6): p. 483-505.
162. DuPaul, G.J.S., G., *ADHD in the Schools: Assessment and Intervention Strategies*. 2003, New York, NY: Guilford Press.
163. Minskoff, E.A., D., *Academic Success Strategies for Adolescents with Learning Disabilities and ADHD*. 2003, Baltimore, MD: Brookes Publishing.

164. Bandura, A., *Social Learning Theory*. 1977, Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
165. Gresham, F.M., *Social Competence and Students with Behavior Disorders: Where We've Been, Where We Are, and Where We Should Go*. *Education and Treatment of Children*, 1997. 20(3): p. 233-49.
166. Gresham, F.M., Elliott, S.N., *Social Skills Intervention Guide : Systematic Approaches to Social Skills Training*. *Special Services in the Schools*, 1993. 8(1): p. 137-158.
167. Goldstein, A., McGinnis, E., *Skillstreaming the Elementary School Child*. 1997, Champaign, IL: Research Press.
168. Goldstein, A., McGinnis, E. et al, *Skillstreaming the Adolescent*. 1997, Champaign, IL: Research Press.
169. Goldstein, A., McGinnis, E., et al, *Skillstreaming in Early Childhood*. 2003, Champaign, IL: Research Press.
170. Antshel, K.M. and R. Remer, *Social skills training in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder: a randomized-controlled clinical trial*. *J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol*, 2003. 32(1): p. 153-65.
171. Frankel, F., et al., *Parent-assisted transfer of children's social skills training: effects on children with and without attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder*. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1997. 36(8): p. 1056-64.
172. Pfiffner, L.J., et al., *A randomized, controlled trial of integrated home-school behavioral treatment for ADHD, predominantly inattentive type*. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2007. 46(8): p. 1041-50.
173. Kazdin, A.E., *Parent management training: evidence, outcomes, and issues*. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1997. 36(10): p. 1349-56.
174. Briesmeister, J.M., & Schaefer, C.M., ed. *Handbook of Parent Training: Parents As Co-Therapists for Children's Behavior Problems*. 1997, John Wiley & Sons.: New York, NY.
175. Chronis, A.M., et al., *Enhancements to the behavioral parent training paradigm for families of children with ADHD: review and future directions*. *Clin Child Fam Psychol Rev*, 2004. 7(1): p. 1-27.
176. Rogers, C., *Client-centered Therapy: Its Current Practice, Implications and Theory*. 1951, London, UK: Constable.
177. Barkley, R.A. and C.M. Benton, *Your Defiant Child: Eight Steps to Better Behaviour*. 1997, New York, NY: Guilford Press.
178. Cunningham, C.E., R. Bremner, and S. M., *COPE: The Community Parent Education Program: A School-based Family System-oriented Workshop for Parents of Children with Disruptive Behaviour Disorders*. 1998, Hamilton Health Services Corporation/COPE Works.
179. Farrelly, G.A., *ADHD: A Diagnostic Dilemma*. *The Canadian Journal of CME*, 2001.
180. Galanter, C.A. and P.S. Jensen, *DSM-IV-TR Casebook and treatment guide for child mental health*. 2006, Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Publishing Inc.
181. Greene, R., *The Explosive Child*. 2nd ed. 2001, 2010: Harper Collins Publishers, QUILL.
182. Greene, R.W., & Ablon, J.S, *Treating explosive kids: The collaborative problem-solving approach*. 2006, New York, NY: Guilford Press.
183. McMahon, R.J. and R.L. Forehand, *Helping the Non-compliant Child: Family-based Treatment for Oppositional Behaviour*. 2 ed. 2003, New York, NY: Guilford Press.
184. McMahon, R.J., K.C. Wells, and J.S. Kotler, *Conduct problems*, in *Treatment of childhood disorders*, E.J. Mash and R.A. Barkley, Editors. 2006, Guilford Press: New York, NY. p. 137-268.
185. Moghadam, H., *Attention Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder*. 2006, Calgary, Alberta, Canada: Detselig Enterprises Ltd.
186. Phelan, T.W., *1-2-3 Magic. Effective Discipline for Children 2-12*. 3 ed. 2003, Glen Ellyn, Illinois: Parent Magic Inc.
187. Pliszka, S.R., *Treating ADHD and Comorbid Disorders, Psychosocial and Psychopharmacological Interventions*. 2009, New York, NY: Guilford Press.
188. Reiff, M. and S. Tippins, *ADHD: A Complete and Authoritative Guide*. 2004: American Academy of Pediatrics.

189. Rief-Jossey, S., *How to Reach and Teach Children with ADD/ADHD*. 2005, San Francisco, CA: Bars Publishers.
190. Webster-Stratton, C., *The Incredible Years: A Guide for Parents of Children 3-8-years Old*. 1992: The Umbrella Press.
191. Webster-Stratton, C. and M.J. Reid, *The Incredible Years: Parents, teachers, and children training series: A multifaceted treatment approach for young children with conduct problems*, in *Evidence-based psychotherapies for children and adolescents*, A.E. Kazdin and J.R. Weisz, Editors. 2003, Guilford Press: New York NY. p. 224-241.
192. Weissman, M.M., et al., *Psychopathology in the children (ages 6-18) of depressed and normal parents*. *J Am Acad Child Psychiatry*, 1984. 23(1): p. 78-84.
193. Barkley, R.A., *Defiant Children, Second Edition: A Clinician's Manual for Assessment and Parent Training*. 1997, New York, NY: Guildford Press.
194. Barkley, R.A.e.a., *Your Defiant Teen: 10 Steps to Resolve Conflict and Rebuild Your Relationship*. 2008, New York, NY: Guildford Press.
195. Cunningham, C.E., *COPE: Large group, community based, family-centred parent training.*, in *Attention Deficit Hyperactivity: A Handbook for Diagnosis and Treatment.*, R.A. Barkley, Editor. 2006, Guildford Press: New York, NY.
196. Jensen, P., *Tailoring Treatments for Individuals with ADHD and Their Families*, in *ADHD Comorbidities: Handbook for ADHD Complications in Children and Adolescents.*, T.E. Brown, Editor. 2009. p. 247.
197. Gardner, H., *Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences*. 1983, New York, NY: Basic Books.
198. McMahon, R.J. and R.L. Forehand, *Helping the Noncompliant Child: Family-Based Treatment for Oppositional Behaviour*. 2 ed. 2003, New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
199. Hoza, B., et al., *A friendship intervention for children with Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder: preliminary findings*. *J Atten Disord*, 2003. 6(3): p. 87-98.
200. Ramsay, J.R., *Nonmedication Treatments for Adult ADHD: Evaluating Impact on Daily Functioning and Well-Being*. 2010: American Psychological Association.
201. Rief, S., *How to Reach and Teach ADD/ADHD Children: Practical Techniques, Strategies, and Interventions for Helping Children with Attention Problems and Hyperactivity* 1993: Jossey-Bass.
202. Zeigler Dendy, C.A., *Teaching Teens With Add and Adhd: A Quick Reference Guide for Teachers and Parents*. 2000: Woodbine House.
203. Dornbush, M.P. and S.K. Pruitt, *Tigers, Too: Executive Functions/Speed of Processing/Memory: Impact on Academic, Behavioral, and Social Functioning of Students w/ Attention Deficit Hyperactivity*. 2009: Parkaire Press, Inc.
204. Tse, J., V. Tagalakis, and L. Hechtman, *Cognitive behavioral group therapy for adolescents with attention-deficit / hyperactivity disorder*. 2006.
205. Philipsen, A., in *Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) in Adults. Key Issues in Mental Health*, W. Retz and R.G. Klein, Editors. 2010: Basel, Karger. p. 159-173.
206. Jerome, L., A. Segal, and L. Habinski, *What we know about ADHD and driving risk: a literature review, meta-analysis and critique*. *J Can Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2006. 15(3): p. 105-25.
207. Association., C.M., *Determining medical fitness to operate motor vehicles. CMA driver's guide*. 2006, Canadian Medical Association.: Ottawa, Ont.
208. Jerome, L. and A. Segal, *Validation of a driving questionnaire for patients with ADHD: the Jerome Driving Questionnaire*. *ADHD Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorders*, 2009. 1: p. 151.
209. Turgay, A., *A multidimensional approach to medication selection in the treatment of children and adolescents with ADHD*. *Psychiatry (Edgmont)*, 2007. 4(8): p. 47-57.
210. Wilens, T.E. and J. Biederman, *The stimulants*. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*, 1992. 15(1): p. 191-222.
211. Biederman, J., et al., *Effect of comorbid symptoms of oppositional defiant disorder on responses to atomoxetine in children with ADHD: a meta-analysis of controlled clinical trial data*. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*, 2007. 190(1): p. 31-41.

212. Woollorton, E., Suicidal ideation among children taking atomoxetine (Strattera). *CMAJ*, 2005. 173(12): p. 1447.
213. Miller, M.C., What is the significance of the new warnings about suicide risk with Strattera? *Harv Ment Health Lett*, 2005. 22(6): p. 8.
214. Cohen-Zion, M. and S. Ancoli-Israel, Sleep in children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): a review of naturalistic and stimulant intervention studies. *Sleep Med Rev*, 2004. 8(5): p. 379-402.
215. Chervin, R.D., How many children with ADHD have sleep apnea or periodic leg movements on polysomnography? *Sleep*, 2005. 28(9): p. 1041-2.
216. Ivanenko, A., et al., Psychiatric symptoms in children with insomnia referred to a pediatric sleep medicine center. *Sleep Med*, 2004. 5(3): p. 253-9.
217. O'Brien, L.M., et al., Sleep disturbances in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Pediatr Res*, 2003. 54(2): p. 237-43.
218. Schwartz, G., et al., Actigraphic monitoring during sleep of children with ADHD on methylphenidate and placebo. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2004. 43(10): p. 1276-82.
219. Sangal, R.B., et al., Effects of atomoxetine and methylphenidate on sleep in children with ADHD. *Sleep*, 2006. 29(12): p. 1573-85.
220. van der Heijden, K.B., M.G. Smits, and W.B. Gunning, Sleep-related disorders in ADHD: a review. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*, 2005. 44(3): p. 201-10.
221. Weiss, M.D., et al., Sleep hygiene and melatonin treatment for children and adolescents with ADHD and initial insomnia. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2006. 45(5): p. 512-9.
222. Chervin, R.D., et al., Inattention, hyperactivity, and symptoms of sleep-disordered breathing. *Pediatrics*, 2002. 109(3): p. 449-56.
223. Owens, J.A. and V. Dalzell, Use of the 'BEARS' sleep screening tool in a pediatric residents' continuity clinic: a pilot study. *Sleep Med*, 2005. 6(1): p. 63-9.
224. Owens, J.A. and M. Witmans, Sleep problems. *Curr Probl Pediatr Adolesc Health Care*, 2004. 34(4): p. 154-79.
225. Van der Heijden, K.B., et al., Effect of melatonin on sleep, behavior, and cognition in ADHD and chronic sleep-onset insomnia. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2007. 46(2): p. 233-41.
226. Conners, C.K., C.H. Goyette, and E.B. Newman, Dose-time effect of artificial colors in hyperactive children. *J Learn Disabil*, 1980. 13(9): p. 512-6.
227. Wilens, T., et al., ADHD treatment with once-daily OROS methylphenidate: interim 12-month results from a long-term open-label study. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2003. 42(4): p. 424-33.
228. Spencer, T., J. Biederman, and T. Wilens, Growth deficits in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. *Pediatrics*, 1998. 102(2 Pt 3): p. 501-6.
229. Swanson, J., et al., Stimulant-related reductions of growth rates in the PATS. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2006. 45(11): p. 1304-13.
230. Bryan, J., et al., Nutrients for cognitive development in school-aged children. *Nutr Rev*, 2004. 62(8): p. 295-306.
231. Schnoll, R., D. Burshteyn, and J. Cea-Aravena, Nutrition in the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a neglected but important aspect. *Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback*, 2003. 28(1): p. 63-75.
232. Spencer, T.J., et al., Growth deficits in ADHD children revisited: evidence for disorder-associated growth delays? *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1996. 35(11): p. 1460-9.
233. Arnold, L.E., et al., Serum zinc correlates with parent- and teacher- rated inattention in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol*, 2005. 15(4): p. 628-36.
234. Arnold, L.E., S.M. Pinkham, and N. Votolato, Does zinc moderate essential fatty acid and amphetamine treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder? *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol*, 2000. 10(2): p. 111-7.

235. Konofal, E., et al., Iron deficiency in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*, 2004. 158(12): p. 1113-5.
236. Antalis, C.J., et al., Omega-3 fatty acid status in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids*, 2006. 75(4-5): p. 299-308.
237. McNamara, R.K. and S.E. Carlson, Role of omega-3 fatty acids in brain development and function: potential implications for the pathogenesis and prevention of psychopathology. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids*, 2006. 75(4-5): p. 329-49.
238. Young, G. and J. Conquer, Omega-3 fatty acids and neuropsychiatric disorders. *Reprod Nutr Dev*, 2005. 45(1): p. 1-28.
239. Cortese, S., et al., Sleep and alertness in children with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: a systematic review of the literature. *Sleep*, 2006. 29(4): p. 504-11.
240. Cortese, S., et al., ADHD and insomnia. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 2006. 45(4): p. 384-5.
241. Ramanathan, G. and G.E. White, Attention-deficit/hyperactive disorder: making a case for multidisciplinary management. *J Clin Pediatr Dent*, 2001. 25(3): p. 249-53.
242. Gutgesell, H., et al., Cardiovascular monitoring of children and adolescents receiving psychotropic drugs: A statement for healthcare professionals from the Committee on Congenital Cardiac Defects, Council on Cardiovascular Disease in the Young, American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 1999. 99(7): p. 979-82.
243. Gutgesell, H., et al., AHA Scientific Statement: cardiovascular monitoring of children and adolescents receiving psychotropic drugs. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*, 1999. 38(8): p. 1047-50.
244. Safren, S.A., et al. Cognitive-behavioral therapy for ADHD in medication-treated adults with continued symptoms. *Behav Res Ther*. 2005 Jul;43(7):831-42.
245. Safren, S.A., et al. Cognitive behavioral therapy vs relaxation with educational support for medication-treated adults with ADHD and persistent symptoms: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2010 Aug 25;304(8):875-80.

INDEX

A

Abuse5, 9, 11, 12,
..... 16, 17, 23, 24, 31, 34, 35, 37,
..... 56, 57, 89, 78, 89, 78, 78, 79
Accident 11, 18, 31, 33, 36, 50, 51
Accommodation vii, 6, 7,
..... 11, 24, 28, 30, 39, 43, 44, 46
Adderall XR® 61, 63, 65, 89, 78, 78
Adolescence 10, 15, 21, 26, 47, 56
Adrenal 13, 89, 78, 78, 83, 84
Advocacy vi, vii, 7, 11, 44
Affordability 55, 57
Aggression8, 11, 12, 13, 56, 89, 79
Alarm-Based Training System17
Alcohol (see substance abuse)
Alkaline Agents82
Alpha 2 Agonist 83, 84
Alprazolam89
Amphetamine (see dexedrine)
Anger 15, 16, 22, 27, 30, 31, 34,
..... 37, 39, 42, 47, 49, 50, 52, 53
Analgesic82
Anhedonia 9, 14
Antiarrhythmic82
Antiasthmatic82
Antibacterial82
Anticoagulation82
Anticonvulsant15
Antidepressant 14, 15, 34, 58, 89, 89, 78, 83
Antihistaminic89
Antihypertensive 78, 83
Antipsychotic83
Antisocial Personality Disorder 12,13
Anxiety9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 23, 27,
..... 30, 32, 34, 39, 43, 47, 53, 56,
..... 58, 78, 89, 78, 79, 80, 84, 85
Anxiolytic89
Aortic Valve Disease89
Apnea9, 78
Appetite 14, 56, 89, 78, 80
Arteriosclerosis89
ASRS 31, 32, i, 3

Atomoxetine (see Strattera)

Atrial89
Attitude vii, 24, 26, 35, 55, 57, 58, 89
Autism (see pervasive developmental disorders)

B

BEARS 78
Behaviour Management41
Benadryl89
Benzodiazepine89
Beta Blocker83
Biphenin® 61, 89, 65, 78, 78-84
Bipolar Disorder 9, 15, 56, 84
Blood 8, 25, 57, 89, 89, 78, 78, 84
Boost® 78, 84
Borderline Personality Disorder 12, 48

C

CADDAC vii, 11, 41, 43
Calorie78
Cannabis (see substance abuse)
Carbamazepine82
Cardiac 89, 78, 89, 84
Cardiologist 78, 89
Cardiovasculari, 33, 57, 89, 89-89
Cigarette (see substance abuse)
Circadian Rhythm Sleep Disorder78
Clonazepam89
Clonidine 17, 60, 78, 83
Cocaine (see substance abuse)
Cognitive i, vii, 9, 10, 13, 18, 19,
..... 22, 32, 34, 39, 42, 43, 46-49
Cognitive Behavioural Therapy13, 39, 47
Combined ... 14, 32, 34, 39, 47, 49, 56, 58, 89, 89
Comorbidi, 1, 6, 9-17, 29, 30, 34, 35, 37,
..... 39, 49, 55, 56, 58, 59, 78, 79
Compliance 37, 39, 44, 49, 56, 89, 78,
Computer23, 36, 44, 46, 78,
Concerta®61, 63, 65, 89, 78, 78-83
Conduct Disorder12, 13, 85
Controlled 89-78, 78, 81

Consensus-based	v	Extended-release	16, 49, 89
Consent	vii, 3, 4, 7, 57, 58,	F	
Contraindication	4, 84	Feedback	iii, 1, 7, 17, 24, 35, 44, 58
Coordination	4, 10, 19, 39	Ferretin	78
Cost	iv, vi, 6, 29, 37, 39, 40,	First-line (see Dosing)	
.....	61, 63, 65, 89, 89, 78, 78, 78	Fluoxetine	14, 83
D		Follow-up	i, 1, 8, 10,
DDAVP	17	15, 24, 25, 29, 32, 36, 37, 59
Delayed Sleep Phase Syndrome	78	Fruit juice	82
Delivery	v, 1, 29, 89, 78, 89, 78	G	
Depression	9, 12, 14, 15, 17, 23,	Gambling	17, 31, 78,
.....	24, 30, 34, 39, 56, 89, 79, 85	GI	84
Desipramine	83	Glaucoma	33, 84
Development	vi, vii, 5, 6, 10, 12,	Growth	78
.....	15, 19, 21, 23, 24, 26, 31, 33,	H	
.....	35, 36, 39, 41, 42, 49, 85, 87	Headache	9, 56, 57, 78, 80
Dexedrine®	61, 63, 65, 78 79 - 84	Health Canada	58, 63, 65, 89, 89, 79
Dexedrine Spansule®	63, 65	Hepatic	89, 84
Diagnosis	i, iv, v, vi, 1, 2, 6, 7, 9, 10,	Holidays	8, 34, 78
.....	12, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21, 24, 27,	Homework	24-26, 28, 35,
.....	29, 30, 32-35, 40, 42, 44, 46	36, 40, 42, 43, 89, 89, 78,
Diet	39, 89, 78	Hyperthyroidism	89, 84
Diphenhydramine (see Benadryl)		Hypertension	9, 33, 89, 89
Dizziness	89, 80	Hypnotic	89
Dopamine	16, 89, 78, 89	I	
Dose	vii, 7, 8, 12-14, 18, 24,	Imipramine	17, 60, 89,
.....	27, 34, 51, 57, 59-89, 89, 78	Insomnia	14, 18, 29, 35, 37, 78, 89, 79
Driving	2, 18, 26, 27, 31, 35, 49-53, 55, 59	Insurance	52, 57, 59
Drugs (see medications)		L	
Dry mouth	78, 80	Learning Disorder	9, 10, 85
DSM-IV	v, 10, 13, 15, 29, 31, 33, 35	Linezolid	82
Duration of action	78, 81	Lithium	15
Dysphoric	14	Long-acting	23, 24, 50, 61, 63, 65, 89-78, 78
E		Lorazepam	89
Eating Disorder	84	M	
Echocardiogram (ECG)	78, 89	MAOI	83
Ensure®	78	Marijuana (see substance abuse)	
Enuresis	10, 17, 35, 78		
Enzyme inhibited	78, 81		
Epilepsy	17		
Euphoria	15		
Evidence-based	v, 40, 50,		
Executive function	9-12, 35, 36, 43, 45, 46, 49		
Exercise	10, 13, 22, 39, 42, 49, 89, 89-89		

Medications	i, iv, v, vii, 1, 4, 7, 8, 12-15, 17, 18, 19, 21, 23-25, 27, 33, 34, 36, 37, 40, 49, 51, 55-60, 62, 64, 66-78, 78, 89, 89-89 79, 84	Precaution	83, 84
Monitoring	17, 24-26, 29, 40, 44, 58, 59, 89, 89, 89	Primidone	82
Melatonin	78, 89	Processing speed	9, 10, 46
Meperidine	82	Protein	16, 78, 78, 81
Methylphenidate	16, 17, 56, 61-66, 78-78, 78	Psychoeducation	i, vii, 5, 10, 11, 27, 36, 39, 45, 46
Moclobemide	83	Psychometric	6, 50, 87
Modafinil (see Alertec)		Psychosis	9, 15, 84
		Puberty (see Adolescence)	
		Pulmonary valve stenosis	89
N		Q	
Narcolepsy	9, 18, 89-89	Quebec	iii, 49, 58,
Nausea	78, 80	Quetiapine (see Neuroleptic)	
Neuroleptic	15, 89, 84	R	
Nutrition	4, 22, 42, 89, 78	Reading	10, 11, 29, 30, 32, 35, 36, 78
O		RIMA	83
Oppositional Defiant Disorder	6, 10, 11, 35, 89, 86	Risperidone	89
Obsessive Compulsive Disorder	19	Ritalin®	61, 63, 65, 89, 78, 78, 7964, 87
Off label	60, 62-66, 78, 89	Ritalin SR®	63,65
Olanzapine (see Neuroleptic)		S	
Omega 3 Fatty Acid	78	School	i, iii, vii, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 17, 21, 22, 24-26, 28, 30, 31, 33, 35, 36, 39, 41-46, 49, 56, 59, 60, 89, 89, 78, 85
Ontario	iii, 11	Second line	60, 61, 63, 65, 78, 78, 79-81
Organization	vi, 10, 13, 14, 22, 23, 28-31, 35, 36, 39, 43, 47, 48, 56, 58	Seizure	17
P		Sexual dysfunction	80
Palpitation	78	Side effect	i, 8, 16, 18, 24, 27, 34, 37, 55-57, 59, 60, 78-78, 80
Parent	i, vii, viii, 2-6, 8, 10, 11, 15, 21-28, 30-35, 39-46, 57, 59, 60, 78-78, 78, 89	Shopping	17, 31, 78
Patch	105	Sleep	iii, 4, 9, 14-16, 18, 19, 22, 39, 42, 56, 57, 78, 78-78, 79
Personality	6, 9, 11-13, 23, 34, 48, 85, 87	Snack	89, 78
Pervasive Developmental Disorder	15	SNAP-IV	i, 3
Pharmacokinetics	81	Somnolence	80
Phenelzine	83	Snoring	9, 78
Phenobarbital	82	Sprinkle	55, 89, 89, 78
Phenothiazine	78	SSRI	83
Phenytoin	82	Strattera®	61, 63, 65, 78, 89, 78-84
Physical	4, 9, 17, 18, 21, 22, 32, 33, 39, 42, 43, 52, 57, 59, 78, 89, 78, 85	Substance Abuse Disorder	56
Plasma	78, 81-83	Subtype	10, 11, 13, 26, 32, 55, 56
		Swallow	55, 59, 89-89

Syncope 9, 78, 89

T

TCA83

Thyroid9, 57, 89, 84

Time Management .2, 14, 28, 30, 35, 36, 43, 46-48

Tic 10, 17, 34, 35,
.....56, 57, 78, 79, 80, 84, 85

Titration 16, 24, 27, 59-66, 89, 89, 78

Tofranil® (see Imipramine)

Tourette's 34, 35

Trazodone89

Tryptophan89

TV 26, 30, 41, 78

V

Vacation (see holidays)

Valerian Root89

Video game 21, 41

Visitsi, 1, 3, 5, 8, 15, 59, 78

Vomiting80

W

WAIS 1

Warfarin82

Website iv, v, vii, 1, 5, 32, 36, 40, 41, 43,
..... 50, 57, 58, 62, 64, 66, 89, 89

Weekend8, 49, 55, 60,

Weightvii, 8, 25, 27,
.....42, 55, 57, 89, 89, 78, 80

Weiss Symptom Recordi, 3, 5, 10, 32, 34, 87

WFIRS i, 3, 5, 6, 24, 32, 33

WISC-IV9, 46

Working Memory9, 10, 26, 36, 45, 46,

Y

Y-BOCS35

Z

Zinc78

Zopiclone89

